

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BULL, et al.,	No. C 03-01840 CRB
Plaintiffs,	ORDER SETTING HEARING ON
v.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
	STAY
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	
FRANCISCO, et al.,	
Defendants.	

On June 3, 2011, Defendants filed an “Administrative Motion to Stay Trial Court Proceedings Pending the Decision of the United States Supreme Court in Albert W. Florence v. Board of Chosen Freeholders of the County of Burlington, et al., No. 10-945.” Dkt. 361. Plaintiffs opposed that Motion on June 7, 2011, arguing that (1) a motion to stay the case is not an “Administrative Motion” under the Local Rules and (2) a stay is not warranted. Dkt. 362. The Court agrees with Plaintiffs that a Motion to Stay the Case is not an Administrative Motion. However, the Court also believes that it makes sense to address this issue on an expedited basis. Accordingly, the Court sets forth the following briefing schedule and will hold a hearing on this matter on **July 5, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 6.**

//

//

//

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendants' Brief in Support of Motion to Stay¹ – June 17, 2011

Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Motion to Stay² – June 24, 2011

Defendants' Brief in Reply³ – June 29, 2011

IT IS SO ORDERED.



CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: June 9, 2011

¹ Brief shall not exceed 15 pages.

² Brief shall not exceed 15 pages.

³ Brief shall not exceed 10 pages.