Doc. 186 Att. 2

Dockets.Justia.com

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case 3:02-cv-01991-JSW Document 186-3 Filed 07/06/2004

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

OVERTURE SERVICES, INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION,

PLAINTIFF,

vs.

GOOGLE INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION,

DEFENDANT.

NO. C 02-01991 JSW ADR

Page 2 of 15 CERTIFIED COP

VOLUME I

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DARREN J. DAVIS

(CONFIDENTIAL TRANSCRIPT)

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2003

REPORTED BY:

KAREN E. KAY CSR NO. 3862, RMR, CRR

JOB NO. 29884KEK



10868 KLING STREET TOLUCA LAKE, CALIFORNIA 91602 800.540.0681 FAX 818.508.6326 e-mail: lois@ludwigklein.com Case BOD WE WO 1891-JSWE EDECTIFICAT 48643DE Filed 87/06/2084 0 . 54ge 96815

09:37:18 A YES. 1 Q IN THIS DEPOSITION I'M SOMETIMES GOING TO 09:37:19 2 REFER TO THE COMPANY AS OVERTURE AND SOMETIMES AS 09:37:21 3 GOTO TYPICALLY DEPENDING ON APPROXIMATELY THE TIME 09:37:25 4 PERIOD I'M TALKING ABOUT. BUT SINCE IT'S THE SAME 09:37:27 5 COMPANY WITH JUST A DIFFERENT NAME, I'D LIKE YOU TO 09:37:30 6 7 UNDERSTAND THAT I'M REFERRING TO THE COMPANY UNDER 09:37:33 BOTH NAMES WHEN I SAY THAT. SO WHEN I SAY "GOTO," 09:37:37 8 09:37:40 IF YOU HAVE AN ANSWER THAT APPLIES TO OVERTURE, THE 9 TIME PERIOD WHERE THE COMPANY WAS CALLED OVERTURE, 09:37:41 10 I'D LIKE YOU TO ANSWER AS TO THAT AS WELL AND VICE 09:37:43 11 09:37:46 12 VERSA; IS THAT OKAY? A OKAY. IF I NEED CLARIFICATION, I'LL BE 09:37:49 13 09:37:51 14 SPECIFIC ABOUT IT. Q THAT WOULD BE GREAT. THANKS. 09:37:52 15 09:37:54 16 A GREAT. 09:37:54 WHEN DID YOU START AT OVERTURE? 17 0 09:37:59 18 A IN OCTOBER OF 1998. Q WHAT WAS YOUR JOB TITLE AT GOTO ACTUALLY IN 09:38:04 19 09:38:07 OCTOBER 1998? 20 09:38:11 A PRODUCT MANAGER. 21 Q DID YOU HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH THE COMPANY 09:38:13 22 09:38:15 BEFORE OCTOBER OF 1998? 23 09:38:17 A YES, I DID. 24 09:38:19 Q CAN YOU DESCRIBE THAT FOR ME? 25

