UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS -7 PH 2: UZ 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNI 3 NOREEN HULTEEN, et al., 4 Plaintiffs, Case No. C 01 1122 TEH 3 v. AT&T MANAGEMENT PENSION PLAN, et ORDER OF JUDGMENT al., 7 Defendants. 40 44 Consistent with the Supreme Court's ruling in AT&T Corp., et al., v. Hulteen, et al., 129 42 S. Ct. 1269 (2009), the Court hereby enters judgment in favor of defendants AT&T Corp., 43 AT&T Management Pension Plan, AT&T Pension Plan, and the AT&T Employees' Benefit 44 Committee, on the Title VII claims brought by plaintiffs Noreen Hulteen, Eleanora Collet, Linda 45 Porter, Elizabeth Snyder, and Communications Workers of America, AFL-CIO, in this action. 46 Furthermore, consistent with the district court opinion issued in August 2003, the Court hereby ዛኝ enters judgment in favor of defendants AT&T Corp., AT&T Management Pension Plan, AT&T **48** Pension Plan, and the AT&T Employees' Benefit Committee, on the ERISA claims brought by 48) plaintiffs Noreen Hulteen, Eleanora Collet, Linda Porter, Elizabeth Snyder, and Communications 20 Workers of America, AFL-CIO, in this action. **2**4 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 24 Dated: August 10, 2009 25 $\frac{26}{26}$ 27

 $\frac{28}{28}$

ORDER OF JUDGMENT

ORDER OF JUDGMENT

ORDER OF JUDGMENT

ORDER OF JUDGMENT

ORDER OF JUDGMENT