1 2	William S. Koski (SBN 166061) Carly J. Munson (SBN 254598) MILLS LEGAL CLINIC	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California Lisa A. Tillman, Deputy (SBN 126424)	
	STANFORD LAW SCHOOL	DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL	
3	YOUTH & EDUCATION LAW PROJECT 559 Nathan Abbott Way	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
4	Stanford, California 94305-8610	Sacramento, California 94244-2550	
5	Tel: (650) 724-3718 Fax: (650) 723-4426	Tel: (916) 327-7872 Fax: (916) 324-5567	
-	Email: bkoski@stanford.edu	Email: Lisa.Tillman@doj.ca.gov	
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Defendants Delaine Eastin, Superintendent of Public Instruction, and	
	Arlene B. Mayerson (SBN 79310)	Department of Education	
8	Larisa Cummings (SBN 131076) DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION	John C. Beiers	
9	AND DEFENSE FUND, INC.	COUNTY COUNSEL	
10	3075 Adeline Street, Suite 210 Berkeley, California 94703	Kathryn E. Meola, Deputy (SBN 172034) Aimee B. Armsby, Deputy (SBN 226967) Hall of Justice & Records	
11	Tel: (510) 644-2555 Fax: (510) 841-8645	400 County Center, 6th Floor	
12	Email: lcummings@dredf.org	Redwood City, California 94063 Tel: (650) 363-4989	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Fax: (650) 363-4034	
13		Email: kmeola@co.sanmateo.ca.us Email: aarmsby@co.sanmateo.ca.us	
14		Attorneys for Defendant Ravenswood City	
15		School District	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	NORTHERN DISTR	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	EMMA C., et al.,	Case No.: C-96-4179 (TEH)	
19		JOINT STIPULATION and [PROPOSED]	
20	Plaintiffs,	ORDER RE: RSIP INTERVENTIONS FOR DISTRICT NON-COMPLIANCE	
21	v.		
22	DELAINE EASTIN, et al.,	Courtroom: Hon. Thelton E. Henderson	
	Defendente	Courtooni. Tion. Thereof E. Henderson	
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: RSIP INTERVENTIONS FOR DISTRICT NON-COMPLIANCE		
	Emma C. v. Delaine Eastin C-96-4179 (TEH)	Docke	

1		JOINT STIPULATION	
2	On November 21, 2011, in response to this Court's July 12 order, the parties submitted		
3	their "Joint Statement Concerning Proposed Interventions for District Non-Compliance" ("Joint		
4	Statement"). (See Order, Court Docket 1637; Joint Statement, Court Docket 1667.)		
5	On December 7, 2011, during a status conference in the instant matter, this Court ordered		
6	the parties to submit a joint stipulation and proposed order regarding the matters outlined in the		
7	November 21 Joint Statement.		
8	Accordingly, and pursuant to this Court's December 7 order, the parties hereby submit		
9	this joint stipulation and respectfully request that this Court approve and so order the		
10	interventions specified in the parties' November 21 Joint Statement. For the Court's		
11	convenience, a copy of these proposed interventions is attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
12	Dated: January 5, 2012	YOUTH AND EDUCATION LAW PROJECT	
13		By: /s/	
14		William S. Koski Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15		COUNTY COUNSEL OF THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO	
16		By: /s/	
17		Aimee B. Armsby	
18		Attorneys for Defendant Ravenswood City School District	
19		OFFICE OF THE CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL	
20		By: /s/ Lisa A. Tillman	
21		Attorneys for Defendants Delaine Eastin, Superintendent of Public Instruction, and Department of Education	
22			
23			
24			
25			
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSE <i>Emma C. v. Delaine Eastin</i> C-96-4	D] ORDER RE: RSIP INTERVENTIONS FOR DISTRICT NON-COMPLIANCE 179 (TEH) Page 1	

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
2	The Court has reviewed the parties' joint stipulation regarding proposed interventions for	
3	District non-compliance with certain RSIP items, submitted in accordance with this Court's	
4	December 7, 2011, order.	
5	For good cause shown, the parties' request to approve and order the interventions set	
6	forth in the parties' November 21, 2011, "Joint Statement Concerning Proposed Interventions for	
7	District Non-Compliance" is GRANTED. (See Court Docket 1667.)	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9		
10 Dated: $\frac{1/5/2012}{10}$	Dated: $\frac{1/5/2012}{TEE}$	
11	UNIT JUDGE	
12	Z Judge Thelton E. Henderson	
13		
14	FERN DISTRICT OF CP	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: RSIP INTERVENTIONS FOR DISTRICT NON-COMPLIANCE <i>Emma C. v. Delaine Eastin</i> C-96-4179 (TEH)	
	Page 2	