

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**FILED**

NOV 05 2010

**RICHARD W. WIEKING**  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEPHEN LOUIS MITCHAM,  
  
Petitioner,  
  
v  
  
VINCE CULLEN, Warden of  
California State Prison at San  
Quentin,  
  
Respondent

Case No C-97-03825 VRW  
  
ORDER

The court directs the parties to submit briefs addressing the applicability of Batson v Kentucky, 476 US 79 (1986), to the ineffective assistance of counsel subclaim of claim D, in light of the fact that petitioner's underlying challenge to the prosecutor's use of peremptory challenges was raised neither at trial nor on direct appeal. See Thomas v Moore, 866 F2d 803, 805 (5th Cir 1989) (Supreme Court's decision giving retroactive effect to Batson in cases pending on direct appeal does not conflict with determination that timely objection is requisite to the application of Batson); Ruff v Armontrout, 77 F3d 265, 268 (8th Cir 1996) (counsel need not anticipate change in existing law to render constitutionally effective assistance).

1           The parties shall address this issue before filing the  
2 surreply and response requested in the court's Order Regarding  
3 Claim D, filed on August 25, 2010.

4           Petitioner shall file his brief within 21 days of the  
5 date of this Order. Respondent shall file his response within 21  
6 days of the date of service of petitioner's brief.

7  
8           IT IS SO ORDERED.

9  
10 DATED:



---

11 VAUGHN R WALKER  
12 United States District Chief Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28