

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS  
 Attorney General of California  
 2 ISMAEL A. CASTRO  
 Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
 3 LISA A. TILLMAN, SBN 126424  
 R. MATTHEW WISE, SBN 238485  
 4 Deputy Attorneys General  
 1300 I Street, Suite 125  
 5 P.O. Box 944255  
 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550  
 6 Telephone: (916) 327-7872  
 Fax: (916) 324-5567  
 7 E-mail: Lisa.Tillman@doj.ca.gov  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
 8 *Department of Education*

9 JOHN C. BEIERS  
 COUNTY COUNSEL (SBN 144282)  
 10 BY: AIMEE B. ARMSBY, DEPUTY (SBN 226967)  
 Hall of Justice and Records  
 11 400 County Center, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 Redwood City, CA 94063  
 12 Telephone: (650) 363-4647  
 Facsimile: (650) 363-4034  
 13 Email: aarmsby@co.sanmateo.ca.us  
*Attorneys for Defendant Ravenswood City School*  
 14 *District and Related Defendants*

William S. Koski, Esq., SBN 166061  
 Carly J. Munson, Esq., SBN 254598  
 STANFORD LAW SCHOOL  
 YOUTH & EDUCATION LAW PROJECT  
 MILLS LEGAL CLINIC  
 559 Nathan Abbott Way  
 Stanford, CA 94305-8610  
 Telephone: (650) 724-3718  
 Facsimile: (650) 723-4426  
 Email: [bkoski@stanford.edu](mailto:bkoski@stanford.edu)  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Arlene B. Mayerson, Esq., SBN 79310  
 Larisa M. Cummings, Esq., SBN131076  
 DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION &  
 DEFENSE FUND, INC.  
 2212 Sixth Street  
 Berkeley, CA 94710  
 Telephone: (510) 644-2555  
 Facsimile: (510) 841-8645  
 Emails: [amayerson@dredf.org](mailto:amayerson@dredf.org)  
[lcummings@dredf.org](mailto:lcummings@dredf.org)  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

15  
 16 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 17 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 18

19  
 20 **EMMA C.,**  
 21  
 Plaintiff,  
 22  
 v.  
 23  
**DELAINE EASTIN, ET AL.,**  
 24  
 Defendant.  
 25

3:96-cv-04179-TEH  
**SECOND JOINT STIPULATION RE.  
 AMENDMENT OF DISPUTE  
 RESOLUTION TIMELINES IN FIFTH  
 JOINT STATEMENT**  
 Judge: The Honorable Thelton E.  
 Henderson

26  
 27 The parties, by and through their attorneys, agreed in their Fifth Joint Statement to a  
 28 framework for dispute resolution for challenges to (1) CDE’s state-level monitoring system, and

1 (2) the SESR Findings and Corrective Actions Statement. (Court Docket [CD] 1799.) On April  
2 8, 2013, the parties stipulated to an amended framework to allow for the opportunity for a  
3 meaningful exchange of information between the parties and with the hope that the issues could  
4 be narrowed and/or resolved during the meet-and-confer period. (CD 1826.) The parties have  
5 continued this exchange and now update the stipulation with the following timelines:

6 **A. Dispute Resolution for CDE's State-Level Monitoring System**

7 1. Pursuant to IV.B. and VII.C. of the Fifth Joint Statement, the parties were to engage  
8 in a meet-and confer discussion within ten days of plaintiffs' January 25, 2013 submission  
9 challenging the adequacy and efficacy of the design of CDE's state-level monitoring system. Due  
10 to the volume of plaintiffs' objections and CDE's desire for transparency, the parties agreed to the  
11 following framework:

- 12 • CDE provided further information about its monitoring system by February 22, 2013.
- 13 • After reviewing CDE's response, plaintiffs submitted written comments and  
14 additional questions to CDE by March 8, 2013.
- 15 • After review of plaintiffs' comments and questions, CDE provided a further written  
16 response by March 22, 2013.
- 17 • Plaintiffs submitted their final statement of objections to CDE's monitoring system  
18 on April 12, 2013.
- 19 • CDE provided its final written response to plaintiffs' final statement of objections on  
20 May 31, 2013.
- 21 • Meet-and-confer discussions will close on July 26, 2013.

