

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
EUREKA DIVISION

MICHAEL IZELL SEALS,
Plaintiff,
v.
RODNEY K. MITCHELL, et al.,
Defendants.

No. CV 04-3764 NJV

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT
PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
RELATE CASE AND FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF**
(Docket No. 113)

Plaintiff Michael Izell Seals filed a motion *pro se* to relate case and for administrative relief on March 15, 2010. Doc. No. 113. Defendants have not filed a response. Since Plaintiff filed his motion, the Court has appointed pro bono counsel for Plaintiff, the parties have filed amended pleadings, conducted discovery, and engaged in motion practice. *See* Doc. Nos. 117, 124, 126, 131 and 134. Given that Plaintiff is now represented by counsel and some of the issues raised in his *pro se* motion are moot and/or difficult to ascertain, the Court denies Plaintiff's motion without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 9, 2010


NANDOR J. VADAS
United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California