

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
EUREKA DIVISION

DAVID B. DAVIS,

Petitioner,

No. C 16-1737 NJV (PR)

vs.

ORDER OF TRANSFER

T.C.C.F.,

Respondent.

This is a habeas case filed pro se by a state prisoner. Petitioner seeks to challenge a conviction obtained in the Shasta County Superior Court. Shasta County is in the venue of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Petitioner is incarcerated in Mississippi.

Venue for a habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the district of conviction, 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); this district is neither. Because petitions challenging a conviction are preferably heard in the district of conviction, Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); *Laue v. Nelson*, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968), and petitioner was convicted and in the Eastern District, this case is **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 26, 2016.



NANDOR J. VADAS
United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California