Exhibit E

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	OVERTURE SERVICES, INC.,)
5	Plaintiff,) Case No.
6	v.) SACV 03-00685 CJC (Ex)
7	FINDWHAT.COM,)
8	Defendant.)
9)
10	
11	*** OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY ***
12	PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
. 13	
14	
15	
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF:
17	STEVEN SKOVRAN
18	TUESDAY, MAY 11, 2004
19	9:06 A.M.
20	
21	
22	. ;
23	REPORTED BY:
24	SUSAN NELSON
25 1 50	C.S.R. No. 3202
T LEC	ALINK® LegaLink Manhattan tel (212) 557-7400 www.legalink.com ,

GLOBAL COURT REPORTING · LEGAL VIDEOGRAPHY · TRIAL SERVICES

fax (212) 692-9171

New York, NY 10170

A WORDWAVE COMPANY

09:56:19	: 1	Page 43 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
,001.001.20	 2	BY MR. ACKERMAN:
09:56:31	. 3	Q. Now, you indicated that in addition to the
	J	Q. Now, you indicated that in addition to the
09:56:33	4	NCSA Web log entry, GoTo.com was also maintaining an
09:56:38	5	additional log entry.
	6 .	A. Yes.
09:56:41	7	Q. I'd just ask you, does Exhibit 158 do
09:56:46	8	you recognize that as a page from such a a log?
	9 .	A. Yes.
09:56:54	10	Q. And the first column of entries before
09:56:56	11	the the first two colons, that would be a a
09:56:59	12	time stamp?
09:57:01	13	A. Yes. That is a Unix time stamp.
09:57:03	14	Q. Okay. And that would be able to uniquely
09:57:06	15	tell you when a redirect occurred? In time.
09:57:11	16	A. Yes. It fixes the point in time of the
09:57:13	17	redirect.
09:57:13	18	Q. And following the first two colons, there's
09:57:15	19	a on the first entry there's a word, "Canada"?
	20	A. Yes.
09:57:19	21	Q. And what is that?
09:57:20	22	A. That is the user's search term.
09:57:23	23	Q. Okay. And there are two colons and
09:57:27	24	http://CanadaOnline.miningcode.com/
) 09:57:34	25	A. Hm-hm.
•		

09:57:34	1	Page 44 Q. And what would that represent?
09:57:36	2	A. The target URL.
09:57:38	3	Q. That would be the URL of the advertiser who
09:57:41	4	had a search listing for the key word "Canada"?
	5	A. Yes.
09:57:45	6	Q. And presumably that search listing was
09:57:48	7	provided in a search results page and was clicked on
09:57:51	8	by somebody at the time indicated by that time
09:57:54	9	stamp?
09:57:55	10	A. Yes.
09:57:57	.11	Q. Okay. Following what you identified as
09:57:59	12	URL, there's also two colons, and then there's 0.02.
09:58:02	13	And what does that represent?
09:58:04	14	A. That represents the bid.
09:58:06	15	Q. Okay. And then there's additional two
09:58:08	16	colons and then number 1.
09:58:11	17	And what does that number 1 represent?
09:58:13	18	A. The rank.
09:58:15	19	Q. Okay. So looking at this log entry, would
09:58:19	20	it be fair to say that an advertiser at at the
09:58:22	21	URL CanadaOnline.miningcode.com had a search listing
09:58:27	22	for the key word "Canada" and that search listing
.09:58:31	23	was number 1 in their search results page?
09:58:33	24	A. Yes.
09:58:34	25	Q. And at the time, that advertiser had a bid

