PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Defendants' Objections Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
5:13-15				
		9:12-15		
		9:18-20		
		9:23-10:11		
12:4-7		·		
13:16-24				
14:3-6				
28:19-23				
28:25				

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
30:21-25			the many districts of the second seco	SALEMENT AND	
31:4-5					
34:9-11					
34:14-19					
38:10-11	Inadmissible hearsay. FRE 802.	State of mind exception, probative of intent or motive of llajes in staging protest. FRE 803(3)	92		
38:14-16	Inadmissible hearsay. FRE 802.	State of mind exception, probative of intent or motive of Ilajes in staging protest. FRE	0		

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Depositions taken on September 14-17, 2005

38:19-39:3		Plaintiffs' Designations
38:19-21: Inadmissible hearsay. FRE 802. 38:22-39:3: Testimony regarding the character of the witness offered to prove action in conformity therewith is barred by 404(b); inadmissible hearsay. FRE 404(b), 802.	SECTION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	Defendants' Objections
State of mind exception, probative of intent or motive of llajes in staging protest. FRE 803(3). FRE 404(b) deals with the inadmissibility of crimes, wrongs or acts to prove action in conformity therewith, and thus is inapplicable to this evidence. Further, as noted above, the evidence is properly admissible under FRE	803(3)	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
Jan Jan D		Defendants' Cross Designations
To the		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
		Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

803(3).

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs* Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs? Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
43:6-14					
			45:20-46:8	Improper counterdesignation, because not necessary for completeness of any designation by plaintiffs.	This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details surrounding this witness' experiences during the incident. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations, including the testimony designated by plaintiffs at 49:6-7; 49:10-24.
			47:19-23 Exhibit 1479	Improper counterdesignation, because not necessary for completeness of any designation by	This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

		5.4.
		Plaintiffs' Designations
		Defendants' Objections
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
48:2-10 Exhibit 1479		Defendants* Cross Designations
Improper counterdesignation, because not necessary for completeness of any designation by plaintiffs.	plaintiffs.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
This designation is well within the scope of plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details surrounding this witness' experiences during the incident. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations, including the testimony designated by plaintiffs	surrounding this witness' experiences during the incident. It is closely related to many of plaintiffs' designations, including the testimony designated by plaintiffs at 49:6-7; 49:10-24.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
	Company of the Compan				at 49:6-7; 49:10-24.
			48:23-49:3	Improper counter- designation, because not	This designation is well within the scope of
			Exhibit 1479	necessary for completeness of any designation by plaintiffs.	plaintiffs' direct, which inquires into the details surrounding this witness' experiences during the incident. It is closely
					related to many of plaintiffs' designations, including the testimony designated by plaintiffs at 49:6-7; 49:10-24.
49:6-7					
49:10-24					

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

55:25	55:23	55:17-20	53:24	53:20-21	53:1-7	52:12-18	51:25-52:8	51:21-22	Plaintiffs' Designations
									Defendants' Objections
									Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
					·				Defendants' Cross Designations
									Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
									Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaimuffs? Designations 56:2-3
56:2-3
56:5-56:18
56:21-25
57:1-2
57:5-6
57:20
57:23-24
58:4-10

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
58:14-17					
60:2-4					
Exh. 1480					
60:7-14					
61:7-13					
61:16-17					
62:22-23					
63:1-2					
63:9-10					

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
63:13					
63:21-22					
63:24-25					
64:11-12					
64:14					
65:15-66:4					
66:20-23					
70:18-71:5					
71:7-10					

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Depositions taken on September 14-17, 2005

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants? Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
71:13-15					
71:18-25					
72:12-14					
72:17					
72:19-20					
72:23					
72:25-73:2					
73:15-16	Lacks foundation; calls for speculation. FRE 602.	His ability to observe the events have been established in prior testimony. The witness			

