

1 Monitor's, the Monitor will bring that issue to the Court, and the OPOA will have a full and
2 fair opportunity to discuss the issue if the Court contemplates ordering the Chief to take a
3 particular action. Under these circumstances, the Court does not find the confidentiality of
4 communications between the Monitor and the Chief to violate any officer's due process
5 rights.

6 Accordingly, with good cause appearing, the OPOA's motion for clarification is
7 DENIED.¹

8
9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10
11 Dated: 08/07/12



12 THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

¹Plaintiffs correctly observe in their opposition that the OPOA did not follow the Court's Civil Local Rules in filing its motion. Nonetheless, because Plaintiffs have been heard in opposition and the Court is denying the requested relief, the Court finds no prejudice to ruling on the motion despite the procedural improprieties.