1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAYER BROWN LLP BRANDON D. BAUM (SBN 121318) baum@mayerbrown.com ERIC B. EVANS (SBN 232476) eevans@mayerbrown.com Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112 Telephone: (650) 331-2000 Facsimile: (650) 331 2060 SHARON A. ISRAEL (pro hac vice) sisrael@mayerbrown.com 700 Louisiana St. Suite 3400 Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: (713) 238-3000 Facsimile: (713) 238-4888 Attorneys for Defendants ZI CORPORATION, a Canadian corporation, and ZI CORPORATION OF AMERICA, INC.,	
12	a Nevada corporation	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT	
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
161718	ASIAN COMMUNICATIONS PTY LTD., an Australian corporation, and TEGIC COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Washington corporation,	CASE NO. 00-CV-0989 MMC
19	Plaintiffs,	SEAL DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S ARBITRATION
20	v.	REPLY, CONTEMPT SUR-REPLY AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE CONTEMPT SUR-REPLY
21	ZI CORPORATION, a Canadian corporation, and ZI CORPORATION OF AMERICA, INC.,	CONTENT I SUR-REILI
22	a Nevada corporation, Defendants.	
23		DATE: November 7, 2008
24		TIME : 9:00 A.M. COURT : Courtroom 7, 19th Floor
25		Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
26		
27		
28		EDLODDED CDANTING ADMINISTRATIVE DEOLIEST TO SEAL

The Court having reviewed Defendants Zi Corporation and Zi Corporation of America, Inc.'s (collectively, "Zi") Administrative Request to Seal Documents in Support of Defendant's Arbitration Reply, Contempt Sur-Reply and Motion for Leave to File Contempt Sur-Reply ("Administrative Request") and the supporting Declaration of Eric B. Evans, Zi's Administrative Request to Seal is GRANTED.

Further, Zi has shown that good cause exists to seal the documents described in its Administrative Request, which are attached to declarations in support of, or referred to in, Zi's Reply in Support of its Cross-Motion to Compel Arbitration or, in the Alternative, Stay Proceedings Pending Arbitration ("Arbitration Reply"), its Sur-Reply in Support of Zi's Response to Tegic's Motion For An Order To Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held In Contempt Of Consent Judgment ("Contempt Sur-Reply"), and its Motion For Leave to File Contempt Sur-Reply ("Motion For Leave").

Therefore, for good cause shown, the Court ORDERS that:

- (1) Exhibit A to the Declaration of George Tai in support of the Leave Sur-Reply ("Tai Declaration") constituting the parties' settlement agreement be filed under seal;
- (2) Exhibit B to the Tai Declaration and Exhibit A to the Declaration of Lisa Dawson ("Dawson Declaration"), both constituting a transcript of voicemail reflecting negotiations toward parties' settlement agreement be filed under seal;
- (3) Unredacted versions of Zi's Arbitration Reply, Contempt Sur-Reply, Motion For Leave, the Tai Declaration, and the Supplemental Declaration of Demetrio Navarro in support of the Contempt Sur-Reply, which include references to the parties' settlement agreement or negotiations, and/or Exhibits A & B to the Declaration of Demetrio Navarro filed in support of Zi's Response to Tegic's Motion For An Order To Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held In Contempt Of Consent Judgment ("Navarro Declaration") previously ordered by this Court to be filed under seal in this matter be filed under seal; and
- (4) Zi be granted leave to file redacted, unsealed versions of its Arbitration Reply, Contempt Sur-Reply, Motion For Leave, the Tai Declaration, and the Supplemental Declaration

of Demetrio Navarro in support of the Contempt Sur-Reply, redacting references to the parties' settlement agreement or negotiations, and/or Exhibits A & B to the Navarro Declaration. As set forth in the Evans Declaration, the aforementioned materials are "sealable" material under FED. R. CIV. P. 26(c) and Civil L.R. 79-5. IT IS SO ORDERED. Japine M. Cherry Dated: November 4, 2008 Hon. Maxine M. Chesney U.S. District Court Judge