

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 No. C 95-02377 WHA

11 UTHE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 HARRY ALLEN and AETRIUM,
15 INCORPORATED,

16 Defendants.
_____ /

**REQUEST FOR RESPONSE RE
MOTION PERMITTING
WITHDRAWAL AND
SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL**

17 Before the Court rules on plaintiff's motion to withdraw and substitute counsel,
18 plaintiff's counsel will please submit an explanation showing good cause for the substitution.
19 The Court is concerned over the extreme age of the case and further concerned about the effect
20 of losing the history and knowledge of this case acquired by plaintiff's original counsel and any
21 delay that will result from this substitution. Counsel's response is due **FRIDAY, MARCH 11 AT**
22 **NOON.**

23
24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
25

26 Dated: March 8, 2016.

27 
28 WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE