1	MAYER BROWN LLP BRANDON D. BAUM (SBN 121318)	
2	baum@mayerbrown.com Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300	
3	3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112	
4	Telephone: (650) 331-2000 Facsimile: (650) 331 2060	
5	SHARON A. ISRAEL (pro hac vice)	
6	sisrael@mayerbrown.com 700 Louisiana St.	
7	Suite 3400 Houston, TX 77002	
8	Telephone: (713) 238-3000 Facsimile: (713) 238-4888	
9	Attorneys for Defendants	
10	ZI CORPORATION, a Canadian corporation, and ZI CORPORATION OF AMERICA, INC.,	
11	a Nevada corporation	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT	
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
15	,	
16	ASIAN COMMUNICATIONS PTY LTD., an Australian corporation, and TEGIC	CASE NO. 00-CV-0989 MMC
17	COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Washington corporation,	<del>(PROPOSED)</del> ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE REQUEST TO SEAL
18	Plaintiffs,	DOCUMENTS
19	v.	
20	ZI CORPORATION, a Canadian corporation,	
21	and ZI CORPORATION OF AMERICA, INC., a Nevada corporation,	
22	Defendants.	
23		<b>DATE</b> : November 7, 2008 <b>TIME</b> : 9:00 A.M.
24		COURT: Courtroom 7, 19th Floor Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
25		Tion, France 111, Choosing
26		
27		
28		
	INDODOS	EDLODDED CDANTING ADMINISTRATIVE DEGLIEST TO SEAL.

The Court having reviewed Defendants Zi Corporation and Zi Corporation of America, Inc.'s (collectively, "Zi") Administrative Request to Seal Documents ("Administrative Request") and the supporting Declaration of Brandon Baum, Zi's Administrative Request to Seal is GRANTED

Further, Zi has shown that good cause exists to seal the documents described in its Administrative Request, which are attached to declarations in support of, or referred to in, (1) Zi's Response to Tegic's pending Motion for Leave to File Motion For An Order To Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held In Contempt Of Consent Judgment ("Response to Motion for Leave"); and (2) Zi's Response to Tegic's Motion For An Order To Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held In Contempt Of Consent Judgment ("Response to Contempt Motion").

Therefore, for good cause shown, the Court ORDERS that:

- (1) Zi's Response to Motion for Leave and the supporting Declaration of Brandon Baum and attached Exhibit BB, which include references to the parties' settlement agreement in this matter, be filed under seal; and
- (2) Zi be granted leave to file redacted, unsealed versions of its Response to Motion for Leave; and and the Baum Declaration; and
- (3) Exhibits A & B attached to the Declaration of Demetrio Navarro ("Navarro Decl.") in unredacted of support of Zi's Response to Contempt Motion and sealed versions Zi's Response to Contempt Motion, the Navarro Declaration, and the Declaration of Brad Myers, including references to the parties' settlement agreement, to material attached to the Declaration of Robert D. Young in support of Tegic's Contempt Motion (dkt. no. 410, Ex. I), and to material in exhibits A & B of the Navarro Declaration, be filed under seal; and
- (4) Zi be granted leave to file redacted, unsealed versions of its Response to Contempt Motion, the Navarro Declaration, and the Declaration of Brad Myers, redacting references to the parties' settlement agreement, to material attached to the Declaration of Robert D. Young in support of Tegic's Contempt Motion (dkt. no. 410, Ex. I), and to material in exhibits A & B of the Navarro Declaration.

As set forth in the Baum Declaration, the aforementioned materials are "sealable" material under FED. R. CIV. P. 26(c) and Civil L.R. 79-5. IT IS SO ORDERED. Mafine M. Cherry Dated: October 27, 2008 Hon. Maxine M. Chesney U.S. District Court Judge