1		
2		
- 3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11		
12	Troy Adam ASHMUS,	Case Number 3-93-cv-594-TEH
13	Petitioner,	DEATH-PENALTY CASE
14	v.	ORDER REGARDING DOCUMENTS REVIEWED IN CAMERA
15	Robert K. WONG, Acting Warden of San Quentin State Prison,	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	The Court has reviewed in camera seventy-two documents submitted by Petitioner.	
19	Good cause appearing therefor, the Court finds and concludes that Documents 1–23, 25–33, and	
20	36–72 are not relevant in the present action and therefore are not subject to discovery.	
21	However, Documents 24, 34, and 35 are relevant, and the protective order entered in this matter	
22	resolves any concerns related to privileges and the work-product doctrine. Petitioner shall	
23	disclose Documents 24, 34, and 35 to Respondent within ten days after the filing of the present	
24	order.	
25		It is so ordered.
26		Heth Hanenson
27	DATED: <u>03/10/09</u>	HELTON E. HENDERSON
28	U	nited States Senior District Judge
	Case No. 3-93-cv-594-TEH	

Case No. 3-93-cv-594-TEH ORDER REGARDING DOCUMENTS REVIEWED IN CAMERA (DPSAGOK)