Over

rture Services, Ir	c. v. Google Inc.				Doc. 205
C	ase 3:02-cv-01991-JSW	Document 205	Filed 07/30/2004	Page 1 of 3	
1	KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP				
2	JOHN W. KEKER - #49092 DARALYN J. DURIE - #169825 CHRISTINE P. SUN - #218701 RAVIND S. GREWAL - #220543 710 Sansome Street				
3					
4	San Francisco, CA 94111-1704				
5	Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188				
6	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant GOOGLE INC.				
7	GOOGLE INC.				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11					
12	OVERTURE SERVICES, IN	NC.,	Case No. C 02-0199	1 JSW (EDL)	
13	Plaintiff and Cou	interdefendant,	DECLARATION OF RAVIND S. GREWAL IN SUPPORT OF REPLY RE		۶E
14	v.		MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND TESTIMONY RE		
15	GOOGLE INC.,		PROSECUTION O		
16	Defendant and Co	ounterclaimant.	Date: August 2 Time: 9:00 a.m		
17			Courtroom: E, 15th Floor Judge: Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte		
18				Lubelli D. Lupoite	
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	DECLARATION OF RAVIND S. GREWAL IN SUPPORT OF REPLY RE MOTION TO COMPEL				
335989.01	PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND TESTIMONY RE PROSECUTION OF '361 PATENT CASE NO. C 02-01991 JSW (EDL)				
	Dockets.Justia.com				

1

I, RAVIND S. GREWAL, declare as follows:

I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and am an associate
 at the law firm of Keker & Van Nest, LLP, counsel for Defendant and Counterclaimant Google
 Inc. ("Google") in this matter.

5 2. Google did not filed its motion to compel for a number of months after the parties
6 concluded their meet-and-confer in November 2003 because its attentions were directed to claim
7 construction briefing and preparation for the claim construction hearing. Further, Google wanted
8 to depose James Naughton, one of the attorneys who prosecuted the '361 patent application,
9 prior to filing its motion. At no point from November 2003 until it filed its motion to compel did
10 Google represent to Overture that it no longer intended to file the motion.

- Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the standard JAMS
 Confidentiality Agreement, as well as an email from Andrew Byrnes, an attorney representing
 Overture to Christine Sun, one of Google's attorneys, requesting that a Google attorney execute
 the agreement prior to the parties' mediation. Counsel for both parties did execute the standard
 agreement before commencing the mediation.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the July
 18, 2003 deposition of Elaine Lee.
- 18 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit C are true and correct copies of excerpts from the
 19 July 23, 2003 deposition of John G. Rauch.

20 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the
21 April 15, 2004 deposition of James Naughton.

7. Attached hereto as Exhibit E are true and correct copies of excerpts from the
May 11, 2004 deposition of Steven Skovran in the *Overture v. FindWhat.com* litigation.

8. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 158 from Mr.
Skovran's deposition. Mr. Skovran described this document as a copy of a log maintained by
GoTo.com prior to the critical date.

27 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the
28 April 27, 2004 deposition of Tod Kurt.

