

1 JOHN C. BEIERS  
 County Counsel (SBN 144282)  
 2 BY: AIMEE ARMSBY, DEPUTY (SBN 226967)  
 San Mateo Office of County Counsel  
 3 Hall of Justice and Records  
 400 County Center, 6th Floor  
 4 Redwood City, CA 94063  
 Telephone: (650) 363-4768  
 5 Facsimile: (650) 363-4034  
 Email: [aarmsby@smcgov.org](mailto:aarmsby@smcgov.org)  
 6 *Attorneys for Defendant Ravenswood City School  
 District and Related Defendants*

*of Education, and the California Department of  
Education*

WILLIAM KOSKI, Esq. (SBN 166061)  
 STANFORD LAW SCHOOL  
 YOUTH & EDUCATION LAW PROJECT  
 MILLS LEGAL CLINIC  
 559 Nathan Abbott Way  
 Stanford, CA 94305-8610  
 Telephone: (650) 724-3718  
 Facsimile: (650) 723-4426  
 Email: [bkoski@stanford.edu](mailto:bkoski@stanford.edu)  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

8 XAVIER BECERRA, State Bar. No.118517  
 Attorney General of California  
 ISMAEL A. CASTRO, State Bar. No. 85452  
 9 Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
 DARRELL SPENCE (SBN 248011)  
 10 KARLI EISENBERG (SBN 281923)  
 Deputy Attorneys General  
 11 1300 I Street, Suite 125  
 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550  
 12 Telephone: (916) 323-8549  
 Fax: (916) 324-5567  
 13 E-mails: [Darrell.Spence@doj.ca.gov](mailto:Darrell.Spence@doj.ca.gov)  
[Karli.Eisenberg@doj.ca.gov](mailto:Karli.Eisenberg@doj.ca.gov)  
 14 *Attorneys for Defendants Delaine Eastin,  
 Superintendent of Public Instruction, the State Board*

Arlene B. Mayerson, Esq. (SBN 79310)  
 Larisa M. Cummings, Esq. (SBN131076)  
 DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION  
 & DEFENSE FUND, INC.  
 3075 Adeline Street, Suite 210  
 Berkeley, CA 94703  
 Telephone: (510) 644-2555  
 Facsimile: (510) 841-8645  
 Emails: [amayerson@dredf.org](mailto:amayerson@dredf.org)  
[lcummings@dredf.org](mailto:lcummings@dredf.org)  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

20 EMMA C., et al.,  
 21 Plaintiffs,  
 22 vs.  
 23 DELAINE EASTIN, et al.  
 24 Defendants.

Case No. C-96-4179 TEH

**SECOND AMENDED JOINT  
 STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER  
 RE: STATUS OF STIPULATION  
 CONCLUDING RSIP MONITORING AND  
 TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR FILING  
 SAME**

Judge: The Honorable Thelton E. Henderson

1  
2 The parties in this case, the Ravenswood City School District (“District”) and the California  
3 Department of Education (CDE) and their respective related defendants, and the Plaintiffs (collectively,  
4 “the Parties”) wish to update the Court on the status of their work to finalize a stipulation to conclude the  
5 Ravenswood Self Improvement Plan (“RSIP”) and a transition plan for the District’s ultimate dismissal  
6 from the case. The most recent version of the stipulation was circulated on Friday, May 26, 2017. In  
7 order to allow sufficient time for the Parties to consider the May 26, 2017 stipulation, the Parties  
8 respectfully advise the Court that additional time will be needed.

9 The Parties remain confident in their ability to reach a final stipulation for submission to the  
10 Court, and therefore ask the Court to further extend the current suspension of the deadline for issuance of  
11 the Court Monitoring Report, currently set for June 19, 2017, to July 27, 2017. During such time period,  
12 all applicable RSIP monitoring deadlines remain suspended until further Order of this Court.

13  
14 SO STIPULATED AND AGREED:

15  
16 Dated: May 30, 2017

JOHN C. BEIERS, COUNTY COUNSEL  
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

17  
18 By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/  
Aimee B. Armsby  
Deputy County Counsel  
Attorneys for Ravenswood City School District  
and Related Defendants.

19  
20  
21 Dated: May 30, 2017

YOUTH & EDUCATION LAW PROJECT

22  
23  
24 By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/  
William S. Koski  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

25  
26 Dated: May 30, 2017

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

1 By: \_\_\_\_\_ /s/

2 Karli Eisenberg  
3 *Attorneys for Defendants Delaine Eastin,*  
4 *Superintendent of Public Instruction, State Board of*  
5 *Education and the California Department of*  
6 *Education*

7 **~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

8 The Court has reviewed the parties' Joint Stipulation regarding extending the deadline for  
9 issuance of the Court Monitor's 2016-17 Q3 RSIP Monitoring Report and suspending his monitoring  
10 activities.

11 For good cause shown, the parties' request to approve the agreements contained herein and order  
12 the parties' Joint Stipulation concerning further extension of the deadline for issuance of the Court  
13 Monitor's 2016-17 Q3 RSIP Monitoring Report and suspending related deadlines as forth herein is  
14 GRANTED.

15 The Court further finds that, should the parties fail to submit a proposed joint stipulation  
16 regarding the resolution of remaining monitoring items under the RSIP to the Court by July 27, 2017, the  
17 deadline for issuance of the Court Monitor's 2016-17 Q3 RSIP Monitoring Report shall be reset for a  
18 date thereafter to be determined.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: 5/31/2017

21 

22 THE HON. THELTON E. HENDERSON  
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE