

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DELPHINE ALLEN, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,
Defendants.

MASTER CASE FILE
NO. C00-4599 TEH

ORDER EXTENDING MAY 13,
2011 DEADLINE

12 On April 13, 2011, this Court set a May 13, 2011 deadline for the parties to file a
13 stipulated agreement and proposed order on the extension of court monitoring in this case.

14 On May 10, 2011, the parties filed a joint request to extend this deadline.

15 The parties report that they have reached agreement on a two-year extension of the
16 memorandum of understanding (“MOU”), and that they have further agreed that the City will
17 extend its contract with the current monitor during this two-year extension. The parties also
18 report that the City Council has approved these agreements. The parties request an extension
19 of the May 13 deadline only because the terms of the extended monitoring contract have not
20 been finalized, and the parties would like this contract to be in place before they sign an
21 amended MOU.

22 For the reasons stated in the parties’ stipulation, there is good cause for this Court to
23 extend the May 13, 2011 deadline, and the Court approves the parties’ stipulation to extend
24 the deadline to **June 24, 2011**. The Court does not anticipate granting any further
25 extensions; six weeks is more than a reasonable amount of time to conclude the outstanding
26 negotiations, including final approval by the City Council, and to finalize the parties’ written
27 agreement. Failure to negotiate in good faith – both between the parties and with the
28 Monitor – shall not be tolerated and may be subject to sanctions by the Court.

1 The parties shall include an update on the status of the amended MOU and the
2 contract with the Monitor in their joint status conference statement due on June 9, 2011. The
3 June 16, 2011 status conference shall remain on calendar, although the Court may vacate that
4 date if, after reviewing the parties' June 9 statement, it determines that a status conference is
5 unnecessary.

6

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8

9 Dated: 05/12/11



THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28