Case3:96-cv-01486-CRB Document440 Filed05/05/10 Page1 of 2

1 2	PRISON LAW OFFICE DONALD SPECTER – 83925 SARA NORMAN – 189536	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California JONATHAN L. WOLFF	
3	SUSAN CHRISTIAN – 121210 PENNY GODBOLD – 226925	Senior Assistant Attorney General DANIELLE F. O'BANNON	
4	ZOE SCHONFELD - 243755 General Delivery	Deputy Attorney General JULIANNE MOSSLER	
5	San Quentin, California 94964 Telephone: (510) 280-2621	Deputy Attorney General JOSE A. ZELIDON-ZEPEDA	
6	JONES DAY CAROLINE MITCHELL – 143124	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 227108 455 Goldon Goto Ayanya, Suita 11000	
7	DOUGLAS ROBERTS – 264451 ANDREW VERRIERE – 264674	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5781	
8	555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1500	Fax: (415) 703-5843 E-mail: Jose.ZelidonZepeda@doj.ca.gov	
9	Telephone: (415) 875-5712 Fax: (415) 680-2344	Attorneys for Defendants State of California, et al.	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
11			
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14 15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
16	DERRICK CLARK, et al.,	)	
17	Plaintiffs,	) Case No. C 96-1486 CRB	
18		) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO VACATE WRIT OF	
19	V.	<ul><li>HABEAS CORPUS AD</li><li>TESTIFICANDUM FOR RANDY</li></ul>	
20	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	) WRIGHT	
21	Defendants.	) Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer ) Action filed: April 22, 1996	
22		)	
23	THE DADTIES HEDEDY STIDLY	ATE THAT the West of Heleon Comment Ad	
24	THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE THAT the Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad		
25	Testificandum for Randy Wright, issued on March 26, 2010 (Docket #360), be vacated.		
26	Mr. Wright is housed at the California Substance Abuse Treatment Facility and State  Prison at Corcoran.		
27		_	
28	Stipulation and Order Vacating Writ Ad Testificandun Clark v. California, C 96-1486 CRB	1	

## 

1	Defendants agree to this stimulation l	hasad on plaintiffs' representation that the	
	Defendants agree to this stipulation based on plaintiffs' representation that the		
2	Receiver's Office has made its facilities available to allow Mr. Wright to testify by		
3	videoconferencing. The parties stipulate that any logistical problems involving the		
4	videoconferencing must be resolved by plaintiffs' counsel. If Mr. Wright is not able to		
5	testify through the Receiver's videoconferencing system, defendants will not agree to		
6	allow him to testify through his deposition.		
7			
8	SO STIPULATED:		
9	Dated: May 54, 2010	PRISON LAW OFFICE	
10		/s/	
11		SARA NORMAN	
12		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
13			
14	Dated: May 5, 2010	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California	
15		JOSE A. ZELIDON-ZEPEDA	
16		Deputy Attorney General  Attorneys for Defendants	
17		Attorneys for Defendants	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
19	Dated: 5/7/10		
20		Nandor J. Vadas United States Magistrate Judge	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Stipulation and Order Vacating Writ Ad Testificandum Clark v. California, C 96-1486 CRB	2	