

14 The Court is in receipt of a filing by Plaintiffs, which seeks to bring a variety of 15 motions. Two of these motions are requests for extensions of time for filing, requesting a 60-16 day extension of time to submit objections to Defendants' monitoring system, and a 14-day 17 extension of time, commensurate with that requested by, and granted to, Defendants by this 18 Court's order of July 30, 2012. Requests for extension of time are properly administrative 19 motions under Civil Local Rule 7-11, and subject to a 4-day response period, after which 20 they are deemed submitted. Civil L.R. 7-11(b)-(c). Given the potential for confusion 21 inherent in these motions being combined with a substantive motion, the deadline for any 22 objection by Defendants to these requests for extension of time shall be re-set to four days 23 from the issuance of this Order, or August 6, 2012.

The substantive motion contained in this filing is a motion to compel Defendant CDE
to make a *prima facie* showing in support of the assertion that the statewide monitoring
system has been accepted or approved by the federal Office of Special Education Programs.
On this issue, the Monitor has recommended further briefing, but the Court does not intend to
make any ruling on the Monitor's recommendations prior to the filing objections by both

parties. However, since Plaintiffs have elected to file a separate motion regarding this issue while simultaneously requesting more time to file their objections, the Court shall set a separate briefing schedule on this motion to compel. Responses to the motion to compel shall be filed on or before August 17, 2012. Replies shall be filed on or before August 24, 2012. A hearing on Plaintiffs' motion shall be held at 10:00 a.m. on September 17, 2012, in Courtroom 2, 17th Floor of the U.S. District Court in San Francisco.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/2/12

I E. HENDERSON, JUDGE ED STATES DISTRICT COURT