

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)

2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

50 California Street, 22nd Floor

3 San Francisco, California 94111

Telephone: (415) 875-6600

4 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

5 Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129)

kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com

6 Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603)

victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com

7 Doug Colt (Bar No. 210915)

dougcolt@quinnemanuel.com

8 Gabriel S. Gross (Bar No. 254672)

gabegross@quinnemanuel.com

9 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560

Redwood Shores, California 94065-213

10 Telephone: (650) 801-5000

Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff, TEGIC

12 COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 ASIAN COMMUNICATIONS PTY LTD., an
17 Australian corporation, and TEGIC
18 COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Washington
corporation,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 vs.

21 ZI CORPORATION, a Canadian corporation,
22 and ZI CORPORATION OF AMERICA,
INC., a Nevada corporation,

23 Defendants.

CASE NO. 00-0989 MMC

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL
DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY
BRIEFS RE:**

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE WHY ZI DEFENDANTS
SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN
CONTEMPT OF CONSENT
JUDGMENT**

**MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE WHY DEFENDANTS SHOULD
NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF
CONSENT JUDGMENT**

24 Date: November 7, 2008

25 Time: 9:00 a.m.

26 Crtrm.: 7, 19th Floor

27 Hon. Maxine M. Chesney


1 The Court having reviewed plaintiff Tegic Communications, Inc.'s
2 ~~On November 7, 2008, the~~ Administrative Motion (“Motion”) to Seal Documents
3 Submitted in Support of Plaintiff’s Reply Briefs Re: Motion for Leave to File Motion for Order to
4 Show Cause Why Zi Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Consent Judgment (“Leave
5 to File Reply Motion”) and Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not be
6 Held in Contempt of Consent Judgment (“Contempt Reply Motion”), ~~came on for hearing. All~~
7 ~~Colt filed in support of the motion to seal, and the Declaration of Eric B. Evans pursuant to~~
8 ~~appearances were stated on the record. The Court having considered the pleadings and papers~~
9 ~~submitted in support of the motion, the opposition thereto, and the arguments of counsel, and~~
10 ~~Civil Local Rule 69-5(d),~~
11 ~~GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, Plaintiff’s Motion is hereby GRANTED. The Court finds that the~~
12 following information constitutes sealable material under L.R. 79-5:

- 13 1. Designated portions of the Leave to File Reply Motion: i:10-15, 17, 19; 1:13-18,
14 20-24; 2:12-13; 3:16, 22-25; 4:12, 20, 22, 27-28; 5:1-2, 4-16, 18-23, 26-28; 6:1-8,
15 14, 20, 22-23; 7:5-19, 23, 25-26; 8:5, 7-14, 16, 18, 20-21, 26-27; 10:15, 21-25, 27-
16 28; 11:1-2, 8-9, 11, 17-18; 12:1-4, 10-11, 23-24; and 13:9-10, 21.
- 17 2. Designated portions of the Contempt Reply Motion: i:16-20; 5:4-5, 12-17, 19, 21-
18 24; 6:1-11, 14-20, 25-28; 9:7-8; 10:6, 9-15, 17-20, 26-27; 11:1-2, 6, 8-12, 14-15,
19 17-18, 20-28; and 12:1-3, 6-11, 15-17, 19-20.
- 20 3. Designated portions of the Supplemental Declaration of Robert Young in support
21 of the Contempt Reply Motion: 1:11-12, 1:17-18, 2:1-11, and 2:13-21.
- 22 4. Designated portions of Exhibits 1 and 2 to the Supplemental Declaration of Doug
23 Colt in support of the Contempt Reply Motion: third paragraph of Exhibit 1,
24 including the block quote and all sentences except for the second to last, and the
25 second paragraph of Exhibit 2, including all sentences except for the second.

26 The Court hereby directs the Clerk to FILE UNDER SEAL ~~the foregoing materials.~~
27 unredacted versions of the foregoing documents. Plaintiff shall file in the public record
28 redacted versions of said documents.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: October 31, 2008


Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Judge