

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FUNAI ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD.,

No. C-04-01830 JCS

Plaintiff(s),

v.

DAEWOO ELECTRONICS
CORPORATION, ET AL.,

Defendant(s).

_____ /

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

DATED: February 4, 2008



JOSEPH C. SPERO
United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JURY VERDICT

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

I. INFRINGEMENT

1. Has Funai proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Daewoo’s sales of T-Mecha VCRs infringe the following claims of the ‘018 patent under the doctrine of equivalents?

	YES	NO
	(for Funai)	(for Daewoo)
Claim 1	_____	_____
Claim 2	_____	_____
Claim 3	_____	_____
Claim 4	_____	_____

2. Has Funai proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Daewoo’s sales of T-Mecha VCRs infringe the following claims of the ‘210 patent under the doctrine of equivalents?

	YES	NO
	(for Funai)	(for Daewoo)
Claim 1	_____	_____
Claim 2	_____	_____
Claim 4	_____	_____
Claim 5	_____	_____
Claim 6	_____	_____
Claim 7	_____	_____
Claim 9	_____	_____
Claim 10	_____	_____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. Has Funai proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Daewoo’s sales of VCR products containing the accused erasing circuitry infringe the following claims of the ‘538 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents?

	YES	NO
	(for Funai)	(for Daewoo)
Claim 1	_____	_____
Claim 3	_____	_____
Claim 4	_____	_____
Claim 5	_____	_____

II. INVALIDITY

4. Has Daewoo proven by clear and convincing evidence that the following claims of the ‘210 patent are invalid due to obviousness?

	YES	NO
	(for Daewoo)	(for Funai)
Claim 1	_____	_____
Claim 2	_____	_____
Claim 4	_____	_____
Claim 5	_____	_____
Claim 6	_____	_____
Claim 7	_____	_____
Claim 9	_____	_____
Claim 10	_____	_____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

5. Has Daewoo proven by clear and convincing evidence that the following claims of the '538 patent are invalid due to the obviousness?

	YES	NO
	(for Daewoo)	(for Funai)
Claim 1	_____	_____
Claim 3	_____	_____
Claim 4	_____	_____
Claim 5	_____	_____

If you answered "YES" to any of the questions in Section I, above, and if you answered "NO" to any of the questions in Section II with regard to any of the same claim or claims on which you answered YES in Section I, above, then complete the questions in Sections III and IV, below. In other words, if there are any claims which you found infringed and not invalid, then complete Sections III and IV. Otherwise, do not complete sections III and IV.

III. DAMAGES SECTION

6. What sum of money would fairly and adequately compensate Funai for DEC's infringement of the patent(s), if any, as to which you found any claim(s) to be infringed and not invalid?

\$_____.

7. Of the amount, if any, which you awarded in No. 6, what sum of money would fairly and adequately compensate Funai for DEAM's infringement of the patent(s), if any, as to which you found any claim(s) to be infringed and not invalid?

\$_____.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IV. WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

8. Has Funai proven by clear and convincing evidence that DEC willfully infringed the '018 patent?

YES
(for Funai)

NO
(for Daewoo)

9. Has Funai proven by clear and convincing evidence that DEC willfully infringed the '210 patent?

YES
(for Funai)

NO
(for Daewoo)

10. Has Funai proven by clear and convincing evidence that DEC willfully infringed the '538 patent?

YES
(for Funai)

NO
(for Daewoo)

11. Has Funai proven by clear and convincing evidence that DEAM willfully infringed the '018 patent?

YES
(for Funai)

NO
(for Daewoo)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

12. Has Funai proven by clear and convincing evidence that DEAM willfully infringed the '210 patent?

YES (for Funai)	NO (for Daewoo)
_____	_____

13. Has Funai proven by clear and convincing evidence that DEAM willfully infringed the '538 patent?

YES (for Funai)	NO (for Daewoo)
_____	_____

SIGN AND DATE THE SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

Signed: _____

Foreperson of the Jury: _____

Dated: _____

After this Verdict Form has been signed and dated, please submit a Jury Note to the Court Security Officer notifying the Court that the Jury has reached a verdict. Please return the Verdict Form to the Courtroom Deputy.

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California