

1	proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in
2	Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.
3	13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of
4	the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for
5	review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's
6	Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.)
7	The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and
8	finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction. ¹
9	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-03515, 2:24-cv-03518, 2:24-cv-
10	03521, 2:24-cv-03528, 2:24-cv-03531, 2:24-cv-03534, 2:24-cv-03535, 2:24-cv-03537, 2:24-cv-
11	03572 and 2:24-cv-03573 are DISMISSED; the Clerk of the Court is directed to close these cases.
12	No further filings will be accepted.
13	Dated: January 2, 2025
14	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	¹ In the caption of 2:24-cv-03521, plaintiff names the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. In the caption of 2:24-cv-03572, plaintiff names the Amador County Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in 2:24-cv-03521 and 2:24-cv-03572 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County
26	
27	
28	criminal conviction.
	2