9:58:37	1	Page 45 amount of of \$0.02 for that listing?
	2	A. Yes.
09:58:46	3	Q. Were they was the advertiser obligated
09:58:48	4	to pay that zero the 2 cents upon that log entry
09:58:54	5	being made?
09:58:55	6 .	A. Upon the log entry being made?
09:58:57	7	Q. Well, the after a an advertiser
09:59:00	8 .	actually, I'm sorry.
09:59:00	9	After a searcher clicked on it, would that
09:59:02	10	2 cents represent the amount that they had agreed to
09:59:05	11	pay to GoTo for that search listing?
09:59:11	12	A. I believe they were told that, yes.
.∞09:59:19	13	Q. Okay. Approximately a quarter to a third
09:59:23	14	up the page, there is an entry for Web in
09:59:31	15	parentheses, "Web&design."
09:59:35	16	A. Yes, I see it.
09:59:36	17	Q. Do you see that one?
09:59:37	18	A. Yes.
09:59:37	19	Q. Okay. Now, can you describe for me what
09:59:39	20	those two terms in parentheses refer to?
09:59:48	21	Does that represent two search terms that
09:59:50	22	were searched together, or, you know, what's
	23	A. Oh, I see.
09:59:52	24	Q what's the formatting of that entry?
09:59:56	25	A. I see. Yes.
	1	

10:02:16	1	Page 48 A. Web log analysis was specifically referring
10:02:18	2	to the NCSA Apache Web logs.
10:02:21	3	Q. Okay. And Exhibit 158 is a different
10:02:22	. 4	animal?
10:02:25	5	A. Yes.
10:02:25	6	Q. Okay. So what type of analysis did you do
10:02:25	7	on the type of if I refer to these as paid
10:02:30	8	click-through logs, will you understand that I'm
10:02:32	9	referring to
10:02:32	10	A. Yes.
10:02:32	11	Q Exhibit 158?
10:02:34	12	What type of analysis did you do on the
10:02:38	13	paid click-through logs in March of '98?
10:02:48	14	A. I do what I call aggregations on the data
10:02:54	15	to to aggregate the number of clicks. I believe
10:03:06	16	I did aggregations on search terms to see to see
10:03:09	17 .	what terms people were clicking on. Those sorts of
10:03:18	18	analysis.
10:03:21	19	Q. Did your aggregations include number of
10:03:23	20	clicks by URL?
•	21	A. Yes.
10:03:32	22	Q. So, for example, in March of '98, part of
10:03:36	23	your aggregation analysis, you you could
10:03:38	24	determine how many clicks for the URL www.aswas.com
10:03:44	25	were performed by users?

	1	Page 49 A. Yes.
10:03:50	·2	Q. And in aggregating those clicks, you would
10:03:52	3	also keep track of the the bid amount.
10:03:54	4	Would you also be able to aggregate the
10:03:58	5	the committed dollar amount based on those clicks?
10:04:00	6 .	MS. UNDERWOOD: Objection. Ambiguous.
10:04:05	7	THE WITNESS: I I was able to aggregate
10:04:05	8 ·	the bid amount times the number of clicks.
10:04:08	9 .	BY MR. ACKERMAN:
10:04:09	10.	Q. Okay.
•	11	A. Yes.
10:04:09	12	Q. So for a particular URL you could aggregate
10:04:12	13	number of clicks to the bid amount to come up with
10:04:16	14	a a total dollar amount or representing a
10:04:20	15	total total dollar amount.
10:04:22	16	A. Yes.
10:04:39	17	Q. The software that you were working on for
10:04:43	18	performing these aggregations, was that part of the
10:04:45	19	main GoTo.com cer system?
10:04:49	20	MS. UNDERWOOD: Objection. Ambiguous.
10:04:51	21	THE WITNESS: By "system," do you call
10:04:54	22 .	do you refer to the source tree?
•	23	BY MR. ACKERMAN:
10:04:55	24	Q. Yes.
10:04:55	25	A. No.
•	L	

