1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	
16	In re CHIRON CORP SECURITIES NO C 04-4293 VRW LITIGATION
17	ORDER/
18	
19 20	On November 19, 2008, class counsel submitted a proposed
20	"Final Order and Judgment," Doc #186, which the court declined to
21	enter for failure of the document to comply with FRCP 54(a) and
22	58(a). On January 28, 2009, class counsel submitted a revised
23 24	final order and judgment. Doc #196. Unfortunately, this latest
24 25	submission also fails to comply with FRCP 54(a) and 58(a) and the
25 26	court declines to enter it.
26	The court recognizes that Doc #186 follows a format

27 contemplated by the parties' settlement agreement and apparently 28 used previously in connection with securities class litigation.

For the Northern District of California **United States District Court**

Regrettably, the court did not focus on this document's noncompliance with FRCP 54(a) and 58(a) at the time the settlement was
under consideration, so that the form of judgment could then be
brought into compliance. Nonetheless, if a judgment is to be
entered, it should comply with the form of judgments so that its
satisfaction can be determined without resort to prolonged
proceedings.

8 To assist the parties in complying with the Federal 9 Rules, the court has drafted and attaches herewith a proposed form 10 of judgment that appears to the court to implement the parties' 11 intended disposition of the action. Counsel are requested to 12 review the attached proposed form of judgment and inform the court 13 whether it meets with their approval. In addition, counsel should 14 prepare a proposed form of order to resolve any outstanding issues 15 that require judicial resolution in order to implement their 16 settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Mul.h

VAUGHN R WALKER United States District Chief Judge

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2