22 2. Pursuant to VII.D. of the Fifth Joint Statement, submission to the Monitor of issues  
23 still in dispute was to occur within a week of the expiration of the meet-and-confer period.  
24 Although the plaintiffs and CDE have previously submitted comprehensive statements about the  
25 issues in dispute, the parties have engaged in further discussions. To accommodate the schedules  
26 of the parties and to coordinate the respective submissions that will be due, the parties have  
27 agreed that the deadline for this submission to the Monitor is August 26, 2013, the same date as  
28

1 the deadline for submission to the Monitor regarding the SESR Findings and Corrective Actions  
2 Statement after the close of meet-and-confer period.

3 3. Pursuant to VII.F. of the Fifth Joint Statement, any party aggrieved by the Monitor's  
4 determinations is to seek relief from the Court through filing a motion within fourteen days of the  
5 Monitor's determinations. Because of the complexity of the issues at stake and to accommodate  
6 the schedules of the parties and the Monitor, the parties have agreed that any motions must be  
7 filed within 45 days of the Monitor's determinations.

8 **B. Dispute Resolution for SESR Findings and Corrective Actions Statement**

9 1. Pursuant to II.E. of the Fifth Joint Statement, CDE was to issue the Findings and  
10 Corrective Actions Statement by January 31, 2013. CDE issued this statement on February 1,  
11 2013.

12 2. Pursuant to V.B. of the Fifth Joint Statement, plaintiffs were to be granted access to  
13 District records within seven days of plaintiffs' February 8, 2013 request. To accommodate the  
14 schedules of the parties, plaintiffs and the District made these records available for plaintiffs'  
15 review beginning on April 11, 2013.

16 3. Pursuant to V.B. of the Fifth Joint Statement, CDE was to provide a written response  
17 within seven days of plaintiffs' February 8, 2013 request for evidence relied upon by CDE as a  
18 basis for conclusions in the SESR Findings and Corrective Actions Statement. CDE provided  
19 this information on March 1, 2013, with a supplemental response (with the CASEMIS data) on  
20 March 7, 2013.

21 4. Pursuant to VI.A. of the Fifth Joint Statement, the parties were to state objections to  
22 the SESR Findings and Corrective Actions Statement within thirty days of receiving all evidence  
23 and student records. The plaintiffs timely submitted their objections on May 10, 2013.

24 5. Pursuant to VI.B. of the Fifth Joint Statement, the parties were to meet and confer  
25 within ten days of the submission of plaintiffs' objections. Based on the availability of the  
26 parties, the parties began the meet-and-confer discussion on June 7, 2013. In light of CDE's plan  
27 to conduct meetings with the District on the week of June 24, 2013 to follow up on the  
28

1 implementation of corrective actions, the parties have agreed to extend the deadline for the meet-  
2 and-confer period to July 26, 2013.

3 6. Pursuant to VI.C. of the Fifth Joint Statement, the parties are to submit any remaining  
4 disputed issues to the Monitor within a week of the close of the meet-and-confer period. To  
5 accommodate the schedules of the parties, the parties have agreed to extend the deadline to  
6 submit disputed issues to the Monitor to August 26, 2013.

7 7. Pursuant to VI.E. of the Fifth Joint Statement, any party aggrieved by the Monitor's  
8 determinations is to seek relief from the Court through filing a motion within fourteen days of the  
9 Monitor's determinations. Because of the complexity of the issues at stake and to accommodate  
10 the schedules of the parties and the Monitor, the parties have agreed that any motions must be  
11 filed within 45 days of the Monitor's determinations.

12 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

13 DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION & DEFENSE FUND, INC.

14 By:                   /s/ Larisa M. Cummings                    
15 Larisa M. Cummings  
16 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

17 YOUTH AND EDUCATION LAW PROJECT

18 By:                   /s/ William S. Koski                    
19 William S. Koski  
20 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

21 COUNTY COUNSEL OF THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

22 By:                   /s/ Aimee Armsby                    
23 Aimee Armsby  
24 Deputy County Counsel  
25 *Attorneys for Ravenswood City School District and Related*  
26 *Defendants*



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

By:           /s/ R. Matthew Wise            
R. Matthew Wise  
Deputy Attorney General  
*Attorneys for Defendants Delaine Eastin, Superintendent  
of Public Instruction, California Department of Education*

SA2005104070  
11108747.doc