74:9 74:3-5 73:20 75:24-77:5 75:7-11 74:24-75:5 74:19-21 Designations Plaintiffs' 76:6-13: Inadmissible Defendants' Objections PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS Hearsay exceptions of rather describing what he is not speculating but Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO Depositions taken on September 14-17, 2005 (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Designations Defendants' Cross Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations to Plaintiffs' Objections Defendants' Responses Counter-Designations and Objections to

- 12 -

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs? Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
	hearsay. FRE 802. 77:3-5: Impermissible lay	FRE 803(1), and excited utterance, FRE 803(2).			
	opinion not "rationally based on the perception of the witness." FRE 701.	Supplemental designation of 75:12-23 for clarity.			
	responsive.	Statement that witness saw a bullet wound is a description, not an opinion, and is responsive to question of what he saw.			
77:13-18	Impermissible lay opinion not "rationally based on the perception of the witness." FRE 701.	Statement that witness saw a bullet wound is a description, not an opinion.			

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs? Designations	Defendants? Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
78:20-79:9				TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	
79:15-24					
80:23-81:8					
81:25-82:5	Testimony regarding what happened to non-party witness is irrelevant, waste of time, and if offered to prove action in conformity therewith is barred by 404(b). FRE 401-403, 404(b).	Testimony is relevant to the malicious intent of the security officers who were holding the protesters, including Bola Oyinbo, in the container. Acts such as these are admissible to prove motive, intent or plan. FRE 404(b).			
82:14-20	Testimony regarding what happened to non-party	Testimony is relevant to the malicious intent of			

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

89:10-24	88:1-8	87:16-18	87:4-9	83:21-23		Plaintiffs' Designations
					witness is irrelevant, waste of time, and if offered to prove action in conformity therewith is barred by 404(b). FRE 401-403, 404(b).	Defendants ² Objections
					the security officers who were holding the protesters, including Bola Oyinbo, in the container. Acts such as these are admissible to prove motive, intent or plan. FRE 404(b).	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
						Defendants' Cross Designations
						Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
						Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs? Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
90:14-19	A AMANA WARE WEIGHT OF THE				
91:5-18					
92:12-14					
92:19-25					
93:12-14					
93:25-94:1					
94:17-21					
95:15-25					
96:16-96:22	Testimony regarding what	Testimony is relevant to			
			-		

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
	happened to non-party witness is irrelevant, waste of time, and if offered to prove action in conformity therewith is barred by 404(b). FRE 401-403, 404(b).	the malicious intent of the security officers who were holding the protesters, including Bola Oyinbo, in the container. Acts such as these are admissible to prove motive, intent or plan. FRE 404(b).			
97:11-16				·	
97:23-98:3					
98:6-10					
98:23-99:11					

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
99:13-16					
99:18-25					
100:11-12					
100:14-24	,				
101:1-6					
102:4-13					
103:19-104:3					
104:14					
104:17-19					

106:7-8 105:25-106:4 105:19-22 105:15-17 105:12-13 Designations Plaintiffs? Defendants' Objections PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO Depositions taken on September 14-17, 2005 (Counter-Designations in italicized text) 107:13-15 Designations Defendants' Cross was by Bola). speculation. 107:16-19 (told where he Lacks foundation, calls for Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations whereabouts. His regarding his own witness to testify foundation for this more than sufficient is frivolous. There is The foundation objection to Plaintiffs' Objections testimony is not Defendants' Responses Counter-Designations and Objections to

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

			Plaintiffs' Defe Designations
		E ET PER LE PROPERTIE DE L'AUTORITÉ DE L'AUTORIT	Defendants' Objections
			Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
108:14-16	108:2-4	TO DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY	Defendants' Cross Designations
Lacks foundation, calls for speculation.	Lacks foundation, calls for speculation. 108:5-8 (told where he was by Bola).		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
The foundation objection is frivolous. There is more than sufficient foundation for this	The foundation objection is frivolous. There is more than sufficient foundation for this witness to testify regarding his own whereabouts. His testimony is not speculative but is based upon his percipient observations.	speculative but is based upon his percipient observations.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations



