

1 KARL J. KRAMER (CA SBN 136433)  
 2 kkramer@mofo.com  
 3 MARC J. PERNICK (CA SBN 160591)  
 4 mpernick@mofo.com  
 5 SUSAN V. VAUGHAN (CA SBN 223576)  
 6 svaughan@mofo.com  
 7 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
 8 755 Page Mill Road  
 9 Palo Alto, California 94304-1018  
 10 Telephone: (650) 813-5600  
 11 Facsimile: (650) 494-0792

12 J. RYAN GILFOIL (CA SBN 246493)  
 13 jgilfoil@mofo.com  
 14 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
 15 425 Market Street  
 16 San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
 17 Telephone: (415) 268-7000  
 18 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

19 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 20 CYBERSOURCE CORPORATION

SCOTT J. BORNSTEIN (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 bornsteins@gtlaw.com  
 ALLAN A. KASSENOFF (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 kassenoffa@gtlaw.com  
 GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP  
 MetLife Building  
 New York, NY 10166  
 Telephone: (212) 801-9200  
 Facsimile: (212) 801-6400

JAMES W. SOONG (SBN: 196092)  
 soongj@gtlaw.com  
 GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP  
 1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor  
 East Palo Alto, California 94303  
 Telephone: (650) 328-8500  
 Facsimile: (650) 328-8508

Attorneys for Defendant  
 RETAIL DECISIONS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

21 CYBERSOURCE CORPORATION,  
 22  
 23 Plaintiff,  
 24  
 25 v.  
 26 RETAIL DECISIONS, INC.,  
 27  
 28 Defendant.

Case No. 3:04-CV-03268-MHP

**STIPULATION AND PROPOSED  
 ORDER REGARDING PAGE  
 LIMIT FOR CYBERSOURCE'S  
 OPPOSITION TO RED'S  
 INVALIDITY (35 U.S.C. §§ 102,  
 103) MOTION**

Subject to the Court's approval, Plaintiff CyberSource Corporation and Defendant Retail Decisions, Inc. (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective attorneys, hereby stipulate that:

1. CyberSource's opposition to ReD's summary judgment motion on Sections 102/103 invalidity ("§§ 102/103 Motion") is due on February 23, 2009.

1           2.       Under Local Rule 7-4(b), CyberSource's opposition is limited to 25 pages, unless  
2 the Court expressly orders otherwise.

3           3.       ReD has moved for summary judgment that CyberSource's patent is invalid as  
4 anticipated and/or obvious over nine primary references and a number of additional secondary  
5 references. Pursuant to a stipulation and Court order of January 27, 2009, the Court extended the  
6 page limit for ReD's motion to 35 pages.

7           4.       In light of the scope of ReD's motion and the length and format of its discussion,  
8 CyberSource has requested and ReD has stipulated to extend the page limit for CyberSource's  
9 opposition from 25 pages to 45 pages.

10 Dated: February 19, 2009

KARL J. KRAMER  
MARC J. PERNICK  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

11  
12  
13 By: /s/ Marc J. Pernick

Marc J. Pernick  
MPernick@mof.com

14  
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
CYBERSOURCE CORPORATION

16  
17 Dated: February 19, 2009

SCOTT J. BORNSTEIN  
ALLAN A. KASSENOFF  
JAMES W. SOONG  
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

18  
19  
20 By: /s/ Allan A. Kassenoff


Allan A. Kassenoff  
kassenoffa@gtlaw.com

21  
22 Attorneys for Defendant  
RETAIL DECISIONS, INC.

23  
24 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25  
26 Dated: 2/20/2009

27  
28 HON. MARILYN H. PATEL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT



1 I, MARC J. PERNICK, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file  
2 this STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMIT FOR  
3 CYBERSOURCE'S OPPOSITION TO RED'S INVALIDITY (35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103)  
4 MOTION. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Allan A. Kassenoff  
5 has concurred in this filing.

6 Dated: February 19, 2009

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

7  
8 By: /s/Marc J. Pernick

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28