

1
2
3
4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 ALVARO C. RODRIGUEZ,

No. C 07-4126 SI

8 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

9 v.

10 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

11 Defendant.
_____ /

12 This case has been closed since January, 2008. On January 14, 2008, the Court issued an Order
13 Granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss plaintiff's complaint, without leave to amend. Dkt. 19. The
14 Court held that plaintiff's complaint is barred, as he cannot attempt to invalidate his state court
15 convictions through this Section 1983 action. *Id.* (citing *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486 (1994)).
16 The Court also directed plaintiff "not to file any further federal cases regarding his 1993 state court
17 convictions because these actions are without legal merit." *Id.* On May 1, 2009, the Ninth Circuit Court
18 of Appeals affirmed the Court's January 14, 2008 Order. Dkt. 25.

19 On April 2, 2012, plaintiff filed a letter with the Court in this closed case entitled "Notice to the
20 Court: All judgments rendered are Void Ab initio." Dkt. 29. To the extent plaintiff's letter is a motion
21 for reconsideration, the motion is DENIED. Plaintiff is again directed to cease filing motions in this
22 case regarding his 1993 state court convictions.
23

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: April 9, 2012

26 

27 SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge
28