#### 9:16-21 25:1-6 5:19-20 21:7-10 21:17-23 12:17-13:2 Page/Line Cite and Counter-Designations Defendants' Objections Defendants' Objections Plaintiffs' Responses to Counter-Designations and Objections to (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 11, 2005 20:21-23 18:3-6 18:18-22 Defendants' Cross Examination Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations Counter-Designations Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Responses to Defendants'

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Page/Line Cite	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Cross Examination	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
25:11-21					
25:24-25					
	•		30:2 (beginning with "Where") - 30:6		
30:17-31:7					
31:22-24					
32:4-5				-	
41:5-8					
41:11-13				- Comment	
42:18-20					

Page/Line Cite	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Cross Examination	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
44:22-45:3		, .			
51:5-6					
51:8-11					
52:13-14					
52:16					
56:16-18				- CALANTE ST.	
57:5-14		•			
61:19-62:8				210	
			62:13-15	Beyond/the scope of plaintiffs' original designation, and the	This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct,

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

63:15-17		62:17 ("As of")—		Page/Line Cite
	sentence because it is incomplete and misleading. FRE 106, 403.	62:17: Defendants object to the omission of "But"		Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
	5, Coch "But	۶,		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
				Defendants' Cross Examination
			answer is not responsive to the question asked.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
			testimony designated by plaintiffs at 62:3-8 and 62:17-20. The witness' answer is directly responsive to the question.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

	64:21-25	64:10-16 (beginning, "What did you")	Page/Line Cite
		ıat	Defendants? Objections e and Counter-Designations
			Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
65:6-10			Defendants' Cross Examination
This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, and the statement is hearsay.			Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details surrounding this surrounding this witness' experiences during the incident. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations. The only out-of-court statement contained in this testimony is offered for the non-			Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

70:5-8	69:11-14	69:5-7	68:24-25	68:17-19	68:13-14	68:6-8		Page/Line Cite
								Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
								Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
								Defendants? Cross Examination
								Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
							hearsay purpose of showing its effect on the hearer and the speaker's state of mind.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

## PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

76:19-22	76:10-12	71:20-22	,	70:18-23		Page/Line Cite
	Defendants object that plaintiffs' omission of 75:9-12 leaves the designated question re "he" incomplete and misleading. FRE 106 403.					Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
	that 1 of 1 o					Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
			70:24-71:1		70:12-17	Defendants' Cross Examination
						Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
						Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

## PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Page/Line Cite	
Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	
Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	
Defendants? Cross Examination	77:11-20
Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations	Hearsay as to what Randy told Ogburu, and this designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation.
Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details surrounding this surrounding the incident. Compare plaintiffs' designation at 76:19-22 regarding the witness' visit to Parabe with this testimony regarding the purpose for that visit. This testimony is not hearsay, as it does not include any out-of-court statement. To the extent that any such

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

84:15-18		Page/Line Cite
Defendants object that plaintiffs' omission of the entire question (84:22) is incomplete and misleading. FRE 106, 403.		Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
		Defendants' Cross Examination
·		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
	statement is embodied in the question, such statement is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the non-hearsay purpose of showing its effect on the listener as well as the speaker's state of mind.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

85:25-86:5	84:23		Page/Line Cite
			Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
			Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
		84:22	Defendants' Cross Examination
		This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, and is irrelevant because the witness simply testifies as to something he can't remember.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
		Not only is this designation within the scope of direct, it is essential for understanding the plaintiffs' direct designations at 84:15-18 and 84:23. Plaintiffs' assertion that this testimony is irrelevant is frivolous. The testimony is crucial to avoid jury confusion.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

