Selman Breitman LLP Attorneys at Law	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CLARENCE JONATHON WOOD and HEIDI COLLINGWOOD, Plaintiffs, v. SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY CO.; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, Defendants.	CASE NO. CV 08 3335 NJV AMENDED STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER/CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT RE PRODUCTION OF DEFENDANT SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY COMPANY'S DOCUMENTS IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF WOOD'S NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION AND FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Plaintiffs Clarence Jonathon Wood and Heidi Collingwood ("Plaintiffs") and Scottsdale Indemnity Company ("Scottsdale") hereby stipulate that documents within Scottsdale's custody and control responsive to Plaintiffs' Notice of Taking Deposition of James Hardina are subject to the terms and conditions of this Protective Order and Confidentiality Agreement (hereinafter "Agreement"): ///	
170462.1 38	28 0.25532	STIPULATED PROT	1 ECTIVE ORDER/CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT - CV 08 3335 NJV Dockets.Justia.com

1	RECITALS				
2	1. Plaintiff Heidi Collingwood sued Kimberly Holz				
3	Lindstrom, Ralph L. Lindstrom and Pamela W. Lindstrom for the				
4	wrongful death of her daughter Kayla Wood. The action was				
5	entitled Collingwood v. Lindstrom, et al., Humboldt County				
б	Superior Court, case number DR020419.				
7	2. Plaintiff Clarence Jonathon Wood sued Kimberly Holz				
8	Lindstrom, Ralph L. Lindstrom and Pamela W. Lindstrom for the				
9	wrongful death of his daughter Kayla Wood. The action was				
10	entitled Wood v. Lindstrom, et al., Humboldt County Superior				
11	Court, case number DR020685.				
12	3. The underlying actions brought by Plaintiffs were				
13	thereafter consolidated ("Underlying Action").				
14	4. Ralph L. Lindstrom and Pamela W. Lindstrom obtained				
15	summary judgment in the Underlying Action and the action went				
16	forward against Kimberly Holz Lindstrom.				
17	5. It is the understanding of the parties to this				
18	Agreement that Plaintiffs' claims against Kimberly Holz Lindstrom				
19	were adjudicated through trial in the Underlying Action.				
20	6. Following adjudication of Plaintiffs' claims against				
21	Kimberly Holz Lindstrom, Plaintiffs sued Defendant Scottsdale in				
22	above-referenced action, Wood, et al. v. Scottsdale Indemnity				
23	Company, United States District Court, Northern District of				
24	California, Case Number CV 08 3335 NJV.				
25	7. Plaintiffs seek damages for breach of contract and bad				
26	faith arising out of the Scottsdale policy issued to named				
27	insureds Ralph L. and Pamela W. Lindstrom. Plaintiffs contend				
28	2				

Selman Breitman LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER/CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT -CV 08 3335 NJV Selman Breitman LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

that Kimberly Holz Lindstrom is an "insured" under the Scottsdale
 policy issued to named insureds Ralph L. and Pamela W. Lindstrom.

8. On April 14, 2009, Plaintiff Clarence Jonathon Wood noticed the deposition of Scottsdale representative James Hardina. (See Attachment "A" hereto.) The deposition notice includes a request for production of documents, including "[a]ny and all claims handling manuals used, referred to or relied upon by Scottsdale employees from the period of July 2004 to the present".

9. Based on the above Recitals, the parties to this Agreement hereby submit this Stipulated Protective Order and Confidentiality Agreement with request that this Court approve it.

DEFINITIONS

10. When used in this Agreement, the terms "Party" and "Parties" refer to the Plaintiffs and Scottsdale.

17 11. When used in this Agreement, the term "Wood Action"
18 refers to the above-referenced action, Wood, et al. v. Scottsdale
19 Indemnity Company, United States District Court, Northern
20 District of California, Case Number CV 08 3335 NJV.

21 12. When used in this Agreement, the term "Documents"
22 refers to all non-privileged, non-protected documents within the
23 custody and possession of Defendant Scottsdale which are
24 responsive to Plaintiff Clarence Jonathon Wood's Notice of Taking
25 Deposition of James Hardina and for the Production of Documents
26 and will be produced in accordance with the terms of this
27 Stipulated Protective Order and Confidentiality Agreement and