CONFIDENTIAL TRANSCRIPT - VOLUME I

Case 3:002-101901-JSWRE12008074861 186V3DE9160101906/2084 0.549.48115

| 1 | Q WHAT WERE THOSE VARIOUS TECHNICAL REASONS? | 11:25:16 |
|----|--|----------|
| | | |
| 2 | A THE ONE THAT I CAN RECALL IS THAT THERE WAS NOT A MEANS TO RECONCILE THE CLICKS THAT WERE | 11:25:25 |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | RECEIVED TO SPECIFIC ADVERTISER ACCOUNTS. | 11:25:29 |
| 5 | Q WHO TOLD YOU THAT? | 11:25:34 |
| 6 | A I CAN'T RECALL. I JUST I HEARD IT. | 11:25:35 |
| 7 | AGAIN, THAT WASN'T THE PART OF THE BUSINESS THAT I | 11:25:40 |
| 8 | WAS DIRECTLY INVOLVED WITH. | 11:25:42 |
| 9 | Q DO YOU KNOW WHEN DO YOU KNOW WHEN | 11:25:45 |
| 10 | OVERTURE WAS TRYING TO BILL ITS USER BUT WAS UNABLE | 11:25:52 |
| 11 | TO WHEN THAT HAPPENED? | 11:25:56 |
| 12 | A I BECAME AWARE THAT THERE WAS SOME | 11:25:57 |
| 13 | SITUATION LIKE THAT WHEN I JOINED THE COMPANY. | 11:25:59 |
| 14 | Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER GOTO HAD BEEN PAID | 11:26:03 |
| 15 | FOR BY ANY OF ITS WEBSITE PROMOTERS FOR ANY OF | 11:26:07 |
| 16 | THE TRAFFIC THAT OVERTURE DELIVERED AS OF ABOUT | 11:26:10 |
| 17 | OCTOBER 1998? | 11:26:14 |
| 18 | A I BELIEVE SO, BUT I CAN'T BE ENTIRELY SURE. | 11:26:22 |
| 19 | AGAIN, I WASN'T PART OF THE COMPANY AT THAT POINT. | 11:26:25 |
| 20 | Q WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THAT? | 11:26:36 |
| 21 | A I'M SORRY. WHY DO I BELIEVE WHAT? | 11:26:38 |
| 22 | Q WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THAT SOME WEBSITE | 11:26:40 |
| 23 | PROMOTERS HAD PAID FOR THE TRAFFIC THAT WAS | 11:26:43 |
| 24 | DELIVERED TO THEM AS OF OR PRIOR TO OCTOBER 1998? | 11:26:45 |
| 25 | A I SEEM TO REMEMBER HEARING THAT WE HAD | 11:26:53 |
| | | 96 |

CONFIDENTIAL TRANSCRIPT - VOLUME I

Case 3.000 wr-01991-JSWRE DORMORIST 1886V31DE 51/ed100706/200840 0 . PEAD 50 of 815

STARTED TO RECEIVE MONEY, BUT I CAN'T REMEMBER 11:26:56 1 SPECIFICALLY WHAT THE INSTANCE WAS. IT WAS JUST 11:26:59 2 SOMETHING THAT WAS KIND OF OUT THERE. I WAS NEW TO 11:27:06 3 THE COMPANY, AND I WAS REALLY JUST TRYING TO LEARN 11:27:10 4 11:27:12 MY JOB AT THAT POINT. 5 Q IS THAT SOMETHING YOU WOULD HAVE HEARD IN A 11:27:13 6 11:27:15 CONVERSATION WITH SOMEONE? 7 POSSIBLY. I CAN'T RECALL SPECIFICALLY. 11:27:19 8 Α Q IN PERHAPS AN E-MAIL STATUS REPORT? 11:27:229 A DOUBTFUL. WE WEREN'T BIG ON STATUS REPORTS 11:27:26 10 11:27:29 11 BACK THEN. WAS THERE AN INTERNAL WEB PAGE YOU COULD GO 11:27:30 0 12 13 TO FOR NEWS ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING ON IN THE COMPANY? 11:27:33 11:27:36 14 A NOT THAT I WAS AWARE OF, NO. Q IS THERE ANY WAY YOU CAN THINK OF YOU WOULD 11:27:40 15 HAVE LEARNED ABOUT THIS OTHER THAN A CONVERSATION 11:27:42 16 11:27:43 17 WITH SOMEONE? 18 A COULD HAVE JUST HEARD IT AROUND THE WATER 11:27:46 11:27:49 COOLER. AT THE TIME GOTO WAS IN THE IDEA LAB 19 BUILDING. THERE WAS A LOT OF PEOPLE AROUND. 11:27:55 20 OCCASIONALLY YOU JUST KIND OF HEARD THINGS IN 11:27:58 21 PASSING. YOU COULDN'T NECESSARILY BE SURE WHAT WAS 11:28:00 22 11:28:04 RIGHT AND WHAT WAS WRONG. 23 MR. KWUN: WE HAVE TO CHANGE THE TAPE, SO 11:28:06 24 11:28:08 25 WHY DON'T WE TAKE A BREAK HERE.

