

1	proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in	
2	Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.	
3	13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of	
4	the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for	
5	review. (<u>Id.</u> at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's	
6	Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (<u>Id.</u>)	
7	The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and	
8	finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction. ¹	
9	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-02824, 2:24-cv-02829, 2:24-cv-	
10	02831, 2:24-cv-02832, 2:24-cv-02834, 2:24-cv-02839, 2:24-cv-02841, 2:24-cv-02844 and 2:24-	
11	cv-02857 are DISMISSED ; the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to CLOSE these cases. No	
12	further filings will be accepted.	
13	3	
14	4	nn A. Mendez
15	THE	HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ OR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16		on chills strills sisting to be
17		
18		
19		
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26	In the caption of 2:24-cv-02824, plaintiff names the Tuolumne County Superior Court. To the	
27	extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in 2:24-cv-02824 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in 2:24-cv-	

02824 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.