											Page/Line Cite
	,				ı				•		Defendants? Objections and Counter-Designations
											Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
								87:20-22	87:14-15	86:19-23	Defendants' Cross Examination
		· · ·				7	for speculation. $\mathcal{L}$	Lacks foundation, calls			Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
positions such as field supervisor	(9:18-21), during which he held	industry for 35 years	tools. This witness	fix the problem if they had the proper	normally be able to	the technicians at	foundation for the witness to testify that	There is sufficient			Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
-	positions such as field supervisor	(9:18-21), during which he held positions such as field supervisor	industry for 35 years (9:18-21), during which he held positions such as field supervisor	tools. This witness had worked in the oil industry for 35 years (9:18-21), during which he held positions such as field supervisor	fix the problem if they had the proper tools. This witness had worked in the oil industry for 35 years (9:18-21), during which he held positions such as field supervisor	manu would normally be able to fix the problem if they had the proper tools. This witness had worked in the oil industry for 35 years (9:18-21), during which he held positions such as field supervisor	the technicians at  Mabu would  normally be able to fix the problem if they had the proper tools. This witness had worked in the oil industry for 35 years (9:18-21), during which he held positions such as field supervisor	for speculation.	on, calls	Lacks foundation, calls for speculation.	Lacks foundation, calls for speculation.

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

		Page/Line Cite
		Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
88:4		Defendants? Cross Examination
Lacks foundation, speculation.		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
There is sufficient foundation for the witness to testify that the technicians at Mabu would normally be able to fix the problem if they had the proper tools. This witness had worked in the oil industry for 35 years (9:18-21), during which he held	(25:16-17). His testimony is not speculative; rather it is based on his industry knowledge, experience and personal observations.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

- 13 -

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Page/Line Cite	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to	Defendants' Cross  Examination	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-	Defendants' Responses to
- a Section of the se	and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Examination	Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
					positions such as field supervisor
					responsible for oil
					(25:16-17). His
					testimony is not
					is based on his
					industry knowledge,
					experience and
					personal
88:13-89:1					
89:19-23					
90:1-2					
90:5-6					

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

····	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		eterorija (s. A. Valence e
	i		90:16-18	Page/Line Cite
				Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
				Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
		93:17		Defendants' Cross Examination
	S.	This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation and irrelevant.		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
understanding this witness' conduct during the incident as well as CNL's state of mind regarding the hostage-taking	witness' experiences during the incident. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations and is relevant to	This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details surrounding this		Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Page/Line Cite															·
Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations										-					
Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations					-										
Defendants' Cross Examination		93:19-21													
Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations		This designation is	plaintiffs' direct designation and	irrelevant.	> \	) (									
Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	incident.	This designation is well within the scope	of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into	the details	surrounding this	during the incident.	It is closely related to	many of plaintiffs'	relevant to	understanding this	witness' conduct	during the incident as	well as CNL's state	of mind regarding the	hostage-taking incident.

Page/Line Cite		
Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations		
Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations		
Defendants' Cross Examination	94:16-95:5	
Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations	This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, irrelevant, and Oburu and Randy's statements are hearsay.	•
Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details surrounding this witness' experiences during the incident. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations and is relevant to understanding this witness' conduct during the incident as well as CNL's state of mind regarding the hostage-taking. The only out-of-court statements contained	in this testimony are

Page/Line Cite and	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Cross Examination	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
					offered for the non- hearsay purpose of showing their effect on the hearer and the speaker's state of mind.
			95:8-11	This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation and irrelevant.	This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details surrounding this witness' experiences during the incident. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations and is relevant to understanding this

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Page/Line Cite	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Cross Examination	Plaintiffs? Objections and Counter- Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
					well as CNL's state of mind regarding the hostage-taking incident.
			98:8-24	This designation is beyond the scope of	This designation is well within the scope
				designation and irrelevant.	which inquires into the details
				<u>C</u>	witness' experiences during the incident.
ý.					many of plaintiffs'
					relevant to
					understanding this
					during the incident as
					well as CNL's state
					of mind regarding the

## PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

		-			
Page/Line Cite	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Cross Examination	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
					hostage-taking incident.
- The state of the			99:13-15		
99:21-24					
			99:25-100:13	This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation.	The beyond-the-scope objection is frivolous. This testimony discusses the same subject as the testimony plaintiffs designated at 99:21-24.
100:14-17					
			100:18-20	This designation is beyond the scape of	The beyond-the- scope objection is

- 20 -

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

																			gur.	
																				Page/Line Cite
																				Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
																				Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
																				Defendants' Cross Examination
the Ilaje.	Escravos, he never heard any threats from	Ogburu went to	makes clear that before	Escravos, and 101:2-5	Ogburu went to	with Simeon after	couldn't communicate	from 100:21-23 that he	Escravos, but it's clear	threats before he left	that the Ilaje had made	Simeon told Ogburu	the impression that	403 because it creates	prejudicial under Rule	misleading and	answer is also	designation. The	plaintiffs' direct	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
plaintiffs' arguments at most would go to	and, moreover,	without merit. The	misleading is entirely	that the testimony is	Plaintiffs' suggestion	fares no better.	Rule 403 objection	this witness. The	other designations for	as many of plaintiffs'	by plaintiffs, as well	has been designated	at 100:14-17, which	preceding testimony	immediately	matter as the	the same subject	testimony concerns	frivolous. This	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Page/Line Cite	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Cross Examination	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
					the weight of the testimony, not its admissibility.
101:2-5 (only "Yes.")				-	
104:13-17					
107:3-7					
107:10-14					
107:17					
			114:10-16	This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation and	This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into
				irrelevant.	the details surrounding this

### (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition June 11, 2005

		Page/Line Cite
		Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
125:4-7		Defendants? Cross Examination
This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation and cumulative of previous testimony.		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details surrounding this witness' experiences during the incident.	witness' experiences during the incident. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations and is relevant to understanding this witness' conduct during the incident and CNL's state of mind regarding the hostage-taking incident.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

SFI-595412v1

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
				Page/Line Cite
				Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
				Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
	125:23-126:3	125:19-20		Defendants' Cross Examination
beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, waste of time and irrelevant.	This designation is			Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details surrounding this witness' experiences during the incident. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations and is relevant. This	This designation is		It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations and is not cumulative of prior designated testimony.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Page/Line Cite	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Cross Examination	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
			126:6-7	
			135:13-16	This designation is beyond the scope of
				plaintiffs' direct designation, waste of
				time and irrelevant.
				7
		·		
			135:20-23	This designation is beyond the scope of

## PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

_							
	Page/Line Cite						
	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations						
	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations						
	Defendants' Cross Examination					136:1-6	
	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations	plaintiffs' direct designation, waste of time and irrelevant.		0 2		This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, waste of	time and irrelevant.
	Perendants Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	of plaintiffs' direct, which includes numerous	regarding Simeon.  See e.g., 42:18-20;	56:16-18; 61:19-62:8. Simeon's location on the platform is highly relevant. This	testimony is not a waste of time.	This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which includes	numerous designations regarding Simeon. See e.g., 42:18-20;
	<u> 1900 Miller (1909 1901 G. Differi</u>	···	···	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

## PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

		Page/Line Cite
•.		Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
136:22-23		Defendants' Cross Examination
This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, waste of time and irrelevant.		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which includes numerous designations regarding Simeon. See e.g., 42:18-20; 44:22-45:3; 52:13-16; 56:16-18; 61:19-62:8. Simeon's location on the platform is highly relevant. This testimony is not a	44:22-45:3; 52:13-16; 56:16-18; 61:19-62:8. Simeon's location on the platform is highly relevant. This testimony is not a waste of time.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Ď
Deposition
ition
1 June
11, 20
2005

		- III
		Page/Line Cite
		Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
.:		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
138:3-5	137:1-6	Defendants Cross Examination
This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct	This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, waste of time and irrelevant.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct,	This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which includes numerous designations regarding Simeon. See e.g., 42:18-20; 44:22-45:3; 52:13-16; 56:16-18; 61:19-62:8. Simeon's location on the platform is highly relevant. This testimony is not a waste of time.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

		Page/Line Cite
·		Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
. •		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
138:7-10		Defendants' Cross Examination
This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, waste of time and irrelevant.	designation, waste of time and irrelevant.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which includes testimony regarding this witness' discussions with	which includes testimony regarding this witness' discussions with Simeon (who was on the Parabe platform during the incident). See e.g., 42:18-20; 44:22-45:3; 52:13-16; 56:16-18; 61:19-62:8. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations and is highly relevant.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

