

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 JAMES EDWARD KING,

No. C 00-1988 SI

9 Petitioner,

**ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S
REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

10 v.

11 MICHAEL S. EVANS, Warden,

12 Respondent.
13 _____/

14 Petitioner has requested oral argument on his petition for habeas corpus. The Court agrees
15 that oral argument is warranted and GRANTS petitioner's request. The Court also finds that an
16 evidentiary hearing may be helpful to deciding petitioner's claim for ineffective assistance of
17 counsel on the basis of his trial attorney's failure to consult a medical sexual assault expert and to
18 present expert witness testimony in his defense. The Court directs the parties to advise the Court by
19 letter brief as to their positions on whether an evidentiary hearing is necessary. They should also list
20 the witnesses they would seek to call, should such a hearing be held. Petitioner shall file his brief by
21 **February 17, 2009.** Respondent shall file his response by **February 24, 2009.** Oral argument will
22 be calendared once the Court receives the parties' briefs.
23

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25
26 Dated: February 11, 2009



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge