1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. 2:24-cv-3145 SCR P ROBERT GONZALEZ SAENZ, 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. 14 R. ROQUE, et al., **ORDER** 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 18 42 U.S.C. § 1983. In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. 19 The alleged violations took place in Kings County, which is part of the Fresno Division of the 20 United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 120(d). 21 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper 22 division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. In light of the 23 potentially consequential screening process required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915, this court will not rule 24 25 on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis. 26 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 27 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of 28 California sitting in Fresno; and

1

1	2. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be
2	filed at:
3	United States District Court Eastern District of California
4	2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721
5	
6	Dated: November 22, 2024
7	
8	Jun Cindu
9	SEAN C. RIORDAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	ONTED STATES MAGISTRATE TODGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	