

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

JAY LAPINE,

Defendant.

No. C 01-03650 WHA

Consolidated with:

No. C 03-02603 WHA

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.


CHARLES McCALL,

Defendant.

In light of the acquittal of defendant Jay Lapine in *United States v. McCall, et al.*, No. CR 00-0505 WHA, the SEC is ordered to show cause why the above-captioned action against defendant Lapine should not be dismissed. The SEC must state with specificity all admissible evidence on which it will rely in this action. Said submission is due on or before **NOON ON DECEMBER 23, 2009.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: November 19, 2009.



\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE