4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067, U.S.A. Telephone: 415.393.2000 Facsimile: 415.393.2286 JAMES V. FITZGERALD, III (SBN 0556 james.fitzgerald@mcnamaralaw.com MCNAMARA, DODGE, NEY, BEATTY SLATTERY, PFALZER & BORGES LLF 1211 Newell Ave. Post Office Box 5288 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 939-5330 Facsimile: (925) 939-0203 Attorneys for Defendants	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16	ROSALETY BARNETT, PETER MORGANELLI, and all others similarly situated,	Case No. C 04-04437 TEH
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiffs, v. COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY SHERIFF WARREN RUPF, individually and in his official capacity, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES DOES 1 THROUGH 100, and ROES 1 THROUGH 20, INCLUSIVE, Defendants.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE FOR: (1) WITHDRAWAL OF PENDING OPPOSITION TO CLASS VERTIFICATION; (2) LEAVE TO FILE REVISED OPPOSITION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION; AND REVISED BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND HEARING FOR PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION Place: 450 Golden Gate Ave. Courtroom 12, 19th Floor Judge: Hon. Thelton E. Henderson

3

8

10

12

13 14

5

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.3

24

25

2627

28

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on September 11, 2009, this Court issued an Order in the above-captioned action (the "Action") requiring the Defendants to refile a revised Opposition to Class Certification (the "Opposition") redacting all confidential information on the Opposition and any exhibits attached by thereto in compliance with Local Rule of Court 3-17 by September 18, 2009, and admonishing the parties to comply with Local Rule 3-17 with respect to all future filings in the Action (the "Redaction Order") (see "Docket No. 156");

WHERAS, on September 11, 2009, The court issued an Order denying Defendants' Partial Motion for Summary Judgment against Adeline Chan (the "Chan MSJ") and inviting Defendants to seek leave to file a revised Opposition (the "Revised Opposition") in light of its ruling on the Chan MSJ (The "Chan Order") (see "Docket No. 157"); and

WHEREAS, on September 14, Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants met and conferred and reached an agreement regarding compliance with the Redaction and Chan Orders.

The Parties respectfully submit this Stipulation and Proposed Order under Local Rules of Court 6-1(b), 6-2, and 7-12:

STIPULATION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT:

- 1. Defendants' pending Opposition shall be withdrawn and any and all information protected by Local Rule of Court 3-17 shall be returned to Defendants and/or destroyed and deleted from the Court's docket;
- 2. Defendants shall be granted leave to file the Revised Opposition to Plaintiffs' pending Motion for Class Certification without prejudice to renewal or addition of any existing arguments or evidence in light of the Chan Order on or before October 2, 2009;
- 3. Plaintiffs shall file their Reply in support of their Motion for Class Certification on or before October 9, 2009;
- 4. All future filing in this Action, including the Defendants' Revised Opposition and Plaintiffs' Reply, shall redact, file under seal or take any other steps required to protect any confidential information governed by Local Rule of Court 3-17, any other applicable privacy