Seals v. Mitchell et al Doc. 139

1	JONES & DYER		
2			
3			
4			
5	MARK A. JONES, State Bar #96494 KRISTEN K. PRESTON, State Bar #125455		
6	Attorneys for: Defendants John Rynhart and Lyle Tho	mas	
7	Al Pfeiffer SBN 120965		
8	Ashley Bauer SBN 231626 Meghna Subramanian SBN 251513		
9	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111-6538		
10	415-395-8898 415-395-8075 (fax)		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael Izell Seals		
12			
13			
14	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	MICHAEL IZELL SEALS,) NO. C-04-3764 NJV	
17	Plaintiffs,)) STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE	
18	vs.	ORDER	
19	OFFICER RODNEY K. MITCHELL, et al.,))	
20))	
21	Defendants.))	
22)	
23			
24	It is hereby stipulated by and between all the parties to this action by and through their		
25	respective attorneys of record, that in order to protect the confidentiality of the records described		
26	below, any of said records disclosed are subject to a protective order (and designated as		
27	"Confidential Material") as follows:		
28	1. The following records are designated as "Confidential Material":		
	STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. C-04-3764 NJV		

proceedings; the witness may not leave the deposition with copies of the documents, and shall be bound by the provisions of paragraph 5.

Nothing in this paragraph 4 is intended to prevent officials or employees of the County of Lake, or other authorized government officials from having access to the documents if they would have had access in the normal course of their job duties. Further, nothing in this order prevents a witness from disclosing event or activities personal to them, i.e., a witness can disclose to others previous information given to the County of Lake with respect to what she/he saw, heard, or otherwise sensed.

- 5. Each person to whom disclosure is made, with the exception of counsel who are presumed to know of the contents of this protective order, shall, prior to disclosure: (1) be provided with a copy of this order by the person furnishing him/her such material, and (2) agree on the record or in writing that she/he has read the protective order and that she/he understands the provisions of the protective order. Such person must also consent to be subject to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court, Northern District, with respect to any proceeding relating to the enforcement of this order. Defendant County of Lake shall be entitled to retain possession of the original writings described above.
- 6. At the conclusion of the trial and of any appeal or upon other termination of this litigation, all Confidential Material received under the provisions of this order (including any copies made) shall be delivered back to the County of Lake. Provisions of this order insofar as they restrict disclosure and use of the material shall be in effect until all Confidential Material (including all copies thereof) are returned to Defendants.
- 7. Any document filed with the Court that reveals Confidential Material shall be filed under seal, labeled with a cover sheet as follows: "Michael Seals v. John Rynhart, et al., United States District Court, Northern District, Case No. C-04-3764 NJV. This document is subject to a protective order issued by the Court and may not be copied or examined except in compliance with that order." Documents so labeled shall be kept by the Clerk under seal and shall be made available only to the Court or counsel. Upon failure of the party to so file a document under seal, the producing party may request that the Court place the filing under seal.

1	8. Nothing in this order shall preclude a party from showing or disclosing any	
2	documents, e.g., deposition transcripts, pleadings or briefs, which otherwise contain Confidential	
3	Material as defined in paragraph 1, as long as such document has been redacted so as to prevent	
4	disclosure of such Confidential Material.	
5	9. The foregoing is without prejudice to the right of any party (a) to apply to the Cour	
6	for a further protective order relating to any other Confidential Material or relating to discovery in	
7	this litigation; (b) to apply to the Court for an order removing the Confidential Material designation	
8	from any document; and (c) to apply to the Court for an order compelling production of document	
9	or modification of this order or for any order permitting designation of addition materials as	
10	"Confidential Materials" or disclosure of Confidential Materials beyond the terms of this order.	
11	Dated: October 8, 2010	
12	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP	
13	By: <u>/s/ Meghna Subramanian</u>	
14		
15	Automeys for Flamum	
16		
17	Dated: October 8, 2010 JONES & DYER	
18	Buted. Getober 6, 2010	
19	By: <u>/s Mark A. Jones</u> MARK A. JONES	
20	Attorneys for Defendants John Rynhart and Lyle Thomas	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		