118:9 117:25-118:5 Designations Plaintiffs? satisfying none of the utterance. FRE 802. Inadmissible hearsay Inadmissible hearsay, prerequisites for an exci Defendants' Objections PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS Hearsay exception, under Hearsay exception, under **FRE 803(1) and (2).** Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO Depositions taken on September 14-17, 2005 (Counter-Designations in italicized text) 109:18 109:12-15 Designations Defendants' Cross was by Bola). Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations speculative but is based to Plaintiffs' Objections observations. upon his percipient testimony is not whereabouts. His regarding his own witness to testify Defendants' Responses **Counter-Designations** and Objections to

satisfying none of the

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs? Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs? Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
	prerequisites for an excited utterance. FRE 802.	FRE 803(1) and (2).			
118:11-12	Inadmissible hearsay, satisfying none of the prerequisites for an excited utterance. FRE 802	Hearsay exception, under FRE 803(1) and (2).			-
118:15	Inadmissible hearsay, satisfying none of the prerequisites for an excited utterance. FRE 80	Hearsay exception, under FRE 803(1) and (2).			
118:18-20					THE THE PARTY AND THE PARTY AN
118:23-119:1	·				
119:5-7					

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs ³ Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
119:11-15	Inadmissible hearsay, satisfying none of the prerequisites for an excited utterance. FRE 802. Motion to strike as none responsive to the question.	Hearsay exception, under FRE 803(1) and (2).			
119:19-21					
119:25-120:3	120:2-3: Inadmissible hearsay, satisfying none of the prerequisites for an excited utterance. FRE 802.	120:2-3: Hearsay exception, under FRE 803(1) and (2).		·	
120:5-7	120: 5-7: Inadmissible hearsay, satisfying none of the prerequisites for an excited utterance. FRE 802.	120:5-7: Hearsay exception, under FRE 803(1) and (2).	·		

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs ² Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
120:9-18	120:9-16: Inadmissible hearsay, satisfying none of the prerequisites for an excited utterance FRE 802.	120:9-16 is not hearsay at all but a description of how Bola Oyinbo looked.			:
120:21-23	-				
			139:13-14		
			139:17-140:21		
			141:14-16		
			173:4-16		
			Exhibit 1480		

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

				5-1
				Plaintiffs' Designations
				Defendants
				Defendants' Objections
				Plaintiffs? Defendant
				Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
176:5-13	174:15-175:1 Exhibit 1480	174:2-12 Exhibit 1480	173:19-24 Exhibit 1480	Defendants' Cross Designations
176:11-13: Move to strike unsworn statements of counsel.				Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
176:11-13: Explanation is necessary to clarify witness' nonverbal acts during the deposition; plaintiffs do not contest the accuracy of the explanation.				Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Country Designations in italiained tout)

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Depositions taken on September 14-17, 2005

						Plaintiffs? Defendants Objections Designations
				·		Dbjections
						Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
180:13-20	11-01:081	180:2-3	179:10-22	177:20-25	176:18-177:8	Defendants' Cross Designations
					176:18-19 and 177:4-8: Move to strike unsworn statements of counsel.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
					176:18-19 and 177:4-8: Explanation is necessary to clarify witness' nonverbal acts during the deposition; plaintiffs do not contest the accuracy of the explanation.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
			181:23-182:9	and the charge of the second o	
			184:25-185:8		
			185:11-16	185:17, 186:15-22; 186:25- 187:4	Counter-designation is not necessary for completing the designated testimony.
			191:2-4	C W V	
			196:15-16		
			Exhibit 1480		
			196:18-24		
			Exhibit 1480		

