Carpenter v. Brown, et al Doc. 214

```
MICHAEL M. CRAIN, State Bar No. 45083
   P. O. Box 3730
 2 Santa Monica, California 90408
   Telephone 310-571-3324
 3 Fax 310-626-9983
   E-mail: Michaelmcrain@aol.com
 4
   ROBERT D. BACON, State Bar No. 73297
 5
  484 Lake Park Avenue, PMB 110
   Oakland, California 94610
 6 Telephone 510-834-6219
   Fax 510-444-6861
 7 E-mail: bacon2254@aol.com
 8 Attorneys for Petitioner
 9 EDMUND G. BROWN, Jr.
   Attorney General of the State of California
10 JAMES WILLIAM BILDERBACK II, State Bar No. 161306
   STEPHANIE C. BRENAN, State Bar No. 183790
11 Deputy Attorneys General
   300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
12 Los Angeles, California 90013
   Telephone 213-897-2049
13 Fax 213-897-6496
   E-mail: DocketingLAAWT@doj.ca.gov
14 STEVEN T. OETTING, State Bar No. 142868
   Supervising Deputy Attorney General
15 P.O. Box 85266
   San Diego, California 92186
16 Telephone 619-645-2206
   Fax 619-645-2271
17 E-mail: Steve.Oetting@doj.ca.gov
18 Attorneys for Respondent
19
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO
20
21
22 DAVID J. CARPENTER,
                                               No. C 98-2444 MMC
                                               and No. C 00-3706 MMC
23
                Petitioner.
                                               DEATH PENALTY CASES
24
         VS.
   VINCENT CULLEN, Acting Warden
                                               PROPOSED LITIGATION SCHEDULE
25
   of San Quentin State Prison,
                                               and [PROPOSED] ORDER
26
                Respondent.
27
28
```

Pursuant to the order of November 24, 2009 (98-2444 Doc. 210; 00-3706 Doc. 141), counsel for petitioner and respondent conferred by telephone on December 11, 2009, and have agreed upon the following:

- 1. For purposes of litigation, the claims in No. 98-2444 will be divided into nine groups as set forth in Appendix A and the claims in No.00-3706 will be divided into nine groups as set forth in Appendix B. The groups of claims will be briefed and presented to the Court for decision in the order indicated, beginning with group 1. Claims in the same numbered group in No. 98-2444 and No. 00-3706 will be presented to the Court for decision at approximately the same time, so the Court can consider them together.
- 2. With respect to claims in group 1 in No. 98-2444, petitioner will file a motion for summary judgment, motion for judgment on the pleadings, brief on the merits, or other appropriate document within 180 days after issuance of the Court's forthcoming scheduling order. With respect to the claims in group 1 in No. 00-3706, petitioner will file a similar document within 14 days after filing the motion or brief in No. 98-2444.
- 3. Respondent's responsive brief on group 1 in each case will be due 60 days after petitioner's motion or brief is filed. Petitioner's reply on group 1 in each case will be due within 30 days after respondent's responsive brief is filed. The claims in group 1 will then be ripe for decision by the Court, with or without oral argument as the Court prefers.
- 4. The same schedule will then be followed for the claims in group 2, with petitioner's motion or opening brief in No. 98-2444 due 180 days after the later of his two replies on group 1 claims is filed. Petitioner's motion or opening brief on group 2 claims in No. 00-3706 will be due 14 days later, and so forth.
 - 5. The same schedule will then be followed for the claims in group 3.
- 6. Following the Court's decision on the claims in group 3 in both cases, counsel will meet and confer and present to the Court a proposed schedule for litigation of the remaining groups of claims. This will include a schedule for filing motions for evidentiary hearing and responses thereto, and a schedule for litigating questions of cause and prejudice with respect to claims the Court has previously found to be procedurally defaulted.

1	
2	Dated: December 26, 2009 /s/ Michael M. Crain
3	MICHAEL M. CRAIN, Counsel for Petitioner
4	Dated: January 19, 2010 /s/ Robert D. Bacon ROBERT D. BACON, Counsel for Petitioner
5	ROBERT D. BACOIV, Counsel for I citioner
6	Dated: January 6, 2010 /s/ James William Bilderback II
7	JAMES WILLIAM BILDERBACK II, Counsel for Respondent in No. 98-2444
8	
9	Dated: January 6, 2010 /s/ Stephanie C. Brenan STEPHANIE C. BRENAN, Counsel for Respondent
10	in No. 98-2444
11	Dated: January 15, 2010 /s/ Steven T. Oetting
12	STEVEN T. OETTING, Counsel for Respondent in No. 00-3706
13	
14	
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
16 17	Signed January 27, 2010
18	Signed January 27, 2010 Maxine M. Chesney MAXINE M. CHESNEY
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	
21	Pursuant to section X.B. of General Order No. 45, Robert D. Bacon, counsel for the peti-
22	tioner, certifies that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other
23	signatories.
24	/s/ Robert D. Bacon
25	
2526	