Boston Telecommu	rications Group Inc. et al v. Wood et a	al			Doc. 268
	Case3:02-cv-05971-JSW	Document264	Filed05/06/11	Page1 of 2	
1	David C. Phillips (SBN 032611) dcp@phillaw.com				
2	PHILLIPS, ERLEWINE & GIVE 50 California Street, 35th Floor	EN LLP			
3	San Francisco, California 94111				
4	Tel: (415) 398-0900 Fax: (415) 398-0911				
5					
6	Steven M. Cowley (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> scowley@eapdlaw.com	e)			
7	Thomas H. Wintner (Pro Hac Vie	ce)			
8	twintner@eapdlaw.com EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER	R & DODGE, LLI	þ		
9	111 Huntington Avenue Boston, Massachusetts 02199				
10	Tel: (617) 239-0100 Fax: (617) 227-4420				
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Boston T Group, Inc. and Roderick Marsha		ns		
12					
13	UNIT	TED STATES DI	STRICT COUR	Т	
14	NORTH	IERN DISTRIC	Г OF CALIFOR	NIA	
15	S	SAN FRANCISC	O DIVISION		
16	BOSTON TELECOMMUNICA	TIONS CA	SE NO. C02-597	/1 JSW	
17	GROUP, INC. and RODERICK MARSHALL	S	FIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff(s)	`	O MODIFY TH CHEDULE ANI		
19	V.		ONTINUE HEA		
20	ROBERT WOOD	D	ISPOSITIVE M	OTIONS	
21	Defendant		ate: June 3, 201	1	
22			ime: 9:00 a.m. ourt: The Honora	ble Jeffrey S. White	
23					
24	A hearing on dispositive	motions is to be h	eld June 3, 2011	per the Court's civil min	ute
25	order (ECF No. 250 filed 3/22/2010). Defendant filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on				
26	April 27, 2011 (ECF No. 262) in advance of the hearing.				
27	Plaintiffs' counsel, Thomas H. Wintner, has a trial in Massachusetts State court that				
28	commenced on May 2, 2011; will continue into the week of May 9; and may further continue				
-	• • • •		2 /	-	
		1			
	Stipulation to Modify Brid	efing Schedule and Co	ntinue Hearing – Cas	ie No. C02-5971 JSW	
	BOS111 12580511.1			Docket	ts.Justia.com

to the week of May 16. Plaintiffs' counsel has confer ave jointly agreed to modify the briefing schedule to g le an opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that efendant's Motion for Summary Judgment be extended atension, the parties also respectfully request that Defe le a reply brief, and that the Court thus reschedule the spositive motions to the next date convenient for the C IT IS SO STIPULATED. ated: May 6, 2011	ive Plaintiffs a two-week extension to Judgment. t the deadline for Plaintiffs' opposition to ed to May 27, 2011. In light of this endants be granted until June 3, 2011 to presently scheduled hearing on Court.
le an opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Accordingly, the parties respectfully request tha efendant's Motion for Summary Judgment be extended atension, the parties also respectfully request that Defe le a reply brief, and that the Court thus reschedule the spositive motions to the next date convenient for the C IT IS SO STIPULATED. ated: May 6, 2011	Judgment. t the deadline for Plaintiffs' opposition to ed to May 27, 2011. In light of this endants be granted until June 3, 2011 to presently scheduled hearing on Court.
Accordingly, the parties respectfully request tha efendant's Motion for Summary Judgment be extended stension, the parties also respectfully request that Defe le a reply brief, and that the Court thus reschedule the spositive motions to the next date convenient for the C IT IS SO STIPULATED. ated: May 6, 2011	t the deadline for Plaintiffs' opposition to ed to May 27, 2011. In light of this endants be granted until June 3, 2011 to presently scheduled hearing on Court.
efendant's Motion for Summary Judgment be extended atension, the parties also respectfully request that Defe le a reply brief, and that the Court thus reschedule the spositive motions to the next date convenient for the C IT IS SO STIPULATED. ated: May 6, 2011	ed to May 27, 2011. In light of this endants be granted until June 3, 2011 to presently scheduled hearing on Court.
atension, the parties also respectfully request that Defe le a reply brief, and that the Court thus reschedule the spositive motions to the next date convenient for the C IT IS SO STIPULATED. ated: May 6, 2011	endants be granted until June 3, 2011 to presently scheduled hearing on Court.
le a reply brief, and that the Court thus reschedule the spositive motions to the next date convenient for the O IT IS SO STIPULATED. ated: May 6, 2011	presently scheduled hearing on Court.
spositive motions to the next date convenient for the G IT IS SO STIPULATED. ated: May 6, 2011	Court.
IT IS SO STIPULATED. ated: May 6, 2011	<u>/s/</u>
ated: May 6, 2011	<u>/s/</u>
s/ reven M. Cowley (Pro Hac Vice)	<u>/s/</u>
even M. Cowley (Pro Hac Vice)	<u>/s/</u>
even M. Cowley (Pro Hac Vice)	<u>/s/</u>
even M. Cowley (Pro Hac Vice)	<u>/s/</u>
	Lidia S. Stiglich
DWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP	STIGLICH & HINKLEY LLP Attorneys for Defendant
avid C. Phillips	
oston Telecommunications Group, Inc.	
• •	
ated: May 6, 2011	
Honorala	Thu Suhits
United Sta	tes District Court Judge
2	
Stipulation to Modify Briefing Schedule and Continue	e Hearing – Case No. C02-5971 JSW
a H ttto nc a	wid C. Phillips IILLIPS ERLEWINE & GIVEN LLP torneys for Plaintiffs ston Telecommunications Group, Inc. d Roderick Marshall II IS SO ORDERED: and the hearing on the be heard on Friday, Ju ted: May 6, 2011