- 29 -

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Page/Line Cite	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Cross Examination	Plainuiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
		_			Simeon (who was on the Parabe platform during the incident). See e.g., 42:18-20; 44:22-45:3; 52:13-16; 56:16-18; 61:19-62:8. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations and is highly relevant.
			138:12	This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, waste of time and irrelevant.	This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which includes testimony regarding this witness' discussions with
					Simeon (who was on the Parabe platform during the incident).  See e.g., 42:18-20;

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

			Page/
			Page/Line Cite
			Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
-			Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
	152:13-20	. '	Defendants' Cross Examination
	This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, and cumulative of previous testimony.		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
1	This testimony describes the witness' visit to Parabe during the incident and is entirely within the scope of plaintiffs' direct on the same topic. See e.g., 76:10-12; 76:19-22. It is not cumulative.	44:22-45:3; 52:13-16; 56:16-18; 61:19-62:8. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations and is highly relevant.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Page/Line Cite	Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Cross Examination	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
				designation, and cumulative of previous testimony.
			153:3-4	This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, irrelevant and a waste of time.
				Q P
	American		153:7	This designation is beyond the scope of

## PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

		Page/Line Cite and Co
		Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
·		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
155:6-9		Defendants' Cross Examination
This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, irrelevant and a waste of time.	plaintiffs' direct designation, irrelevant and a waste of time.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
This testimony describes the witness' visit to Parabe during the incident and is wholly within the scope of plaintiffs' direct on the same topic. See e.g., 76:10-12; 76:19-22. It is not cumulative.	visit to Parabe during the incident and is wholly within the scope of plaintiffs' direct on the same topic. See e.g., 76:10-12; 76:19-22. It is not cumulative.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

## (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

	-	Page/Line Cite
·		Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
156:10	156:6-7	Defendants' Cross Examination
This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, irrelevant and a waste of time.	This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, irrelevant and a waste of time.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
This testimony describes the witness' visit to Parabe during the incident and is wholly within the scope of plaintiffs' direct on the same topic. See e.g., 76:10-12; 76:19-22.	This testimony describes the witness' visit to Parabe during the incident and is wholly within the scope of plaintiffs' direct on the same topic. See e.g., 76:10-12; 76:19-22. It is not cumulative.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

	<del></del> -	- I	
			Page/Line Cite
			Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
			Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
156:25-157:3	156:14-17		Defendants' Cross Examination
This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, irrelevant, and a waste of time	This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, irrelevant and a waste of time.		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
This testimony describes the witness' visit to Parabe during the incident and is wholly within the scope of plaintiffs' direct on the same tonic. See E.g.	This testimony describes the witness' visit to Parabe during the incident and is wholly within the scope of plaintiffs' direct on the same topic. See e.g., 76:10-12; 76:19-22. It is not cumulative.	It is not cumulative.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

	····	**************************************
		Page/Line Cite
		Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
	184:18-185:1	Defendants' Cross Examination
0	This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, irrelevant and a waste of time. This designation also makes no sense without context, but plaintiffs do not want to designate the context because it is cumulative of previous testimony, irrelevant and a waste of time.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations concerning the same	This designation concerning the witness' ability to hear events on the Parabe platform over the noise from the helicopter is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details surrounding this witness' visit to Parabe by helicopter during the incident	Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations 76:10-12; 76:19-22. It is not cumulative.

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

		Page/Line Cite
		Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
185:4-9		Defendants' Cross Examination
This designation is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' direct designation, irrelevant and a waste of time. This designation also makes no sense without context, but plaintiffs do not want to designate the context because it is cumulative of previous testimony, irrelevant and a waste of time.		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations
This designation concerning the witness' ability to hear events on the Parabe platform over the noise from the helicopter is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details surrounding this witness' visit to Parabe by helicopter during the incident. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations concerning the same	subject and is relevant.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

# PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF ABEL OGBORU

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

	Page/Line Cite  Defendants' Objections Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Cross Defendants' Objections And Counter-Designation Defendants' Counter-Designations Counter-Designations
subject and is relevant.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter- Designations