1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	EUGENE DAVIS, JR.,	1:25-cv-00108-SKO (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	REHABILITATION, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
19	U.S.C. § 1983, and a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.	
20	Plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged violations took	
21	place in Sacramento County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United States	
22	District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have been	
23	filed in the Sacramento Division.	
24	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper	
25	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action	
26	will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on Plaintiff's request to	
27	proceed in forma pauperis.	
28		1
		1

1	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
3	California sitting in Sacramento; and	
4	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be	
5	filed at:	
6	United States District Court	
7	Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200	
8	Sacramento, CA 95814	
9	3. This court has not ruled on Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: January 28, 2025 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto	
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	