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

			Plaintiffs? Designations
			Defendants' Objections
			Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
248:25-249:2	197:11 Exhibit 1480	197:5-8 Exhibit 1480	Defendants' Cross Designations
Irrelevant. FRE 402.	198:22-199:2		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
The witness testifies regarding his participation in the Parabe incident. Testimony regarding his knowledge of the prior Itsekiri incident and the CIC's goals during the Parabe incident are relevant to understanding this witness' conduct and			Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

		Plaintiffs? Designations
		Defendants' Objections
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
249:11-16	249:4-8	Defendants' Cross Designations
Irrelevant. FRE 402.	Irrelevant. FRE 402.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
The witness testifies regarding his participation in the Parabe incident.	incident. The witness testifies regarding his participation in the Parabe incident. Testimony regarding his knowledge of the prior Itsekiri incident and the CIC's goals during the Parabe incident are relevant to understanding this witness' conduct and state of mind during the incident.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

	-	
		Plaintiffs? Designations
		Defendants' Objections
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
249:19-21		Defendants' Cross Designations
Irrelevant. FRE 402.		Plaintiffs? Objections and Counter-Designations
The witness testifies regarding his participation in the Parabe incident. Testimony regarding his knowledge of the prior Itsekiri incident and the CIC's goals during the Parabe incident are relevant to understanding	Testimony regarding his knowledge of the prior Itsekiri incident and the CIC's goals during the Parabe incident are relevant to understanding this witness' conduct and state of mind during the incident.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO (Counter-Designations in italicized text)

			Plaintiffs' Designations
			Defendants? Objections
			Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
249:25-250:5	249:23		Defendants' Cross Designations
	Irrelevant. FRE 402.		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
	The witness testifies regarding his participation in the Parabe incident. Testimony regarding his knowledge of the prior Itsekiri incident and the CIC's goals during the Parabe incident are relevant to understanding this witness' conduct and state of mind during the incident.	this witness' conduct and state of mind during the incident.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

			-		Plaintiffs' Designations
					Defendants' Objections
					Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
262:3-6	261:23-25	257:23	257:19-21	257:16-17	Defendants' Cross Designations
Hearsay. FRE 802.	Hearsay. FRE 802.				Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
Testimony regarding his understanding of the invaders' plans during the Parabe incident is admissible to show the	Testimony regarding his understanding of the invaders' plans during the Parabe incident is admissible to show the witness' state of mind.				Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

	P					-
Plaintiffs? Designations		·				
Defendants' Objections						
Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections						
Defendants' Cross Designations		262:12-13		262:15-18		つとす.1 つ
Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations		Hearsay. FRE 802.	(P)	Hearsay. FRE 802.	2 P	Relevance FRE 402
Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	witness' state of mind.	Testimony regarding his understanding of the invaders' plans during	the Parabe incident is admissible to show the witness' state of mind and knowledge.	Testimony regarding his understanding of the	the Parabe incident is admissible to show the witness' state of mind and knowledge.	Whom the witness was

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

		Plaintiffs' Designations
		Defendants' Objections
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
267:6-8 Exhibit 1481	Exhibit 1481	Defendants' Cross Designations
Relevance. FRE 402 265:11-265:2	Stand	Plaintiffs? Objections and Counter-Designations
Where the witness was located when he boarded the barge during the Parabe incident is relevant to understanding this witness' conduct and his opportunity to observe events during the incident. Plaintiffs' counter-designations are incomprehensible, and defendants cannot	the barge during the Parabe incident is relevant to understanding this witness' conduct and his opportunity to observe events during the incident.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

		Plaintiffs' Designations
-		Defendants? Objections
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
268:13-20 Hg: 13-[1	267:12-16 Exhibit 1481	Defendants' Cross Designations
Relevance. FRE 402	Relevance. FRE 402 265:11-265:2	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
Where the witness was located when he boarded the barge during the	adequately respond. Where the witness was located when he boarded the barge during the Parabe incident is relevant to understanding this witness' conduct and his opportunity to observe events during the incident. Plaintiffs' counter-designations are confusing incomprehensible, and defendants cannot adequately respond.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

