	Case3:01-cv-02252-CRB Document77	9 Filed12/23/:	11 Page1 of 3	
1	Brad Seligman (SBN 083838) Jocelyn D. Larkin (SBN 110817)	Joseph M. Sell Christine E. W		
2	THE IMPACT FUND 125 University Avenue	Jenny R. Yang		
3	Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: 510.845.3473	PLLC West Tower, S		
4	Facsimile: 510.845.3654	1100 New Yor Washington, D	k Avenue	
5		Telephone: 20 Facsimile: 202	2.408.4600	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
7	Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr. (SBN 132099)			
8	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue			
9	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.229.7000			
10	Facsimile: 213.229.7520 TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com			
11	Attorney for Defendant			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
15	BETTY DUKES, PATRICIA SURGESON, ED	ITH ARANA,	Case No. 01-cv-2252-CRB	
16	DEBORAH GUNTER, and CHRISTINE KWA behalf of themselves and all others similarly situ		STIPULATION OF REVISED	
17	Plaintiffs,		BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANT WAL-MART	
18	V.		STORES, INC. TO RESPOND TO FOURTH	
19	WAL-MART STORES, INC.,		AMENDED COMPLAINT AND <b>[TRODOSED]</b> ORDER	
20	Defendant.			
21				
22	The undersigned counsel, on behalf of Betty Dukes, Patricia Surgeson, Edith Arana,			
23	Deborah Gunter, and Christine Kwapnoski ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.			
24	("Wal-Mart"), hereby stipulate and agree as follows:			
25	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a Fourth Amended Complaint in the above-captioned case			
26	against Wal-Mart on October 27, 2011;			
27	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Wal-Mart previously reached an agreement, pursuant to Civil			
28	STIPULATION OF REVISED BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANT WAL-MART STORES, INC. TO RESPOND TO FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] - 1 - ORDER, CASE NO. 01-CV-2252-CRB			

## Case3:01-cv-02252-CRB Document779 Filed12/23/11 Page2 of 3

1	L.R. 6-1(a), to extend the time within which Wal-Mart must answer or otherwise respond to		
2	Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Complaint and that a corresponding amount of additional time should		
3	be provided to Plaintiffs to address any motion by Wal-Mart regarding Plaintiffs' Fourth		
4	Amended Complaint;		
5	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Wal-Mart further agree that to avoid potentially redundant		
6	motion practice, briefing, or responsive pleadings, any motion challenging the Fourth Amended		
7	Complaint should be resolved by the Court prior to the filing of an Answer by Wal-Mart;		
8	WHEREAS, this Court previously extended the dates for filing of a motion to dismiss the		
9	Fourth Amended Complaint by stipulation and order, Docket No. 769;		
10	WHEREAS, since that time, due to the press of the holidays on Wal-Mart's retail business		
11	and related commitments for the legal business, Wal-Mart has asked Plaintiffs to modify the		
12	briefing schedule, and they have consented, subject to this Court's approval, see Declaration of		
13	Rachel S. Brass in Support of Stipulation of Revised Briefing Schedule for Defendant Wal-Mart		
14	Stores, Inc. to Respond to Fourth Amended Complaint;		
15	WHEREAS, the stipulated changes to the briefing schedule, described below, do not alter		
16	the date by which any reply brief by Wal-Mart shall be filed, such that all briefing shall be		
17	completed by the same date as was previously ordered by the Court, see Docket No. 769;		
18	THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Wal-Mart stipulate and agree as follows:		
19	1. The deadline by which Wal-Mart must answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs'		
20	Fourth Amended Complaint is extended to January 13, 2012;		
21	2. Should Wal-Mart move against the Fourth Amended Complaint within the time		
22	period specified in paragraph 4, an opposition to any such motion shall be filed no later than		
23	March 23, 2012;		
24	3. The date for the filing of a reply, if one is to be filed, shall remain unchanged as		
25	April 13, 2012;		
26	///		
27	///		
28	/// STIPULATION OF REVISED BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANT WAL-MART STORES, INC. TO RESPOND TO FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] - 2 - ORDER, CASE NO. 01-CV-2252-CRB		

	Case3:01-cv-02252-CRB Document779 Filed12/23/11 Page3 of 3		
1	4. Should Wal-Mart move against the Fourth Amended Complaint, any answer to		
2	that Fourth Amended Complaint shall be filed within thirty (30) days following the entry of an		
3	order resolving Wal-Mart's motion.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5	Dated: December 23, 2011		
6	By: /s/ Brad Seligman By: /s/ Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr.		
7	Brad Seligman (SBN 083838)Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr. (SBN 132099)Jocelyn D. Larkin (SBN 110817)GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP		
8 9	THE IMPACT FUND333 South Grand Avenue125 University AvenueLos Angeles, CA 90071		
9 10	Berkeley, CA 94710Telephone: 213.229.7000Telephone: 510.845.3473Facsimile: 213.229.7520Facsimile: 510.845.3654Facsimile: 213.229.7520		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorney for Defendant		
12	I, Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., attest that		
13	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory.		
14			
15			
16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED		
17	DATE: January 3, 2012		
18	DATE. January 3, 2012		
19	UNITED STATES DISTRIC CHARLES & IT IS SO ORDERED		
20	CHARLES A. IT IS SO CAR		
21	Z Z Judge Charles R. Breyer		
22	Judge Chance O		
23			
24	FERN DISTRICT OF CT		
25			
26			
27			
28	STIPULATION OF REVISED BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANT WAL-MART STORES, INC. TO RESPOND TO FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] - 3 - ORDER, CASE NO. 01-CV-2252-CRB		