87

Case 31024191891-JOWRE PORTFRAT 48643DE File J97/06/20840. Page 8815

1 THAT WAS MADE AT T.E.D. 8. I DON'T SPECIFICALLY 11:54:28 11:54:31 KNOW THERE WAS A PRESENTATION MADE. 2 Q AND YOU DON'T RECALL TELLING ANYONE TO LOOK 11:54:35 3 11:54:37 FOR DOCUMENTS THAT RELATED TO T.E.D. 8? 4 11:54:43 5 Α NO. 11:54:44 Q WHY DIDN'T YOU DO THAT? 6 A I BELIEVE THAT I FULFILLED MY DUTY TO 11:54:48 7 DISCLOSE BY DISCLOSING THE INFORMATION THAT I WAS 11:54:51 8 11:54:53 AWARE OF. 9 Q AND TURNING BACK TO EXHIBIT 4, IF YOU COULD 11:55:05 10 JUST READ THE PARAGRAPH -- YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND READ 11:55:09 11 IT TO YOURSELF -- ABOVE YOUR SIGNATURE STARTING WITH 11:55:13 12 "I HEREBY DECLARE." AND WHEN YOU'RE DONE WITH THAT, 11:55:15 13 11:55:19 14 JUST LET ME KNOW. 11:55:31 A OKAY. 15 Q SO YOU READ THAT AND UNDERSTOOD THAT BEFORE 11:55:31 16 17 YOU SIGNED THIS DOCUMENT; IS THAT CORRECT? 11:55:33 11:55:35 A YES. 18 Q AND SO YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT YOU WERE 11:55:35 19 UNDERTAKING A SERIOUS DUTY HERE IN SUBMITTING THIS 11:55:37 20 11:55:40 PATENT APPLICATION? 21 11:55:41 YES. 22 Α O AND YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT IT WAS INCUMBENT 11:55:42 23 11:55:44 UPON YOU TO ACT WITH CANDOR IN ALL OF YOUR 24 11:55:49 INTERACTIONS WITH THE PATENT OFFICE? 25 101

Case 3:02 wr @1 201-JSWREPORTERSt 186V3 DEGiled 07/06/2084 0. 1940 P6815

11:55:51 A YES. 1 11:55:57 O OKAY. 2 A I'M SORRY. CAN YOU CLARIFY JUST "CANDOR"? 11:55:59 3 I'M NOT SURE I'VE SEEN THAT TERM SPECIFICALLY 11:56:01 4 11:56:04 5 MENTIONED. WHAT DID YOU -- WHAT WERE YOU THINKING OF 11:56:04 0 6 11:56:07 WHEN YOU ANSWERED THAT QUESTION? 7 A I KNOW THAT I WAS -- PER MY DUTY AND PER 11:56:08 8 JUST WHO I AM, I WAS AS FORTHCOMING AS I COULD BE 11:56:12 9 AND WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYTHING THAT I KNEW 11:56:16 10 ABOUT WAS DISCLOSED AND THAT I COOPERATED WITH THE 11:56:19 11 PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE 11:56:22 12 DURING THE PROSECUTION OF OUR PATENT APPLICATION. 11:56:24 13 Q SO YOU NEVER TRIED TO HIDE ANY INFORMATION 11:56:27 14 11:56:28 15 THAT YOU HAD? 11:56:29 A ABSOLUTELY NOT. 16 Q AND YOU DIDN'T RELY ON -- YOU DIDN'T TRY TO 11:56:33 17 REPLY ON TECHNICALITIES IN TERMS OF -- WELL, I 11:56:36 18 SUPPOSE THIS IS TECHNICALLY TRUE BUT -- SO I CAN SAY 11:56:39 19 11:56:42 IT?20 11:56:43 A NO. 21 11:56:43 Q SO YOU TRIED TO BE AS FORTHRIGHT AS 22 11:56:45 POSSIBLE? 23 11:56:45 A ABSOLUTELY. 24 Q IF YOU COULD TURN TO EXHIBIT 3, WHICH IS 11:56:47 25 102

