PHILLIP A. TALBERT 1 United States Attorney MATHEW W. PILE, WASBN 32245 2 Associate General Counsel 3 Office of Program Litigation, Office 7 Social Security Administration 4 DAVID PRIDDY, ILSBN 6313767 Special Assistant United States Attorney 5 6401 Security Boulevard 6 Baltimore, Maryland 21235 Telephone: (510) 970-4801 7 E-Mail: David.Priddy@ssa.gov Attorneys for Defendant 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MARISA DESMOND, 12 Plaintiff, 13

Case No.: 2:24-cv-02469-CSK

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME

VS.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pending the Court's approval, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties, through their respective counsel of record, that the time for Defendant to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment be extended thirty-one (31) days from January 10, 2025, up to and including February 10, 2025. This is Defendant's first request for an extension.

Defendant requests this extension because counsel is currently in the process of determining whether a settlement agreement is possible in this case. Additional time is required for Defendant's undersigned counsel and specialized attorneys within the undersigned's office to consider this option. If the case cannot be settled, then Defendant's counsel will proceed with filing Defendant's response to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment by the new due date of February 10, 2025.

1	The parties further stipulate that the Court's Scheduling Order shall be modified	
2	accordingly.	
3		Respectfully submitted,
4	Dated: January 7, 2025	/s/ Francesco Benavides *
5		(*as authorized via e-mail on January 7, 2025) FRANCESCO BENAVIDES
6		Attorney for Plaintiff
7	Dated: January 7, 2025	PHILLIP A. TALBERT
8		United States Attorney MATHEW W. PILE
9		Associate General Counsel
10		Social Security Administration
11	By:	/s/ David Priddy
12		DAVID PRIDDY Special Assistant U.S. Attorney
13		Attorneys for Defendant
14		•
15		<u>ORDER</u>
15 16	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation,	ORDER  IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an
16		IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an , 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
16 17	extension, up to and including February 10, Judgment.	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an , 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
16 17 18	extension, up to and including February 10,	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an , 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
16 17 18 19	extension, up to and including February 10, Judgment.	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an , 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary
16 17 18 19 20	extension, up to and including February 10, Judgment.	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary  HON. CHI SOO KIM
16 17 18 19 20 21	extension, up to and including February 10.  Judgment.  DATED: January 8, 2025	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary  HON. CHI SOO KIM
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	extension, up to and including February 10.  Judgment.  DATED: January 8, 2025	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary  HON. CHI SOO KIM
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	extension, up to and including February 10.  Judgment.  DATED: January 8, 2025	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary  HON. CHI SOO KIM
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	extension, up to and including February 10.  Judgment.  DATED: January 8, 2025	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary  HON. CHI SOO KIM
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	extension, up to and including February 10.  Judgment.  DATED: January 8, 2025	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant shall have an 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary  HON. CHI SOO KIM