			Plaintiffs' Designations
			Defendants' Objections
			Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
8	268:23-24 Exhibit 1481	Exhibit 1481	Defendants' Cross Designations
time	Relevance. FRE 402 265:11-265:2	265:11-265:2	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
relevant to understanding this witness' conduct and his opportunity to observe events during the	Where the witness was located when he boarded the barge during the Parabe incident is	Parabe incident is relevant to understanding this witness' conduct and his opportunity to observe events during the incident. Plaintiffs' counter-designations are confusing incomprehensible, and defendants cannot adequately respond.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

			Plaintiffs' Designations
			Defendants' Objections
			Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
270:16-19	270:13-14		Defendants' Cross Designations
	Hearsay. FRE 802.		Plaintiffs? Objections and Counter-Designations
	Testimony regarding his understanding of the invaders' plans during the Parabe incident and whether the invasion was authorized by the chief is admissible to show the witness' and invaders' state of mind and knowledge.	incident. Plaintiffs' counter-designations are confusing incomprehensible, and defendants cannot adequately respond.	Defendants? Responses to Plaintiffs? Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

					Plaintiffs' Designations
					Defendants' Objections
					Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
280:5-13	277:5-12	273:10-11	271:23-272:3	271:16-20	Defendants' Cross Designations
	277:13-21; 278:1-9		-		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
	Counter-designation is not necessary for completing the designated testimony. It is also irrelevant, misleading, confusing, and would result in wasting time. FRE 401-403.		·		Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Depositions taken on September 14-17, 2005

Plaintiffs' Designations										
Defendants' Objections										
Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	3704406666									
Defendants' Cross Designations	Exhibit 1482	282:15-22	Exhibit 1485	282:25-283:12	Exhibit 1485	Exhibit 1405	Exhibit 1406	283:16-20	Exhibit 1406	283:23
Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations										
Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	TI TO COLLEGE									

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

292:23-293:2	292:19-20	Exhibit 1408	285:15	Exhibit 1408	285:7-12	Exhibit 1408	285:4-5	Exhibit 1406	ts' Objections Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Defendants' Responses to Defendants' Objections Cross Counter-Designations and Objections to Plaintiffs' Objections to Plaintiffs' Objections to Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
									Defendants' Objections
									Plaintiffs' Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
		Approximation of the state of t	293:5-8		
			293:11-14		
			293:17-294:1		
•			294:11-12		
			294:15-19		
			294:22-25		
			295:2		
			295:6-8		
			295:10		

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

								-	
Plaintiffs? Designations									
Defendants' Objections									
ns Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections									
to Defendants? Cross Designations	295:12-13	295:15-16	295:24-296:1	296:2-4	296:6	296:24-297:2	298:11-13	308:22-309:5	309:7-10
Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations									
Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations									

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

									Plaintiffs' Designations
									Defendants' Objections
									Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
Exhibit 1480	335:25-336:4	Exhibit 1480	335:22-23	Exhibit 1480	335:14-20	Exhibit 1480	335:10-11	309:12	Defendants' Cross Designations
									Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
									Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Depositions taken on September 14-17, 2005

Plaintiffs' Defendants' Objections Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants? Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
		336:6-10	Management of the second secon	
		Exhibit 1480		
		336:13-19		
		Exhibit 1480		
		336:22-337:6		
		Exhibit 1480		
		337:9-14		
	·	Exhibit 1480		
		337:16-22		
		Exhibit 1480		

