Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **EUREKA DIVISION**

ISABELLA TAYLOR,

Plaintiff,

v.

LILY BINCKHAUS, D.D.S.,

Defendant.

Case No. 17-cv-01995-NJV

ORDER OF REMAND

This action was removed to this court on April 10, 2017, from the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Mendocino. (Doc. 1.) On May 3, 2017, the court entered an Order that substituted the United States in place of Defendants Mendocino Community Health Clinic, Inc., Navneet Mansukhani, D.D.S., and Periza Zaninovic, D.D.S., and dismissed the claims against the United States for lack of subject matter jurisdiction because Plaintiff had not exhausted her administrative remedies. (Doc. 15). This left the Complaint with only state law claims against Lily Binckhaus, D.D.S., the remaining Defendant.

On May 16, 2017, the court held a hearing to determine the status of the case and to inquire as to whether the court retained subject matter jurisdiction over the claims against the remaining Defendant. At the hearing the parties stipulated that the court lacked subject matter jurisdiction and that the case should be remanded to state court.

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction, having subject matter jurisdiction only over matters authorized by the Constitution and Congress. See Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co., 511 U.S. 375, 377 (1994). The removal statute requires remand "[i]f at any time before final judgment it appears that the district court lacks subject matter jurisdiction." 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c).

United States District Court Northern District of California

Here the court lacked subject matter over the c	laims against the United States because they were
not exhausted. Thus, the court lacked supplem	nental jurisdiction over the state law claims against
Lily Binckhaus, D.D.S. Accordingly, this matt	ter is properly remanded to the state court.
For the above stated reasons it is ORDI	ERED that this case shall be remanded to the
Superior Court of the State of California, Coun	ity of Mendocino.
A separate judgment shall issue.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	" ~ 1
Dated: May 16, 2017	N'W
	NANDOR J. VADAS United States Magistrate Judge