Case 3.00 wr @ 1221-JSWREFORCERENT & 80 3DE File 109/06/20040. Page 8 of 15

| - | | |
|----|--|----------|
| 1 | RECEIVED RETRIEVAL REQUESTS. HOW ARE YOU ABLE TO | 14:52:50 |
| 2 | HOW DID YOU KNOW THAT? | 14:52:55 |
| 3 | A I KNOW OF NO OTHER WAY THAT A SITE LIKE | 14:53:10 |
| 4 | HITS GALORE COULD OFFER THE SERVICES THAT THEY CLAIM | 14:53:16 |
| 5 | TO OFFER IN EXHIBITS 9 AND 10 WITHOUT RECORDING A | 14:53:19 |
| 6 | RETRIEVAL REQUEST EVENT. | 14:53:23 |
| 7 | Q BUT HOW DID YOU KNOW THAT THEY RECEIVED | 14:53:28 |
| 8 | RETRIEVAL REQUESTS? | 14:53:30 |
| 9 | A BASED ON THE BELIEF THAT THEY WERE ABLE TO | 14:53:34 |
| 10 | FULFILL THE SERVICE OFFERING THAT THEY MADE, I CAN | 14:53:37 |
| 11 | THINK OF NO OTHER WAY THAT THEY COULD HAVE PROVIDED | 14:53:41 |
| 12 | THAT SERVICE WITHOUT RECORDING THOSE RETRIEVAL | 14:53:43 |
| 13 | REQUESTS. | 14:53:46 |
| 14 | Q WHAT ASPECT OF THE HITSGALORE.COM SERVICE | 14:53:48 |
| 15 | REQUIRES RECEIVING RETRIEVAL REQUESTS? | 14:53:55 |
| 16 | A I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION. | 14:54:00 |
| 17 | WHAT ASPECT? | 14:54:02 |
| 18 | Q WHAT IS IT ABOUT THE HITSGALORE.COM SERVICE | 14:54:03 |
| 19 | THAT THEY OFFER THAT REQUIRES RECEIVING RETRIEVAL | 14:54:07 |
| 20 | REQUESTS? | 14:54:11 |
| 21 | A I CAN SEE NO OTHER WAY THAT THEY CAN | 14:55:13 |
| 22 | FULFILL THE CLAIM THAT THEY MADE IN WHAT WE HAVE | 14:55:16 |
| 23 | LISTED AS EXHIBIT 9 OF EXHIBIT 8 INDICATING THAT | 14:55:19 |
| 24 | THEY WILL LET ADVERTISERS, QUOTE, "PAY FOR WHAT THEY | 14:55:28 |
| 25 | GET, " UNQUOTE, "WITHOUT RECORDING A RETRIEVAL | 14:55:32 |
| | | 159 |

Case 200 WE 21 - JSWRE DORMERS 186 VIDE File (107/06/20340 0 - Fate 9061815

14:55:36 1 REQUEST EVENT." Q SO IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT BECAUSE 14:55:47 2 3 HITSGALORE.COM STATES THAT THEY LET ADVERTISERS, 14:55:52 4 QUOTE, "PAY FOR WHAT THEY GET," UNQUOTE, THAT 14:55:59 NECESSARILY MEANS THAT HITSGALORE.COM RECEIVES 14:56:04 5 14:56:09 6 RETRIEVAL REQUESTS? A I CAN THINK OF NO OTHER WAY THAT THEY COULD 14:56:22 7 8 FULFILL THAT CLAIM UNLESS THEY RECEIVED AND RECORDED 14:56:25 14:56:27 THOSE RETRIEVAL REQUESTS. 9 14:56:36 10 Q IS THAT A "YES"? A IT'S MY ANSWER. IT'S NOT A SPECIFIC "YES" 14:56:51 11 14:56:54 12 OR "NO." O SO IN YOUR RULE 102 DECLARATION, WHEN YOU 14:57:01 13 14:57:06 STATED THAT HITSGALORE.COM RECEIVES RETRIEVAL 14 14:57:11 15 REQUESTS, DID YOU KNOW THAT TO BE TRUE? A I COULD SEE NO OTHER WAY THAT THEY COULD 14:57:20 16 FULFILL ON THEIR SERVICE OFFERING UNLESS THEY DID 14:57:21 17 THAT, SO I VERY DEFINITELY BELIEVED IT TO BE TRUE. 14:57:24 18 Q DID YOU EXPLAIN THE ASSUMPTION THAT YOU HAD 14:57:28 19 MADE THAT IT WAS MERELY THAT YOU COULDN'T THINK OF 14:57:32 20 ANY OTHER WAY TO DO WHAT THEY WERE SAYING THEY DID? 14:57:34 21 DID YOU MAKE THAT ASSUMPTION CLEAR TO THE EXAMINER? 14:57:37 22 A I BELIEVED THAT SOMEONE REASONABLY SKILLED 14:57:44 23 IN THE ART COULD OBSERVE WHAT HITS GALORE WAS DOING 14:57:47 24 25 AND NOTE HOW IT WAS BEING DONE IN THE SAME WAY THAT 14:57:56

160

Case 3102000104981-JSW REDORUMBERS 186-0010E1010006/200400 Page 106945

| 1 | Q WHAT WAS IT ABOUT THE WAY THAT | 14:59:44 |
|----|--|----------|
| 2 | HITSGALORE.COM WAS PROVIDING ITS SERVICE THAT LED | 14:59:45 |
| 3 | YOU TO BELIEVE THAT IT MUST BE THE CASE THAT | 14:59:49 |
| 4 | HITSGALORE.COM WAS RECEIVING RETRIEVAL REQUESTS? | 14:59:52 |
| 5 | A I BELIEVE IT WAS THE FACT THAT THEY CLAIMED | 15:00:34 |
| 6 | TO ONLY ALLOW ADVERTISERS TO GET WHAT THEY PAY FOR | 15:00:41 |
| 7 | OR SORRY PAY FOR WHAT THEY GET, TO CITE | 15:00:46 |
| 8 | EXHIBIT 9. | 15:00:50 |
| 9 | Q WHAT IS IT ABOUT HITS GALORE OFFERING A | 15:00:52 |
| 10 | SERVICE THAT ALLOWED ADVERTISERS TO ONLY PAY FOR | 15:00:57 |
| 11 | WHAT THEY GET THAT LED YOU TO THE CONCLUSION THAT | 15:01:01 |
| 12 | HITSGALORE.COM WAS RECEIVING RETRIEVAL REQUESTS? | 15:01:06 |
| 13 | A IN ORDER FOR THEM TO ONLY PROVIDE TO | 15:01:32 |
| 14 | ADVERTISERS LET ME REPHRASE. IN ORDER FOR THEM | 15:01:36 |
| 15 | TO SEND TRAFFIC TO ADVERTISERS BASED ON A | 15:01:48 |
| 16 | SEARCHER'S IN THIS CASE, CLICKTHROUGH ON THEIR | 15:01:56 |
| 17 | SITE, THEY WOULD HAVE TO RECORD THAT RETRIEVAL IN | 15:02:06 |
| 18 | ORDER TO BE ABLE TO FULFILL THE SERVICE THAT THEY | 15:02:12 |
| 19 | OFFERED. | 15:02:19 |
| 20 | Q IF YOU CREATE A WEB PAGE AND YOUR WEB PAGE | 15:02:25 |
| 21 | HAS LINKS TO ANOTHER WEBSITE ON ANOTHER SERVER | 15:02:30 |
| 22 | SOMEWHERE ELSE AND A USER GOES AND RETRIEVES YOUR | 15:02:34 |
| 23 | WEB PAGE THAT INCLUDES THESE LINKS TO OTHER SITES | 15:02:40 |
| 24 | AND THE USER THEN CLICKS ON ONE OF THOSE LINKS TO | 15:02:43 |
| 25 | ONE OF THE OTHER SITES, DOES YOUR WEB PAGE OR YOUR | 15:02:46 |
| | | 162 |

162

Case 3:02:00:00 981-JSW REDORMERS 186-8:10 EO 10 006/200400 P340 10 6815

1 EXISTED BEFORE MAY 28 OF 1998 AT THE GOTO.COM 15:25:20 15:25:26 2 WEBSITE? A THE SYSTEM THAT WAS DESCRIBED BY THE PRIOR 15:25:31 3 ART THAT WE HAD PROVIDED TO THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK 15:25:38 4 15:25:41 OFFICE. 5 HOW DID YOU KNOW THAT THE PRIOR ART 15:25:45 6 0 DESCRIBED ALL THE FEATURES OF THE SYSTEM THAT 7 15:25:50 15:25:53 8 EXISTED AS OF MAY 28TH, 1998? A I KNEW OF NO FEATURE THAT EXISTED BEFORE 15:25:59 9 MAY 28TH, 1998 THAT WAS NOT DESCRIBED IN THE PRIOR 15:26:02 10 15:26:09 11 ART. O OKAY. BUT HOW DID YOU KNOW THAT THAT PRIOR 15:26:10 12 ART DESCRIBED ALL OF THE FEATURES OF THE SYSTEM THAT 15:26:14 13 15:26:17 14 EXISTED AS OF MAY 28TH, 1998? COULD YOU SAY YOUR QUESTION ONE MORE TIME. 15:26:37 15 Α Q WELL, LET ME ASK A DIFFERENT QUESTION. HOW 15:26:45 16 DID YOU KNOW WHAT FEATURES THE GOTO.COM LINE AD 15:26:48 17 15:26:53 SYSTEM HAD PRIOR TO MAY 28TH, 1998? 18 A I LEARNED ABOUT WHAT THE SYSTEM CONTAINED 15:27:07 19 SUBSEQUENT TO MY JOINING THE COMPANY IN OCTOBER 15:27:13 20 THROUGH A NUMBER OF VARIOUS MEANS, EXPERIENTIAL 15:27:17 21 15:27:27 22 DISCUSSION. Q WAS YOUR KNOWLEDGE OF WHAT FEATURES THE 15:27:29 23 24 GOTO.COM LINE AD SYSTEM HAD PRIOR TO MAY 28, 1998 15:27:34 25 BASED AT ALL ON DISCUSSIONS WITH ANY OF YOUR 15:27:41 172