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

				Plaintiffs' Designations
				Defendants' Objections
				Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
348:18	348:13-14	338:6-15 Exhibit 1480	338:1-3 Exhibit 1480	Defendants' Cross Designations
348:20-21, 23; 348:25- 349:1; 349:4; 349:6-11; 349:24-350:3; 350:6; 350:23; 351:1-2				Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
Counter-designations are not necessary for completing the designated testimony. They are also irrelevant, misleading, confusing, and would result in wasting time. FRE 401-403				Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

					r
					Plaintiffs' Designations
					Defendants' Objections
					Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
367:21-23	356:1	355:21-24	354:14-18	354:10-11	Defendants' Cross Designations
	357:11-12; 357:14-15; 358:21-22; 358:25; 361:19- 20; 362:4-9; 362:11				Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
	Counter-designation is not necessary for completing the designated testimony. It is also irrelevant, misleading, confusing, and would result in wasting time. FRE 401-403.				Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

						Plaintiffs' Designations
		-				Defendants' Objections
						Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
371:8-10	369:17-21	369:5-6	368:21-369:2	368:5-6	367:25-368:3	Defendants' Cross Designations
	369:22-24					Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
	Counter-designation is not necessary for completing the designated testimony. The question was what the soldiers said in pidgin English, not translated.					Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

				Plaintiffs' Designations
				Defendants' Objections
				Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
427:25-428:5	371:23-372:10	371:18-20	371:13-16	Defendants' Cross Designations
Lacks foundation, calls for speculation, assumes facts	372:11, 14			Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
The witness' testimony that he does not	Counter-designation is not necessary for completing the designated testimony. It is also irrelevant, misleading, confusing, and would result in wasting time. FRE 401-403. It is clear from the designated testimony beginning at 371:8-10 who is speaking.			Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

	Plaintiffs' Designations
	Defendants' Objections
	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
Exhibit 1488	Defendants' Cross Designations
not in evidence, argumentative.	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
recognize the person featured in the photograph is not speculative and does not lack foundation. Nor does the testimony assume facts not in evidence. The question of whether the witness recognizes the person is not argumentative. Plaintiffs do not make the same objections to subsequent testimony of the witness regarding whether he recognizes persons featured in photos.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Designations	esignations	Defendants' Objections	Cross Designations	Counter-Designations	to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
			428:7-9		
			Exhibit 1488		
			428:11-14		
			Exhibit 1488		
			428:17-21		
			Exhibit 1488		
			428:24		
			Exhibit 1488		
			432:1-3		
			Exhibit 1411		

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Designations Designations 432:6-8 Exhibit 1411 432:10-12	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
			432:10-12 Exhibit 1411		
			432:14-18 Exhibit 1411		
			432:21-24 Exhibit 1411		
			433:1-3 Exhibit 1411		

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

	Designations 1	and Objections to Counter-Designations
	433:6-8	
	Exhibit 1411	
	433:10	
	Exhibit 1411	
	433:21-25	
	434:2-4	
	434:7	
	437:9-14	
	439:14-15	

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

						Plaintiffs? Designations
						Defendants' Objections
						Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
440:23-441:6	440:13-21	440:8-11	440:1-6	439:21-23	439:17-18	Defendants' Cross Designations
441:4-6: Asked and answered; harassing, argumentative.						Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
The witness did not answer the question when previously asked, and the question asking for clarification of apparently inconsistent testimony is not argumentative or						Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations



PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

	Depositions taken on September 14-17, 2005	September 14-1	7, 2005	
Plaintiffs' Defendants' Objections Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
	SKROCHENA GHAGH ESVIRINA PAGGA BHI JASHRAC ABASH MAGARAN GARACAN SARA	ANTOLINE ARTOGERACY MERCANICAL PROPERTY OF THE SECOND SECO		harassing.
		441:9-18	Asked and answered; harassing, argumentative.	The witness did not answer the question when previously asked, and the question asking for clarification of
			01R	apparently inconsistent testimony is not argumentative or harassing.
		441:20-21	Asked and answered; harassing, argumentative.	This designation is only the witness' answer, and does not include any
			0	question. The witness did not answer the question preceding the designated testimony
			999999999999999999999999999999999999999	when previously asked,

