	Case 3:02-cv-01991-JSW	Document 47	Filed 01/09/2003	Page 1 of 5	
1 2 3 4 5	BRINKS HOFER GILSON & JACK C. BERENZWEIG (ACWILLIAM H. FRANKEL (ACUILLIAM H. FRANKEL (AC	Imitted Pro Hac Vidmitted Pro Hac Videnitted Pro Hac Viel Pro Hac Vice) (Admitted Pro Hace)	ce)		
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff OVERTURE SERVICES, INC	C.			
89101112	KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP JOHN W. KEKER - #49092 JON B. STREETER - #10197 DARALYN J. DURIE - #1699 MICHAEL S. KWUN - #198 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-17 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188	825 945			
13 14	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.				
15 16		UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT		
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
18	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
19	OVERTURE SERVICES, INCcorporation,	C., a Delaware	Case No. C 02-0199	91 CRB ADR	
2021	, , ,	Plaintiff,		PROTECTIVE ORDER UNSEL ONLY SOURCE	
22	v.			Han Charles D. Durana	
23	GOOGLE INC., a California c	orporation,	Judge:	Hon. Charles R. Breyer	
24		Defendant.			
25			I		
26					
27					
28					
	IDDODOCEDI CLIDDI EMENI	EAL DROTTE OF	DED DE OUTEUDE COLDIG	EL ONT LIGOLIDOE GODE	

1	
2	di
3	16
4	O
5	
6	di
7	co
8	
9	so
10	
11	
12	"F
13	Pr
14	
15	O
16	ex
17	be
18	
19	re
20	
21	(o
22	m
23	
24	
25	or
26	01.

WHEREAS the parties on December 13, 2002 stipulated to a protective order governing the disclosure of confidential information, the Honorable Charles R. Breyer signed that order on December 16, 2002, and the order was filed on December 18, 2002 (hereinafter, the "Stipulated Protective Order");

WHEREAS Paragraph 4(e) of the Stipulated Protective Order states that, pending further discussion regarding further restrictions applicable to source code, the parties need not produce source code that would otherwise be designated Outside Counsel Only; and

WHEREAS the parties have met and conferred regarding further restrictions applicable to source code, and have stipulated to the following further restrictions;

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED BY THE COURT as follows:

- 1. As used herein, the terms "Outside Counsel Only," "Outside Counsel Only information," "Producing Party," and "Receiving Party" have the same meaning as they do in the Stipulated Protective Order.
- 2. Computer source code properly designated Outside Counsel Only is referred to herein as Outside Counsel Only Source Code. All Outside Counsel Only Source Code, as well as all excerpts, extracts, abstracts, charts, summaries, and notes made from Outside Counsel Only Source Code, shall be Outside Counsel Only Source Code information as well as Outside Counsel Only information.
- 3. In addition to the restrictions set forth in the Stipulated Protective Order, the following restrictions shall apply to the disclosure of any Outside Counsel Only Source Code information:
- (a) Any Outside Counsel Only Source Code shall be produced in text file format (or another format agreed to by the Receiving Party) on a CD or other readily computer-accessible media (the "Source Code Media").
 - (b) The Receiving Party will not make any copies of the Source Code Media.
- (c) The Receiving Party may use the Source Code Media to install the source code on a single, password-protected, non-networked computer at the offices of the Receiving Party's outside counsel.
 - (d) The Receiving Party may use the Source Code Media to install the source code

27

on a single, password-protected, non-networked computer at the offices of one independent expert or independent consultant that it has designated pursuant to Paragraph 4(a)(i) of the Stipulated Protective Order.

- (e) Except when it is being used for the purposes of installing the Outside Counsel Only Source Code on computers, as allowed by subparagraphs (c) and (d), above, the Receiving Party shall maintain the Source Code Media in a secure location at the offices of its outside counsel.
- 4. No Outside Counsel Only Source Code information shall be revealed or disclosed, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, to any individual described in Paragraphs 4(a) or 4(b) of the Stipulated Protective Order, with the exception of outside counsel and the personnel of outside counsel as set forth in Paragraph 4(a) of the Stipulated Protective Order, or to any individual who is otherwise authorized to receive or view such information pursuant to Paragraph 5 of the Stipulated Protective Order, unless and until that individual (a) is entitled, under the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order, to review Outside Counsel Only information; (b) has been given a copy of this Order; and (c) has duly completed and signed an undertaking in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A ("Source Code Undertaking"). Any and all such signed Source Code Undertakings shall be retained in duplicate by outside counsel of record for the Receiving Party.
- 5. In addition, prior to revealing or disclosing, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, any Outside Counsel Only Source Code information to any persons designated as independent expert witnesses or independent consultants pursuant to Paragraph 4(a)(i) of the Stipulated Protective Order, the disclosing party shall send to the other party by facsimile and first class mail a copy of the Source Code Undertaking executed by the independent expert witness or independent consultant.
- 6. The restrictions in this Order are not intended to preclude the Receiving Party from using reasonable excerpts of Outside Counsel Only Source Code in depositions exhibits, trial exhibits, expert reports, and other documents for purposes of this action, subject to all of the restrictions set forth in the Stipulated Protective Order that are applicable to Outside Counsel Only information. However, the parties shall make best efforts to limit the number and size of excerpts of Outside Counsel Only Source Code so used.

	Case 3:02-cv-01991-JSW Document 47 Filed 01/09/2003 Page 4 of 5				
1	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 9, 2002				
2	Dated. January 9, 2002				
3	Dvv. /o/				
4	By: <u>/s/</u> THE HON. CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
6	SO STIPULATED. Dated: January 8, 2003 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE				
7					
8					
9	By:CHARLES M. MCMAHON				
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff OVERTURE SERVICES, INC.				
11	Dated: January 8, 2003 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP				
12					
13					
14	By: MICHAEL S. KWUN				
15 16	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.				
17	I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Charles				
18	M. McMahon.				
19	Dated: January 8, 2003 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP				
20					
21	D				
22	By: MICHAEL S. KWUN Attorneys for Defendant				
23	GOOGLE INC.				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	3				
	[PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER RE OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY SOURCE CODE				

EXHIBIT A

UNDERTAKING

1. I have carefully read and understand the attached Supplemental Protective Order re
Outside Counsel Only Source Code (the "Source Code Protective Order"), which has been entered by
the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in an action captioned *Overture*Services, Inc., v. Google Inc., Case No. C 02-01991 CRB ADR. The initially capitalized terms in this
Undertaking shall have the meanings supplied in the Source Code Protective Order.

- 2. Pursuant to the Source Code Protective Order, I may be given access to Outside Counsel Only Source Code information in the above-referenced action. As a condition of access to that Outside Counsel Only Source Code information, and in consideration of that access; (a) I agree that I shall be bound by and comply with all the terms of the Source Code Protective Order, including those limiting disclosure and use of the Outside Counsel Only Source Code information, and (b) I submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for the enforcement of the Source Code Protective Order.
- 3. By reason of this Undertaking, the obligations imposed on me by the Source Code Protective Order shall be enforceable by the Producing Party to redress any breach of the Source Code Protective Order or this Undertaking.
- 4. I have executed this Undertaking in duplicate on ______ whereupon it becomes binding in accordance with its terms.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this ______day of ______, 200_ in ______.