

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

JAY LAPINE,

Defendant.
_____ /

No. C 01-03650 WHA

Consolidated with:

No. C 03-02603 WHA

**ORDER RE REQUEST
TO ENLARGE TIME**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHARLES McCALL,

Defendant.
_____ /

The SEC and defendant Jay Lapine have filed a stipulated request to modify discovery and filing deadlines in this matter on the grounds that they have reached a settlement agreement “in principle, subject to approval by members of the Commission” (Br. at 2). The parties must clarify whether their settlement agreement has been actually finalized but for the Commission’s approval. If the details of the settlement agreement have not yet been finalized

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

and submitted to the Commission for approval, good cause to modify the case schedule has not been shown.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 1, 2010.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE