

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

JAY LAPINE,

Defendant.
_____ /

No. C 01-03650 WHA

Consolidated with:

No. C 03-02603 WHA

REQUEST FOR RESPONSE

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHARLES McCALL,


Defendant.
_____ /

In light of the acquittal of defendant Jay Lapine in *United States v. McCall, et al.*, No. CR 00-0505 WHA, the SEC was ordered to show cause why the above-captioned action against defendant Lapine should not be dismissed. The Court is in receipt of the SEC's submission addressing the evidence upon which it intends to rely at trial. Defendant Lapine is ordered to respond in writing to the SEC's submission on or before **NOON ON JANUARY 19, 2010**. Defendant Lapine's response should be no more than 25 pages. Any reply by the SEC

1 should be no more than 12 pages and must be received on or before **NOON ON JANUARY 22,**
2 **2010.**

3
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 Dated: January 8, 2010.



7 WILLIAM ALSUP
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28