

On September 7, 2015, plaintiff's counsel filed a letter with the court stating that plaintiff Martha Berndt had suffered an injury to her back that would prevent her from attending and testifying at trial, which is scheduled to begin on September 14, 2015. The letter further stated that plaintiff "would prefer that any delay in the trial be for a short time."

19 On September 8, 2015, the court held a telephonic conference with the parties to 20 discuss the impact of plaintiff's injury on the trial schedule. Because the court's schedule 21 could not accommodate a brief continuance of the trial date, as requested by plaintiff, it 22 gave the parties four options to choose from: (1) a continuance of the trial date to either 23 July 2016 (for a two-week trial) or November 2016 (for a two-and-a-half-week trial); (2) 24 keeping the current trial date and allowing plaintiff to testify via trial deposition; (3) 25 consenting to try the case before a Magistrate Judge; or (4) a second-place trial position 26 behind previously-scheduled trials, which would require that the parties be ready to try 27 the case with as little as one week's notice.

28

The parties both consented to try the case before Magistrate Judge Vadas, and on

14

15

16

17

18

1	September 9, 2015, the parties submitted a stipulation so stating, which the court
2	granted. See Dkt. 845. Accordingly, pursuant to Local Rule 72-1 and the consent of the
3	parties, this matter is referred to Magistrate Judge Vadas for all purposes, including trial
4	and entry of judgment.
5	The September 14, 2015 trial date is VACATED. The parties will be advised of the
6	date, time, and place of the next appearance by notice from Magistrate Judge Vadas.
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	Dated: September 10, 2015
9	PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
10	United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
	<u> </u>