

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA	No. 2:24-cv-03584-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-03586-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-03587-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-03597-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-03609-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-03612-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-03615-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-03616-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-03647-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-03648-JAM-CSK
-----------------------------	--

ORDER

Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious

1 litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any
2 proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in
3 Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.
4 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of
5 the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for
6 review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's
7 Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.)

8 The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and
9 finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.¹

10 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-03584, 2:24-cv-03586, 2:24-cv-
11 03587, 2:24-cv-03597, 2:24-cv-3609, 2:24-cv-03612, 2:24-cv-03615, 2:24-cv-03616, 2:24-cv-
12 03647 and 2:24-cv-03648 are **DISMISSED**; the Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE**
13 these cases. **No further filings will be accepted.**

14
15
16 Dated: January 3, 2025

/s/ John A. Mendez

17 _____
18 THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
19 SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

20
21
22
23
24 _____
25 ¹ In the caption of 2:24-cv-03597, plaintiff names the Lassen County Superior Court. In the
26 caption of 2:24-cv-03616, plaintiff names the United States District Court for the Northern
27 District of California. In the caption of 2:24-cv-03647, plaintiff names the Kings County
28 Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the
United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims
raised in 2:24-cv-03597, 2:24-cv-03616 and 2:24-cv-03647 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda
County criminal conviction.