1 COPY OF THE MAY 19TH, 1998 PRESS RELEASE TO PATENT 16:13:10 COUNSEL OR ANYONE ELSE PRIOR TO THE FILING OF THE 16:13:15 2 16:13:17 3 361 PATENT APPLICATION? A I BELIEVE SO BUT I CAN'T BE CERTAIN. 16:13:35 4 O ON WHAT DO YOU BASE THAT BELIEF? 16:13:37 5 A COULD YOU REPEAT THE PREVIOUS QUESTION ONE 16:14:58 6 MORE TIME. I WANT TO MAKE SURE I ANSWERED 16:15:00 7 16:15:02 CORRECTLY. 8 ON WHAT DO YOU BASE YOUR BELIEF THAT 16:15:03 9 Q SOMEONE PROVIDED A COPY OF THE MAY 19TH, '98 PRESS 16:15:06 10 RELEASE TO PATENT COUNSEL OR SOMEONE ELSE PRIOR TO 16:15:11 11 16:15:13 THE FILING OF THE 361 PATENT APPLICATION? 12 A I KNOW THAT THERE WAS AN EXHAUSTIVE PRIOR 16:15:22 13 ART SEARCH DONE THAT WAS DONE CERTAINLY. AND IN 16:15:24 14 CONJUNCTION WITH COUNSEL AS WELL AS THE OTHER 16:15:28 15 INVENTORS, I WAS CONFIDENT THAT ALL INFORMATION THAT 16:15:34 16 WAS RELEVANT TO THE APPLICATION HAD BEEN PROVIDED AS 16:15:36 17 16:15:39 PART OF THE DISCLOSURE PROCESS. 18 Q AND THE MAY 19TH, 1998 PRESS RELEASE IS 16:15:41 19 RELEVANT TO THE 361 PATENT APPLICATION, ISN'T IT? 16:15:45 20 A INASMUCH AS IT DISCUSSES THINGS THAT WERE 16:15:52 21 16:17:08 AVAILABLE PREVIOUS TO THE INVENTION ITSELF, I'M NOT 22 SURE WHETHER OR NOT THAT CONSTITUTES RELEVANCE OR 16:17:13 23 16:17:18 24 NOT. BUT YOU AND YOUR COINVENTERS AND THOSE 16:17:18 25 0 187

Case 3:02-cv-01991-JSW Document 186-3 Filed 07/06/2004 80 Page 13 of 15 LUDWIG IIN REPORTERS & VIDEO, 1 800.540.0681

| 1 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | I, KAREN E. KAY, CSR NO. 3862, A |
| 5 | CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF |
| 6 | CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY: |
| 7 | THAT PRIOR TO BEING EXAMINED, THE WITNESS |
| 8 | NAMED IN THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS WAS BY ME DULY |
| 9 | SWORN TO TESTIFY TO THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND |
| 10 | NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH; |
| 11 | THAT SAID PROCEEDINGS WERE TAKEN BY ME IN |
| 12 | SHORTHAND AT THE TIME AND PLACE HEREIN NAMED AND WAS |
| 13 | THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED INTO TYPEWRITING UNDER MY |
| 14 | DIRECTION, SAID TRANSCRIPT BEING A TRUE AND CORRECT |
| 15 | TRANSCRIPTION OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES. |
| 16 | I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST |
| 17 | IN THE OUTCOME OF THIS ACTION. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | JUNE 9^{TH} , 2003 |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | $F \leq L$ |
| 23 | KAREN E. KAY |
| 24 | CSR NO. 3862 |
| 25 | |

ERRATA SHEET

CHANGES TO TESTIMONY OF DARREN J. DAVIS

| PAGE | LINE | FROM | TO |
|-------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 12* | 7 | VIA A PRODUCT CALLED TIMES | VIA A PRODUCT CALLED |
| 12 | , | LINK AT | TIMESLINK AT |
| 28 | 21 | RESULTS, SEARCH LISTINGS | RESULTS, COMPONENTS OF |
| 20 | 21 | | SEARCH LISTINGS |
| - 30 | 11 | CONTAINED AN | CONTAINED A GOTO.COM PAGE |
| 50 | 11 | OVERTURE.COM PAGE | - |
| | 21 | THE DIRECT TRAFFIC CENTER | THE DIRECTRAFFIC CENTER |
| 36* | 9-10 | J. GALLINATTI | JAY GALLINATTI |
| 41* | 15-16 | ERIK HOVANIC | ERIK HOVANEC |
| | 7 | I COULDN'T REMEMBER | I CAN'T REMEMBER |
| 47 | 19-20 | IN THE IDEA LAB BUILDING. | IN THE IDEALAB! BUILDING. |
| 87* | | ERIK, | ERIC, |
| 88* | <u>13</u> | YES. | NO. |
| 100 | 25 | 1 BELIEVE SO, YES. | NO. IT WAS IN 2002. |
| 113 | 6.7 | BUT I BELIEVE SO, YES | BUT I DON'T BELIEVE SO. |
| 114 | 3-6 | I'M NOT AWARE OF A MEANS | I BELIEVE SO. |
| 150 | 3-0 | THAT COULD SPECIFICALLY | |
| | ļ | CONTAIN A SEARCH LISTING | |
| | | INTO SPECIFIC DIMENSIONS | |
| | | LIKE THAT. I CAN'T THINK OF | |
| | 1 | A SPECIFIC WAY. | |
| 152 | 7 | DISCERNIBLE FROM THIS PAGE. | DISCERNIBLE FROM THIS PAGE |
| 172 | | | IT COULD BE DISCERNED BY |
| | | | MOUSING OVER OR CLICKING |
| | | | AND HOLDING ONE OF THE |
| | | | URLS. |
| 159 | 3 | I KNOW OF NO OTHER WAY | I KNOW THAT ONE WAY THAT |
| 100 | | THAT A SITE LIKE | A SITE LIKE |
| 168 | 10 | ANYTHING THAT WASN'T | ANYTHING ELSE. |
| 100 | | DISCLOSED IN THE PRIOR ART. | |
| 172 | 22 | DISCUSSION. | DISCUSSION, AND I KNOW |
| | | | WHAT CAME INTO BEING |
| | | | AFTER I JOINED THE COMPANY |
| 176 | 25 | NECESSARILY BEING | NECESSARILY BEING |
| | | RECORDED PRIOR TO MAY 28. | CORRECTLY RECORDED PRIOR |
| | 1 | | TO MAY 28. |
| 189 | 21 | MAY HAVE BEEN IN ERROR | MAY HAVE BEEN WISHFUL |
| 107 | | | THINKING |
| 200 | 23 | IF IT FUNCTIONED THAT WAY, | HYPOTHETICALLY, IF IT |
| 1 200 | | | FUNCTIONED THAT WAY |

ł

THAT THE SYSTEM DESCRIBED THAT THE SYSTEM DESCRIBED 5-6 214 IN THE MAY 19, 1998 PRESS BY CLAIM I HAD NOT BEEN RELEASE HAD NOT BEEN **IMPLEMENTED** IMPLEMENTED NO, BUT I KNOW WHAT NO. 244 13 FEATURES WERE ADDED TO THE SYSTEM AFTER I STARTED WORK AT GOTO COM IN OCTOBER 1998. MR. KWUN: YES. MR. KWUN: YES. I DON'T 286]4 THE WITNESS: I DON'T BELIEVE THAT BELIEVE THAT

Document 186-3

*These changes should be made globally throughout the transcript.

BHG & L

SIGNATURE OF WITNESS

10:43

02-cv-01991-JSW

Filed 07/06/2004

312 321 4299 I Page 15 of 15

P.04