

1 LINDA WENDELL HSU (SBN 162971)  
 SELMAN BREITMAN LLP  
 2 33 New Montgomery, Sixth Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94105  
 3 Telephone: (415) 979-0400  
 Facsimile: (415) 979-2099  
 4 Email: lhsu@selmanbreitman.com

5 Attorney for Defendant  
 6 Scottsdale Indemnity Company

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 CLARENCE JONATHON WOOD and HEIDI  
 11 COLLINGWOOD,  
 12 Plaintiffs,  
 13 v.  
 14 SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY CO.; and  
 DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,  
 15 Defendants.  
 16

CASE NO. CV 08 3335 NJV

**JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
 ORDER TO PERMIT LIMITED  
 ADDITIONAL BRIEFING IN THE  
 PARTIES' CROSS MOTIONS FOR  
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

**[L.R. 7-11 and 7-12]**

Selman Breitman LLP  
 ATTORNEYS AT LAW

17  
 18  
 19 Pursuant to the United States District Court, Northern  
 20 District of California, Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 7-12, IT IS  
 21 HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties to this action,  
 22 through their designated counsel of record, that:

23 1. Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in  
 24 support of their Motion for Summary Judgment exceeded the page  
 25 limitations established by local rule by five (5) pages (30 pages  
 26 instead of 25).

27 2. To address and oppose the arguments in the 5 extra  
 28

1 pages of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment, pp. 26 to 30,  
2 Scottsdale Indemnity Company ("Scottsdale") may file up to an  
3 extra 5 briefing pages in a Supplemental Memorandum of Points and  
4 Authorities in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary  
5 Judgment as a separate brief. Such supplemental brief will be  
6 filed by September 22, 2009 and the arguments contained, therein,  
7 will be limited to a response to the arguments stated on pages 26  
8 to 30 of plaintiff's points and authorities.

9 3. Plaintiffs may file a two page Memorandum of Points and  
10 Authorities in Reply to the Supplemental Opposition filed by  
11 defendant. Such Reply shall be filed by September 25, 2009 and  
12 be limited to a response to the arguments contained in  
13 defendant's Supplemental Opposition.

14 IT IS SO STIPULATED:

15 DATED: September 9, 2009 SELMAN BREITMAN LLP

16  
17  
18 By: /s/ Linda Wendell Hsu  
19 LINDA WENDELL HSU  
20 Attorneys for Defendant  
SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY COMPANY

21 DATED: September 9, 2009 LAW OFFICES OF DAVID P. DIBBLE

22  
23 By: /s/ David P. Dibble  
24 DAVID P. DIBBLE  
25 Attorney for Plaintiff  
26 CLARENCE JONATHON WOOD  
27  
28


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: September 9, 2009 LAW OFFICE OF ZACHARY E. ZWERDLING

By: /s/ Zachary E. Zwerdling  
ZACHARY E. ZWERDLING  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
HEIDI COLLINGWOOD

IT IS SO ORDERED:

DATED: September 14 , 2009

By:   
NANDOR J. VADAS United States Magistrate Judge  
JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT