1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	BOYD, et al.,	No. C-04-05459 MMC (EDL)
9	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ORDER HOLDING
10	V.	DEFENDANT IN CONTEMPT
11	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13 14		
15	On October 24, 2008, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Order Holding Defendant in Contempt, but did not notice the motion for a hearing date and did not move to shorten time to hear the motion. Also on October 24, 2008, Defendants filed a Release of Abstract of Judgment. The Release	
16		
17		
18	appears to render the matter moot and in any event to be inconsistent with the requirement of clear	
19	and convincing evidence of willful disobedience or bad faith for imposing the sanction sought by	
20	Plaintiff. Therefore, Plaintiff's improperly noticed motion is denied. IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21	Dated: October 27, 2008	Eliza D. Laut
22	Dated. October 27, 2000	Elijah P. Laporte ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE
23		United States Magistrate Judge
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		