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

			Plaintiffs' Designations
			Defendants' Objections
		· ·	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
454:24-455:8	454:17-21		Defendants' Cross Designations
Argumentative, asked and answered.	Asked and answered; harassing, argumentative. Move to strike as non-responsive.		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
The question was not previously asked, and the question asking for clarification of	The question was not previously asked, and the question asking for clarification of apparently inconsistent testimony is not argumentative or harassing.	and the question asking for clarification of apparently inconsistent testimony is not argumentative or harassing.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

		Plaintiffs' Designations
		Defendants' Objections
		Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
455:10-21 455:10 455:10 457 477		Defendants' Cross Designations
Argumentative, asked and answered. The question was not previously asked, the witness refused to directly answer these question asking for clarification of clarification of testimony is not argumentative.		Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations
The question was not previously asked, the witness refused to directly answer these questions, and the question asking for clarification of apparently inconsistent testimony is not argumentative.	apparently inconsistent and evasive testimony is not argumentative. The non-responsive nature of the witness' answer demonstrates his evasive and uncredible testimony.	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations			
Defendants? Objections			
Plaintiffs? Responses to Defendants? Objections			
Defendants? Cross Designations 456:2-10	456:2-10	456:20-23	456:25-457:2
Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations Argumentative, asked and answered.	Argumentative, asked and answered.		
to Plaintiffs' Objections to Plaintiffs' Objections to and Objections to Counter-Designations The designated testimony does not include a question. The question preceding the designated answer was	The designated testimony does not include a question. The question preceding the designated answer was not previously asked, the witness refused to directly answer these questions, and the question asking for clarification of apparently inconsistent testimony is not argumentative.	The state of the s	

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
			457:4-5	Asked and answered.	The question was not previously asked (rather, the witness was asked to repeat words in Pidgin English, not his native llaje language), the witness refused to directly answer these questions, and the question asking for clarification of apparently inconsistent testimony is not argumentative or harassing.
			457:8-9	Asked and answered.	The designated testimony is not a question, but an answer. The question at 457:4-5

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations						
Defendants' Objections						
Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections						
Defendants' Cross Designations		502:14-23	502:25-503:8	503:23-504:11	504:14-17	507:15-21
Plaintiffs? Objections and Counter-Designations						
Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	was not previously asked (rather, the witness was asked to repeat words in Pidgin English, not his native Ilaje language), and the witness refused to directly answer these questions.					

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

					Plaintiffs? Designations
					Defendants' Objections
					Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections
524:15-525:1	512:10	512:6-7	508:12-15	508:8-9	Defendants' Cross Designations
		510:23-25; 511:6-9; 512:2-5			Plaintiffs? Objections and Counter-Designations
		Counter-designation is not necessary for completing the designated testimony. It is also irrelevant, misleading, confusing, and would result in wasting time. FRE 401-403.			Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations								
Defendants' Objections								
Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections								
Defendants' Cross Designations	525:4-5	525:7-10	525:12	565:5-7	565:9-566:4	566:7-16	566:19-20	568:16-23
Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations								568:24-569:1; 569:3-4
Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations								Counter-designation is not necessary for completing the

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS

OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

Plaintiffs' Designations	Defendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
					designated testimony.
			589:12-14		
			589:17-22	591:22-24; 592:2-3	Counter-designation is not necessary for completing the designated testimony
			598:11-20		
			598:22-599:1		-
		•	599:3-7		
			599:10-13	Relevance. FRE 402.	This testimony is relevant to the witness' personal knowledge and percipient observations

PLAINTIFFS' DIRECT & DEFENDANT'S CROSS EXAMINATION DESIGNATIONS OF DAMILOHUN MAJEMU OSUPAYOJO

(Counter-Designations in italicized text)

	3				
This testimony is relevant to the witness' personal knowledge and percipient observations of Arolika's body.	Relevance. FRE 402.	599:18			
of Arolika's body.					
Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants? Cross Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections	Desendants' Objections	Plaintiffs' Designations