1		
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS	
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4	AND THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT COMPOSED OF THREE JUDGES	
6	PURSUANT TO SECTION 2284, TITLE 28 UNITED STATES CODE	
7		
8	RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,	
9	Plaintiffs,	NO. 2:90-cv-0520 KJM DAD (PC) <u>THREE-JUDGE COURT</u>
10	V.	
11	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	MARCIANO PLATA, et al.,	NO. C01-1351 TEH
15	Plaintiffs,	THREE-JUDGE COURT
16	V.	ORDER GRANTING IN PART PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FURTHER ENFORCEMENT ORDER
17	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., et al.,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	Having carefully reviewed the parties' arguments, as well as this Court's order for	
21	defendants to "immediately implement" specific population reduction measures, Feb. 10,	
22	2014 Order at 3 (ECF No. 2766/5060), <sup>1</sup> this Court now GRANTS IN PART Plaintiffs'	
23	motion for an order for further enforcement (ECF No. 2812/5220). Plaintiffs asked that	
24	defendants be ordered to: (1) implement 2-for-1 credits for minimum custody inmates who	
25	are ineligible for fire camps; (2) grant 33.3% credits to all non-violent second strikers,	
26	<sup>1</sup> All filings in this Three-Judge Court are included in the individual docket sheets of both <i>Plata v. Brown</i> , No. C01-1351 TEH (N.D. Cal.), and <i>Coleman v. Brown</i> , No. 2:90-cv-0520-KJM DAD (PC) (E.D. Cal.). This Court includes the docket number of <i>Plata</i> first, then <i>Coleman</i> .	
27		
28		

including those with a prior sex offense; and (3) implement new parole procedures for non violent second-strikers by January 1, 2015.

2

The Court now GRANTS the third part of plaintiffs' motion. IT IS HEREBY 4 ORDERED that defendants shall, on or before January 1, 2015, complete creation and 5 commence operation of "a new parole determination process through which non-violent 6 second-strikers will be eligible for parole consideration by the Board of Parole Hearings once 7 they have served 50% of their sentence." Feb. 10, 2014 Order at 3. The record contains no 8 evidence that defendants cannot implement the required parole process by that date, eleven 9 months after they agreed to do so "promptly," Defs.' Jan. 23, 2014 Proposed Order at 2 (ECF 10 No. 2755/5023) and were ordered to so do "immediately," Feb. 10, 2014 Order at 3. 11 Defendants shall file a report describing the new parole process, including an estimate of the 12 number of inmates who will be affected, on or before **December 1, 2014**. 13 The Compliance Officer shall continue conferring with the parties regarding plaintiffs' first two requests, which remain under submission. The parties shall file a 14

15

//

//

- 16
- 17 //
- 18 // 19 //
- 20 //
- 21 //
- 22 //
- 23 //
- 24 //
- 25 //
- 26 //
- 27 //
- 28 //

stipulation and proposed order if they are able to reach agreement. If they cannot, then they
shall file a joint statement narrowing their disputes as much as possible.
3

IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 11/14/14 UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS Dated: 11/14/14 HE SON SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Dated: 11/14/14 MU ÆR KIMI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA