

1 David W. Wiechert, SBN 94607, [dwiechert@aol.com](mailto:dwiechert@aol.com)  
Jessica C. Munk, SBN 238832, [jessica@davidwiechertlaw.com](mailto:jessica@davidwiechertlaw.com)  
2 LAW OFFICE OF DAVID W. WIECHERT  
115 Avenida Miramar  
3 San Clemente, California 92672  
Telephone: (949) 361-2822  
4 Facsimile: (949) 496-6753

5 Attorneys for Intervenors J. Paul Reddam and  
Clarence Ventures, LLC  
6  
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
11

12 SIXTY-THREE STRATEGIC INVESTMENT )	Case No. C 05-1123-RS
13 FUNDS; AND PRESIDIO GROWTH LLC )	
14 (Tax Matters Partner), )	Related to Case Nos. C-04-4309-RS, C-04-
15 Petitioners, )	4398-RS, C-04-4964-RS, C-04-4264-RS, C-
16 vs. )	05-1996-RS, C-05-2835-RS, and C-05-3887-
17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )	RS
18 Respondent. )	<b>STIPULATION TO MODIFY SCHEDULE</b>
19	

20 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Petitioners Presidio Growth, LLC and Presidio  
21 Resources, LLC (“Presidio”), Intervenors J. Paul Reddam and Clarence Ventures, LLC,  
22 Intervenors Tom Gonzales and Birch Ventures, LLC, and Respondent, the United States, hereby  
23 request that the response and reply deadlines with respect to dispositive motions in this (and the  
24 captioned related cases) be extended two weeks and the hearing date also be extended, as follows:  
25

26 The parties seek to extend the deadline for Petitioners Presidio [and Intervenors Tom  
27 Gonzales and/or Birch Ventures, LLC] to respond to the United States’ motions for summary  
28 judgment (filed in this and the captioned related cases) from April 4, 2013 to April 18, 2013.

STIPULATION  
(Case No. C 05-1123-RS)

1 long as Presidio continues to actively defend the BLIPS transaction, Intervenors J. Paul  
2 Reddam and Clarence Ventures, LLC do not intend to file separate responsive papers to the United  
3 States' motions for summary judgment with regard to the merits of BLIPS. However, Intervenors  
4 J. Paul Reddam and Clarence Ventures, LLC reserve the right to respond to the United States'  
5 motions for summary judgment where their arguments diverge from Presidio's, specifically with  
6 regard to their conflict of interest argument. Further, if at any time Presidio no longer continues to  
7 defend the BLIPS transaction, Intervenors J. Paul Reddam and Clarence Ventures reserve the right  
8 to defend the merits of BLIPS by responding to the United States' motions for summary judgment  
9 or in later proceedings.  
10

11 The parties also seek to extend the deadline for the United States to respond to a motion for  
12 summary judgment filed by Intervenors J. Paul Reddam and Clarence Ventures, LLC in this case  
13 from April 4, 2013 to April 18, 2013. The parties further seek to extend the deadline for the  
14 United States (with respect to its pending motions) and Intervenors J. Paul Reddam and Clarence  
15 Ventures, LLC (with respect to its pending motion) to file replies from April 11, 2013 to May 2,  
16 2013.  
17

18 The parties would also request that the Court set a hearing date for the motions of either: 1)  
19 May 20, 21, or 22, 2013; 2) May 9, 2013; or 3) June 20, 2013.  
20

21 The parties stipulate that Presidio may file its response to the United States' motions for  
22 summary judgment in one case and it will be deemed filed in all related cases.

23 The Government does not stipulate or agree that Intervenors Birch Ventures, LLC and/or  
24 Tom Gonzales may additionally brief the issues raised by Birch Ventures in its dispositive motion  
25 filed in related Case No. 05-cv-02835 (Docket No. 64). The Government takes the position that  
26 the issues raised by Birch in that motion have been fully briefed. Intervenors Birch Ventures  
27 and/or Tom Gonzales also do not stipulate or agree that they cannot file additional briefing in  
28

1 related Case No. 05-cv-02835 (Docket No. 64). The parties do request that the hearing  
2 date for that motion, which is now set to be argued on April 25, 2013, also be set for the same date  
3 the Court sets the other dispositive motions.

4 **Effect on the Schedule for the Case**

5  
6 1. The requested change would move the opposition deadline for the above-referenced  
7 dispositive motions which were filed on March 21, 2013 from April 4, 2013 to April 18, 2013.

8 2. Further, the deadline to file reply briefs would be moved from April 11, 2013 to May 2,  
9 2013.

10 3. Finally, the hearing date for all pretrial motions would be moved from April 25, 2013 to  
11 either: 1) May 20, 21, or 22, 2013; 2) May 9, 2013; or 3) June 20, 2013.

12 4. The trial date of October 7, 2013, will remain unchanged.

13  
14 **NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS AGREED AND STIPULATED** by the parties, subject to  
15 an order of the Court that:

16 (A) The deadline to file oppositions to the above-referenced dispositive motions is moved  
17 from April 4, 2013 to April 18, 2013;

18 (B) The deadline to file reply briefs is moved from April 11, 2013 to May 2, 2013; and

19 (C) The hearing date for dispositive motions is moved from April 25, 2013 to either: 1)  
20 May 20, 21, or 22, 2013; 2) May 9, 2013; or 3) June 20, 2013.

22 Dated: April 1, 2013

Respectfully submitted:

23 /s/ Steven M. Bauer  
24 STEVEN M. BAUER  
Latham & Watkins  
25 Attorneys for Petitioners

/s/ James E. Weaver  
JAMES E. WEAVER  
Trial Attorney  
Tax Division, Department of Justice  
Attorney for Respondent

26  
27 /s/ Jessica C. Munk  
JESSICA C. MUNK  
28 Law Office of David W. Wiechert

/s/ Dashiell C. Shapiro  
DASHIELL C. SHAPIRO  
Wood LLP

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Attorneys for Intervenors  
J. Paul Reddam and  
Clarence Ventures, LLC

Attorneys for Intervenors  
Tom Gonzales and  
Birch Ventures, LLC

/s/ William E. Taggart, Jr.  
WILLIAM E. TAGGART, JR.  
Attorney for Intervenors  
Adkinson and Salmon Ventures, LLC

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.** The hearing date for  
dispositive motions will be held on June 20, 2013.

Dated: 4/1/2013



Honorable Richard Seeberg  
United States District Judge