MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney MATHEW W. PILE 2 Associate General Counsel Office of the General Counsel, Office 7 3 JEFFREY E. STAPLES, WA 45035 Special Assistant United States Attorney 4 6401 Security Boulevard Baltimore, MD 21235 5 E-Mail: jeff.staples@ssa.gov 6 Attorneys for Defendant 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CIVIL NO. 2:24-cv-02285-CSK VERONICA YANEZ, 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 13 ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME v. 14 COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY, Defendant. 15 16 17 Pending the Court's approval, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the 18 19 parties, through their respective counsel of record, that the time for Defendant to respond to 20 Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment be extended thirty (30) days from January 27, 2025, 21 up to and including February 26, 2025. This is the Defendant's first request for an extension. 22 The undersigned is in the process of drafting a response to Plaintiff's Motion for 23 Summary Judgment, but that draft is undergoing comprehensive reviews by other attorneys that 24 25 require additional time to complete. If the reviews are completed prior to February 26, 2025, the 26 undersigned will file a response to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgement as soon as 27 possible. 28

1	The parties further stipulate that the	Court's Scheduling Order shall be modified
2	accordingly.	
3		Respectfully submitted,
4	Dated: January 23, 2025	/s/ Jared T. Walker *
5	20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20,	(*as authorized via e-mail on January 22, 2025)
6		JARED T. WALKER Attorney for Plaintiff
7	Dated: January 23, 2025	MICHELLE BECKWITH
8		United States Attorney
9		MATHEW W. PILE Associate General Counsel
10		Social Security Administration
11	By:	/s/ Jeffrey E. Staples
12		JEFFREY E. STAPLES
		Special Assistant United States Attorney
13		Attorneys for Defendant
14	ORDER	
15		ORDER
15	December 41 - marking at invalation	ORDER UT IS SO ORDERED that Default and always have a
15 16		IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an
16		IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an
16 17	extension, up to and including February 26.  Judgment.	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an
16 17 18	extension, up to and including February 26,	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary HON. CHI SOO KIM
16 17 18 19	extension, up to and including February 26.  Judgment.	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
16 17 18 19 20	extension, up to and including February 26.  Judgment.	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary HON. CHI SOO KIM
16 17 18 19 20 21	extension, up to and including February 26.  Judgment.  DATED: January 24, 2025	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary HON. CHI SOO KIM
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	extension, up to and including February 26.  Judgment.  DATED: January 24, 2025	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary HON. CHI SOO KIM
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	extension, up to and including February 26.  Judgment.  DATED: January 24, 2025	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary HON. CHI SOO KIM
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	extension, up to and including February 26.  Judgment.  DATED: January 24, 2025	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary HON. CHI SOO KIM
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	extension, up to and including February 26.  Judgment.  DATED: January 24, 2025	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary HON. CHI SOO KIM
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	extension, up to and including February 26.  Judgment.  DATED: January 24, 2025	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary HON. CHI SOO KIM