10:04:56	1	Q. No? Okay.	Page 50
10:04:58	2	A. It was within the hierarchy, but it was	
10:05:00	3	not it was off to the side.	. ,
10:05:03	4	Q. Was it was this source code maintained	
10:05:06	5	in the GoTo.com CVS system?	
10:05:12	6	A. Initially, yes.	
10:05:13	7	Q. At some point, did it migrate out of the	
10:05:15	8	CVS system?	
10:05:17	9	A. At some point, I did migrate it into	•
10:05:19	10	within the CVS system but into a separate module.	
10:05:24	11	CVS is organized into modules. So I moved it out of	
10:05:28	12	the GoTo module into a stats module as it did not	
10:05:31	13	interact with the GoTo module and was only placed in	
10:05:34	14	there because of my limited understanding of CVS at	•
10:05:38	15	the time.	
10:05:41	16	Q. Okay. And when did the migration from the	
10:05:43	17	GoTo module to the stats module take place?	
10:05:55	18	A. I believe it was complete towards the end	;
10:05:58	19	of '98.	
10:06:06	20	Q. In the March and April time frame of '98,	٠
10:06:10	21	was the software that you had written included	•
10:06:13	22	within the CVS system into the GoTo module,	
10:06:16	23	rather?	
	24	A. Yes.	
10:06:20	25	Q. Did you write any software or applications	
	·		•

10:06:24	1	Page 51 for doing your aggregation analysis that was outside
10:06:27	2	of the GoTo module CVS in the CVS system?
10:06:34	3	A. I don't know.
10:06:42	4	Q. What software were you using to program the
10:06:45	5	various aggregation features in March of '98?
10:06:52	6	A. I was using you're referring to the
10:06:58	7	result click aggregations?
10:07:00	8	Q. Yeah. Right now, as we're talking about
10:07:01	.9	the what I was calling the paid click-through log
10:07:04	10	analysis.
10:07:05	11	A. Okay. I I would have used Perl and
10:07:10	12	shell scripts.
10:07:29	13	Q. What was the purpose of the various
10:07:30	14	aggregations that you were performing?
10:07:36	15	A. I was performing the aggregations to
10:07:38	16	provide reports to well, initially to Bill Gross
10:07:45	17	and yeah.
10:07:56	18	Q. And can you describe the type of reports
10:07:58	19	that you were generating in April of '98?
10:08:02	20	A. I was generating a file of of
10:08:07	21,	aggregations by I believe by URL and day. That
10:08:15	22	included the number of clicks, the bids at those
10:08:20	23	for those clicks, and the product of those two
10:08:23	24	values, which I would then give to Bill Gross for
10:08:31	25	his analysis.
	•	

		Page 52
10:08:37	1	Q. And where was this the file you were
10:08:39	2	generating stored?
10:08:45	3	A. It changed. Initially, I initially, I
10:08:50	4	prepared these reports on by request. And then I
10:08:57	5	believe in the March time frame, it was stored on a
10:08:59	·6	server so that Bill could get them himself.
10:09:09	7	Q. Do you recall which server the reports were
10:09:13	8	served were stored on, rather?
10:09:13	9	A. I recall the host name.
10:09:15	10	Q. Okay.
10:09:15	.11	A. Or excuse me. I recall the Web host name.
10:09:17	12	I don't re necessarily recall the the machine
10:09:19	13	name.
10:09:20	14	Q. And what was the Web host name?
10:09:22	15 ·	A. Stats.GoTo.com.
10:09:50	16	Q. In the March of '98 time frame, did you
10:09:55	17	associate the URL with the particular advertisers
10:09:58	18	who were hosting those URLs?
10:10:04	19	A. I attempted to associate the URLs with a
10:10:06	20	label for the advertisers so that so the
10:10:14	21	aggregations would be a little more meaningful to
10:10:17	22	Bill, yes.
10:10:17	23	Q. Okay. And how were you associating the
10:10:18	24	URLs with a label?
10:10:24	25	A. I had what I refer to as the advertiser
	1	• •

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA Page 270 2 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SS. 3 4 I, SUSAN NELSON, C.S.R. 3202, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: 5 6 That, prior to being examined, the witness named in the foregoing deposition was by me duly 7 sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth; 9 10 That said deposition was taken down by me 11 in shorthand at the time and place therein named, and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 12 13 direction, and the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of said proceedings; 14 15 Before completion of the deposition, review 16 of the transcript [] was [] was not requested. requested, any changes made by the deponent (and 17 provided to the reporter) during the period allowed 18 19 are appended hereto. 20 I further certify that I am not interested in the event of the action. 21 22 Witness my hand this 17th day of May, 2004. 23 24 Susan Nelson, C.S.R. No. 3202 Certified Shorthand Reporter State of California 25