28

1 approval of this Court. The Parties understand and agree that there are additional documents for which Scottsdale claims 2 3 privilege or other protection which are not a part of this 4 Agreement. 5 TERMS & AGREEMENT 6 13. The Documents produced by Defendant Scottsdale pursuant 7 to this Agreement and Court Order approving this Agreement, shall 8 be used only for the purpose of the prosecution, defense or 9 settlement of this action and for no other purpose. 14. Documents produced pursuant to this Agreement and Court 10 11 Order approving this Agreement, may be disclosed or made 12 available only to the Court, to counsel for a Party, and to the 13 "Qualified Persons" expressly designated below: 14 Α. A Party, or an officer, director, or employee of a 15 Party deemed necessary by counsel to aid in the prosecution, defense or settlement of this Action; 16 17 Experts or consultants (together with their Β. clerical staff) retained by such counsel to assist 18 19 in the prosecution, defense or settlement of this Action; 20 21 C. Court reporter(s) employed in this Action; 22 D. A witness at any deposition or other proceeding in 23 this Action; and 24 Ε. Any other person as to whom the parties in writing 25 agree. 26 15. Before disclosing any matters identified herein to any "Qualified Persons" herein, counsel shall give such person a copy 27 28 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER/CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT -170462.1 380.25532 CV 08 3335 NJV

Selman Breitman LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

of this Agreement and Court Order approving this Agreement and shall obtain from them a signed written certification in the following form:

"I hereby acknowledge that I, [name], [position of employment], am about to receive confidential information supplied in connection with the litigation entitled Wood, et al. v. Scottsdale Indemnity Company, et al., United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case Number CV 08 3335 NJV. I certify my understanding that such information is to be provided to me pursuant to the terms and restrictions of the Agreement in this action, and that I have been given a copy, have read and understand the Agreement and agree to be bound by its terms. I understand that such information and any copies that I make of any documents as identified in that Agreement, or any notes or other records that may be made regarding any such matter, shall not be disclosed to any persons except as defined in the Agreement."

21

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Selman Breitman LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Each Party and each other Qualified Person that has agreed to be bound hereto by executing the above-written confirmation shall maintain a file containing the certification that said Party or said Qualified Person obtains pursuant to this Paragraph.

26 16. Nothing herein shall impose any restrictions on the use27 or disclosure by a Party of materials obtained by such Party

28

independent of discovery in this Action, whether or not such materials were also obtained through Defendant Scottsdale's production of documents in response to Plaintiff Clarence Jonathon Wood's Notice of Taking Deposition of James Hardina and for the Production of Documents, or from disclosing its own Confidential Material as it deems appropriate.

17. If any of the Documents obtained pursuant to this Agreement and Court Order approving this Agreement are included in any papers to be filed in Court, such papers shall be labeled "CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO COURT ORDER" and filed under seal until further court order of this Court.

18. In the event that any Documents are used in any court proceeding in the Wood Action, such Documents or any of them shall not lose their confidential status through such use, and the Party using such shall take all reasonable steps to maintain their confidentiality during such use.

17 19. This Agreement and Court Order shall be without 18 prejudice to the rights of the Parties: (a) to bring before this 19 Court at any time the question of whether any particular document 20 or information is confidential or whether its use should be 21 restricted; or (b) to present a motion to the Court for a 22 separate protective order as to any particular document, 23 including restrictions differing from those as specified herein.

24 20. This Agreement and Court Order is entered solely for 25 the purpose of facilitating the exchange of documents and 26 information between the Parties to this Action without involving 27 the Court unnecessarily in the process. Nothing in this

6

28

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Selman Breitman LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

170462.1 380.25532

1 Agreement and Court Order nor the production of Documents under the terms of this Agreement and Court Order nor any proceeding 2 3 pursuant to this Agreement and Court Order, shall be deemed to 4 have the effect of any admission or waiver by any Party or of altering the confidentiality of the Documents or altering any 5 6 existing obligation of any Party or the absence thereof. The 7 Parties specifically reserve the right to object to the admissibility and use of the Documents. 8

This Agreement and Court Order shall survive the final 21. termination of this Action, to the extent that the Documents do not become known to the public, and the Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve any dispute concerning the use of such Documents disclosed hereunder.

22. Nothing in this Protective Order shall reduce the production afforded to other documents produced in and testimony and other information generated during the course of the Wood Action by any other protective order entered.

19 DATED: May 19, 2009 SELMAN BREITMAN LLP By: /s/ Linda Wendell Hsu LINDA WENDELL HSU 22 SUNGJEE LEE Attorneys for Defendant SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY COMPANY 2.4 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER/CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT -

Selman Breitman LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

25

26

27

28

	1	DATED: May 19, 2009 THE LAW OFFICES OF DAVID DIBBL	C
	2		
	3	By: /s/ David P. Dibble	
	4		
	5	CLARENCE JONATHON WOOD	
	6		
	7	DATED: May 19, 2009 THE LAW OFFICES OF ZACHARY ZWEE	RDLING
	8		
	9	By: /s/ Zachary E. Zwerdling ZACHARY E. ZWERDLING	
	10		
ط	11		
v LL	12		
nan Breitman	13	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
reit ≣Ys ⊭	14	DATED: May 26, 2009	
U N B ORNE	15		
Selman Breitman LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW	16	By: THE HONORABLE NANDOR J. VAN	DAS
	17	United States Magistrate Ju	ıdge
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28	8 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER/CONFIDENTIALITY	ACREEMENT -
170462.1 38	0.25532		08 3335 NJV